

GM 71805

NI 43-101 Technical evaluation report of the Chevrier property

Documents complémentaires

Additional Files



Licence



License

Cette première page a été ajoutée
au document et ne fait pas partie du
rapport tel que soumis par les auteurs.

Énergie et Ressources
naturelles

Québec 

GEOLOGICA GROUPE-CONSEIL



NI 43-101 TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT OF THE CHEVRIER PROPERTY

ABITIBI REGION
QUEBEC, CANADA

(UTM NAD 83 Zone 18N 536,700 mE and 5,498,000 mN)

Val-d'Or, Québec
Effective date: February 4, 2019
Signature date: March 21, 2019

Alain-Jean Beauregard, P. Geo., OGQ, FGAC, AEMQ
Daniel Gaudreault, P. Eng., OIQ, AEMQ
Geologica Groupe-Conseil Inc.

Marc Jutras, P. Eng., OIQ
Ginto Consulting Inc.

Robert Banville, P. Eng., OIQ
R/EXploration Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF QUALIFICATION (Alain-Jean Beauregard)

1. I, Alain-Jean Beauregard, Professional Geologist, am residing at 240 Chemin des Pimbinas, La Conception, Québec, Canada.
2. The certificate is related to the report entitled “NI 43-101 Technical Evaluation Report of the Chevrier Property (According NI 43-101F1)” (the “Technical Report”). This report was written for Genesis Metals Corp. The effective date of the Technical Report is February 4, 2019 and the signature date is March 21, 2019.
3. I am a qualified geologist, having received my academic training at Concordia University, in Montréal, Québec (B.Sc. Geology and Mining – 1978) with an attestation in Business Administration (Val-d’Or – 1988). I am a Fellow of the Geological Association of Canada #F4951 (FGAC) and also a member of the Order of Geologists and Geophysicists of Québec #227 (OGQ), of the Quebec Mining Exploration Association (AEMQ), of the Canadian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy (CIMM) and the Prospectors and Developers Association of Canada (PDAC).
4. I have worked as a geologist for a total of 41 years since my graduation from University with the production of more than one thousand and five hundred (>1500) technical and financial evaluation reports in English or French for government authorities, private and public companies including numerous market value assessments of mining properties from grassroots projects to developed mines, and several companies' entire portfolio of properties. I have field experience mapping, prospecting, sampling and compiling data in the highly metamorphic terrain of the Superior and Grenville Provinces for iron, titanium, uranium, rare earth minerals, graphite, precious and base metals. I have organized and managed several exploration campaigns for gold, base metals and industrial metals, especially in remote areas of Abitibi, but also in other parts of the province of Québec (Labrador Trough, Gaspé Peninsula, James Bay, St-Lawrence River, North Shore, Ungava, etc.), in eastern Canada, Europe, Africa and the Americas.
5. I have not visited the subject Property recently.
6. I am responsible for the technical parts of sections 1 to 10, 12, 13 and 15 to 19 of the Technical Report.
7. I am independent of the issuer (Genesis Metals Corp. and subsidiary Chevrier Metals Corp.) and the Chevrier Property applying all of the tests in section 1.5 of National Instrument 43-101.
8. I had no prior involvement with the Property that is subject of the Technical Report.
9. I confirm to have read 43-101 F1 form and related appendices and that the Technical Report has been prepared in compliance with the National Instrument 43-101.
10. As of March 21, 2019, I am not aware of any material fact or material change with respect to the subject matter of this report which is not reflected in this report or of the omission to disclose any such material fact or material change which could make this report misleading.

Dated this 21st day of March 2019

A.-J. Beauregard



Alain-Jean Beauregard, P. Geo., OGQ (#227), FGAC

CERTIFICATE OF QUALIFICATION (Daniel Gaudreault)

1. I, Daniel Gaudreault, Engineer, am residing at 896 rue Quessy, Val-d'Or (Québec), Canada.
2. The certificate is related to the report entitled "NI 43-101 Technical Evaluation Report of the Chevrier Property (According NI 43-101F1)" (the "Technical Report"). This report was written for Genesis Metals Corp. The effective date of the Technical Report is February 4, 2019 and the signature date is March 21, 2019.
3. I graduated with a degree in Geological Engineering ("P. Eng.") from the University of Québec in Chicoutimi in 1983. I am a member of the "Ordre des ingénieurs du Québec (OIQ)", #39834, of the Québec Mining Exploration Association (AEMQ) and the Prospectors and Developers Association of Canada (PDAC).
4. I have worked as an engineer for a total of 36 years since my graduation from university. As an engineer specializing in exploration geology, I have field experience mapping, prospecting, sampling and compiling data in the highly metamorphic terrane of the Grenville Province for iron, titanium, uranium, rare earth minerals, graphite, precious and base metals. I have been involved with all aspects of planning, organization and supervision of mineral exploration projects, especially in remote areas of Abitibi, Québec. I have been in charge of teams of professionals and technicians on geological projects in the most severe conditions. I have also completed several geoscientific compilations and technical reports on areas of interest in Québec, Ontario, USA (California & Nevada) and South America (mainly Peru).
5. I have visited the subject Property at several occasions in 2017.
6. I am responsible for the technical parts of sections 1 to 10, 12, 13 and 15 to 19 of the Technical Report.
7. I am independent of the issuer (Genesis Metals Corp. and subsidiary Chevrier Metals Corp.) and the Chevrier Property applying all of the tests in section 1.5 of National Instrument 43-101.
8. I had no prior involvement with the Property that is subject of the Technical Report.
9. I confirm to have read 43-101 F1 form and related appendices and that the Technical Report has been prepared in compliance with the National Instrument 43-101.
10. As of March 21, 2019, I am not aware of any material fact or material change with respect to the subject matter of this report which is not reflected in this report or of the omission to disclose any such material fact or material change which could make this report misleading

Dated this 21st day of March 2019


 

Daniel Gaudreault, P. Eng. (OIQ #39834)

CERTIFICATE OF QUALIFICATION (Marc Jutras)

1. I, Marc Jutras, P. Eng., am residing at 333 West 17th Street, North Vancouver, B.C., Canada, V7M 1V9.
2. The certificate is related to the report entitled "NI 43-101 Technical Evaluation Report of the Chevrier Property (According NI 43-101F1)" (the "Technical Report"). This report was written for Genesis Metals Corp. The effective date of the Technical Report is February 4, 2019 and the signature date is March 21, 2019.
3. I am a professional engineer and Principal, Mineral Resources at Ginto Consulting Inc., a consulting company specializing in the estimation of mineral resources, with an office at 333 West 17th Street, North Vancouver, British Columbia, V7M 1V9.
4. I am a graduate of the University of Québec in Chicoutimi in 1983, and hold a Bachelor's degree in Geological Engineering. I am also a graduate of the "Polytechnique of Montréal" in 1989, and hold a Master's degree of Applied Sciences in Geostatistics.
5. I am a Registered Professional Engineer with the Engineers and Geoscientists British Columbia (license # 24598) and Engineers and Geoscientists Newfoundland and Labrador (license # 09029). I am also a Registered Engineer with the Quebec Order of Engineers (license # 38380).
6. Since 1984, I have worked continuously in the field of mineral resource estimation of numerous international exploration projects and mining operations. I have been involved in the evaluation of mineral resources at various levels: early to advanced exploration projects, preliminary studies, preliminary economic assessments, prefeasibility studies, feasibility studies and technical due diligence reviews.
7. I have visited the subject Property on November 29 and 30, 2018, where the core logging, sample preparation, and storage facilities were visited. During this time an independent sampling of core samples and rejects was carried out with the objective to confirm the presence of gold mineralization.
8. I am responsible for the technical parts of section 14 and co-author of sections 1, 12, 17 and 18 of the Technical Report.
9. I am independent of the issuer (Genesis Metals Corp. and subsidiary Chevrier Metals Corp.) and the Chevrier Property applying all of the tests as defined in Chapter 5, Section 1.5 of National Instrument 43-101.
10. I had no prior involvement with the Property that is subject of the Technical Report.
11. I confirm to have read 43-101 F1 form and related appendices and that the Technical Report has been prepared in compliance with the National Instrument 43-101.
12. As of March 21, 2019, I am not aware of any material fact or material change with respect to the subject matter of this report which is not reflected in this report or of the omission to disclose any such material fact or material change which could make this report misleading

Dated this 21st day of March 2019



Marc Jutras, P. Eng. (OIQ #38380)




CERTIFICATE OF QUALIFICATION (Robert Banville)

1. I, Robert Banville, Engineer, am residing at 3 D'Amqui Street, Quebec (Quebec), Canada.
2. The certificate is related to the report entitled "NI 43-101 Technical Evaluation Report of the Chevrier Property (According NI 43-101F1)" (the "Technical Report"). This report was written for Genesis Metals Corp. The effective date of the Technical Report is February 4, 2019 and the signature date is March 21, 2019.
3. I graduated with a degree in Geological Engineering ("P. Eng.") from the Laval University in Quebec in 1985. I am a member of the "Ordre des ingénieurs du Québec (OIQ)", #41807.
4. I have worked as an engineer for a total of 34 years since my graduation from university. As an engineer specializing in exploration geology.
5. I have visited the subject Property in 2016-2017.
6. I have supervised the quality control program for the Chevrier Project located in the Chapais area in 2016 and 2017. I am responsible for the technical part of section 11 and co-author for sections 1, 17 and 18 of the Technical Report.
7. I am independent of the issuer (Genesis Metals Corp. and subsidiary Chevrier Metals Corp.) and the Chevrier Property applying all of the tests in section 1.5 of National Instrument 43-101.
8. I had no prior involvement with the Property that is subject of the Technical Report.
9. I confirm to have read 43-101 F1 form and related appendices and that the Technical Report has been prepared in compliance with the National Instrument 43-101.
10. As of March 21, 2019, I am not aware of any material fact or material change with respect to the subject matter of this report which is not reflected in this report or of the omission to disclose any such material fact or material change which could make this report misleading

Dated this 21st day of March 2019



Robert Banville, P. Eng., OIQ (#41807)
R/EXploration Inc.



DATE AND SIGNATURE (Geologica)

**NI 43-101 TECHNICAL REPORT
OF THE CHEVRIER PROPERTY**

Prepared for



Suite 1500, 409 Granville Street
Vancouver (B.C.), CANADA, V6C 1T2
Phone: 604-602-1440
Toll Free: 1-800-321-8564

Signed in Val-d'Or, March 21, 2019

A.-J. Beauguard



Alain-Jean Beauguard, P. Geo., OGQ (#227), FGAC, AEMQ
Geologica Groupe-Conseil Inc.

Daniel Gaudreault, eng.



Daniel Gaudreault, P. Eng., OIQ (#39834), AEMQ
Geologica Groupe-Conseil Inc.

DATE AND SIGNATURE (Ginto Consulting Inc.)

**NI 43-101 TECHNICAL REPORT
OF THE CHEVRIER PROPERTY**

Prepared for




Suite 1500, 409 Granville Street
Vancouver (B.C.), CANADA, V6C 1T2
Phone: 604-602-1440
Toll Free: 1-800-321-8564

Dated this 21st day of March 2019

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Marc Jutras".

Marc Jutras, P. Eng. (OIQ #38380)





DATE AND SIGNATURE (R/EXploration)

**NI 43-101 TECHNICAL REPORT
OF THE CHEVRIER PROPERTY**

Prepared for



Suite 1500, 409 Granville Street
Vancouver (B.C.), CANADA, V6C 1T2
Phone: 604-602-1440
Toll Free: 1-800-321-8564

Signed in Val-d'Or, March 21, 2019



A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert Barville".

Robert Barville, P. Eng., OIQ (#41807)
R/EXploration Inc.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE OF QUALIFICATION (ALAIN-JEAN BEAUREGARD)	2
CERTIFICATE OF QUALIFICATION (DANIEL GAUDREAU)	3
CERTIFICATE OF QUALIFICATION (MARC JUTRAS)	4
CERTIFICATE OF QUALIFICATION (ROBERT BANVILLE)	5
DATE AND SIGNATURE (GEOLOGICA)	6
DATE AND SIGNATURE (GINTO CONSULTING INC.)	7
DATE AND SIGNATURE (R/EXPLORATION)	8
1.0 SUMMARY	14
2.0 INTRODUCTION, TERMS OF REFERENCE AND SCOPE OF WORK	20
2.1 TERM OF REFERENCE.....	20
2.2 QUALIFIED PERSONS AND INSPECTION OF THE PROPERTY.....	20
2.3 ABBREVIATIONS, UNITS AND CURRENCIES.....	21
2.4 EFFECTIVE DATE.....	23
2.5 DISCLAIMER.....	23
3.0 RELIANCE ON OTHER EXPERTS	24
4.0 PROPERTY DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION	24
4.1 LOCATION.....	24
4.2 CLAIM STATUS.....	26
4.3 QUEBEC MINING LAW.....	44
4.4 ENVIRONMENTAL OBLIGATION, PERMITS AND OTHER RELEVANT FACTORS.....	45
5.0 ACCESSIBILITY, LOCAL RESOURCES, INFRASTRUCTURES AND PHYSIOGRAPHY	46
6.0 HISTORY	49
7.0 GEOLOGICAL SETTING AND MINERALIZATION	56
7.1 ABITIBI GREENSTONE BELT.....	56
7.2 REGIONAL GEOLOGY.....	59
7.3 LOCAL GEOLOGY.....	59
7.4 MINERALIZATION.....	62
7.4.1 <i>Chevrier Main and South Zones</i>	62
7.4.2 <i>East Showing (now called East Zone)</i>	64
7.4.3 <i>West Showing</i>	65
7.4.4 <i>RO Showing</i>	65
7.4.5 <i>Coyotte Showing</i>	65
7.4.6 <i>Lipsett Showing</i>	65
7.4.7 <i>“Des Tranchées” Showing</i>	66
7.4.8 <i>Malartic Hygrade Showing</i>	66
8.0 DEPOSIT TYPE	68
8.1 CHEVRIER MAIN AND SOUTH ZONES.....	70
8.2 MONSTER LAKE DEPOSIT.....	71
8.3 PHILIBERT DEPOSIT.....	72
8.4 JOE MANN DEPOSIT.....	72
9.0 EXPLORATION WORK	75
9.1 GEOSCIENTIFIC COMPILATION AND 3D MODELLING.....	75
9.2 FIELDWORK IN 2016.....	75
9.3 FIELDWORK IN 2017.....	76
9.4 FIELDWORK IN 2018.....	80

9.5 GEOPHYSICAL SURVEYS	81
9.5.1 Geophysical survey in 2017	81
9.5.2 Geophysical survey in 2018	83
10.0 DRILLING	86
10.1 EXPLORATION DRILLING	86
10.2 DRILLING ON THE CHEVRIER MAIN ZONE	91
11.0 SAMPLE PREPARATION, ANALYSES AND SECURITY	99
11.1 ACTLABS 2016 SAMPLE PREPARATION, ANALYSIS AND SECURITY	99
11.1.1 Introduction	99
11.1.2 2016 Quality Assurance and Quality Control	100
11.1.3 2016 Trench re-sampling and sampling program	101
11.1.4 2016 Trenching Quality Assurance and Quality Control	101
11.1.5 2016 Chevrier Specific Gravity Assessment	102
11.2 ACTLABS 2017 SAMPLE PREPARATION, ANALYSIS AND SECURITY	103
11.2.1 Introduction	103
11.2.2 2017 Drill Sampling and Re-sampling Preparation	103
11.2.3 2017 New Trenching and Prospecting Programs	104
11.2.4 2017 QA/QC for Drilling, Core re-sampling, Trenching and Prospecting	104
11.2.5 Second phase QAQC	108
12.0 DATA VERIFICATION	114
13.0 MINERAL PROCESSING AND METALLURGICAL TESTING	117
14.0 RESOURCE AND RESERVE ESTIMATIONS	118
14.1 CHEVRIER MAIN ZONE	118
14.1.1 Drill Hole Data	118
14.1.2 Location, Orientation, and Spacing of Drill Holes	120
14.1.3 Geological Modeling	122
14.1.4 Compositing	125
14.1.5 Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)	126
14.1.6 Variography	129
14.1.7 Gold Grade Estimation	130
14.1.8 Validation of Grade Estimates	133
14.1.9 Resource Classification	138
14.1.10 Discussion, Recommendations, Conclusion	142
14.2 CHEVRIER EAST ZONE	143
14.2.1 Drill Hole Data	143
14.2.2 Location, Orientation, and Spacing of Drill Holes	145
14.2.3 Geological Modeling	147
14.2.4 Compositing	149
14.2.5 Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)	150
14.2.6 Variography	154
14.2.7 Gold Grade Estimation	155
14.2.8 Validation of Grade Estimates	157
14.2.9 Resource Classification	161
14.2.10 Mineral Resource Calculation	162
14.2.11 Discussion, Recommendations, Conclusion	164
14.3 CHEVRIER MAIN AND EAST ZONES	165
14.3.1 Mineral Resources	165
14.3.2 Discussion, Recommendations, Conclusion	167
15.0 ADJACENT PROPERTIES	168
16.0 OTHER DATA AND PERTINENT INFORMATIONS	171
17.0 INTERPRETATION AND CONCLUSIONS	171
18.0 WORK RECOMMENDATIONS AND BUDGET	172

19.0 REFERENCES.....175**List of Figures**

FIGURE 1 - CHEVRIER PROPERTY LOCATION.....	25
FIGURE 2 - CHEVRIER PROPERTY MINING TITLES	42
FIGURE 3 - FORMER CLAIM BLOCKS	43
FIGURE 4 - ACCESS ON THE PROPERTY	48
FIGURE 5 - ABITIBI GREENSTONE BELT	58
FIGURE 6 - REGIONAL AND LOCAL GEOLOGY.....	61
FIGURE 7 - SCHEMATIC MODEL OF THE HYDROTHERMAL SYSTEM.....	64
FIGURE 8 - DEPOSITS (ZONES) AND SHOWINGS OF THE CHEVRIER PROPERTY	67
FIGURE 9 - INFERRED CRUSTAL LEVELS OF GOLD DEPOSITION THE DIFFERENT TYPES OF LODE GOLD DEPOSITS AND THE INFERRED DEPOSIT CLAN (FROM DUBÉ AND GOSSELIN 2007, MODIFIED FROM POULSEN ET AL. 2000).....	68
FIGURE 10 - SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM OF THE GEOMETRIC RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN THE STRUCTURAL ELEMENTS OF VEINS AND SHEAR ZONES AND THE DEPOSIT-SCALE STRAIN AXES (ROBERT, 1990) ..	69
FIGURE 11 - MAJOR DEPOSITS SURROUNDING THE CHEVRIER PROPERTY.....	74
FIGURE 12 - LOCATION OF TRENCHES T-1 TO T-22 OF THE CHEVRIER NORTH-EAST GRID	78
FIGURE 13 - LOCATION OF TRENCHES T-23 TO T-34 ON THE NORTH-EAST GRID AND EAST ZONE	79
FIGURE 14 - IP SURVEY ON CHEVRIER GRID.....	82
FIGURE 15 - IP SURVEY ON THE NORTH-EAST GRID.....	83
FIGURE 16 - APPARENT RESISTIVITY (40 M OF VERTICAL DEPTH)	84
FIGURE 17 - IP AXES SUPERIMPOSED ON TO THE CHARGEABILITY (40 M OF VERTICAL DEPTH).....	85
FIGURE 18 - LOCATION OF DRILL HOLES ON THE CHEVRIER MAIN ZONE AND EXPLORATION SECTORS A & B.....	87
FIGURE 19 - LOCATION OF DRILL HOLES NEAR TRENCH T-29 AND EASTERN AREA.....	87
FIGURE 20 - DRILL HOLES ON THE CHEVRIER MAIN ZONE	92
FIGURE 21 - LOCATION OF TWIN DRILL HOLES ON THE CHEVRIER MAIN ZONE	98
FIGURE 22 - CONTROL CHART FOR CRM CDN-GS-1R. RESULTS BELOW 800 PPB AND ABOVE 1600 PPB WERE THE RESULTS OF INADEQUATE CONTROL STANDARD LABELLING.	106
FIGURE 23 - CONTROL CHART FOR CRM CDN-GS-5R. RESULTS BELOW 4000 PPB WERE THE RESULTS OF INADEQUATE CONTROL STANDARD LABELLING.	106
FIGURE 24 - CONTROL CHART FOR THE BLANKS. ALL RESULTS ABOVE 20 PPB WERE THE RESULTS OF INADEQUATE CONTROL BLANK LABELLING.	107
FIGURE 25 - PARTICLE SIZE ANALYSIS SHOWING AN 87.4% AVERAGE.....	108
FIGURE 26 - X:Y PLOT COMPARING THE AU ASSAYS RESULTS BETWEEN PAIRED ORIGINAL REJECT AND THE REPLICATE. RESULTS INDICATE THERE IS NO SIGNIFICANT BIAS BETWEEN THE TWO SETS OF DATA.	109
FIGURE 27 - COMPARISON OF PERCENT AU DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE ORIGINAL AND THE REPLICATE ANALYSES. REMOVING FEW FLYERS IN THE LOW GRADE RANGE, RESULTS INDICATE A MINOR DIFFERENCE (+2.16) BETWEEN THE PAIRED REJECTS SUGGESTING THERE IS NO SIGNIFICANT BIAS IN THE DATA.....	110
FIGURE 28 - X:Y PLOT COMPARING THE AU ASSAYS RESULTS BETWEEN PAIRED ORIGINAL PULP AND THE PULP CROSS CHECK WITH THE SECONDARY LABORATORY. RESULTS INDICATE THERE IS NO SIGNIFICANT BIAS BETWEEN THE TWO SETS OF DATA.	111
FIGURE 29 - COMPARISON OF PERCENT AU DIFFERENCE BETWEEN PAIRED ORIGINAL AND THE REPLICATE ANALYSES. REMOVING FEW FLIERS IN THE LOW GRADE RANGE OF ASSAYS, RV ESULTS INDICATE A MINOR DIFFERENCE (-2.61%) BETWEEN THE PAIRED REJECTS SUGGESTING NO SIGNIFICANT BIAS IN THE DATABASE (N = 214).....	112
FIGURE 30 - HOWARTH-THOMPSON CHART FOR THE 2017 PULP DUPLICATES FOR AU.	113
FIGURE 31 - STATISTICS ON THE CHEVRIER MAIN DRILL HOLE DATABASE	119
FIGURE 32 - DRILL HOLE LOCATION MAP WITH MINERALIZED ZONES AND BLOCK MODEL LIMITS – CHEVRIER MAIN.....	121
FIGURE 33 – STEREO NET OF DRILL HOLE ORIENTATIONS AT CHEVRIER MAIN DEPOSIT.....	122
FIGURE 34 - GEOLOGICAL MODEL OF THE CHEVRIER MAIN DEPOSIT – PERSPECTIVE VIEW LOOKING TO THE NORTHEAST.....	124
FIGURE 35 - TOPOGRAPHIC SURFACE PLAN OF THE CHEVRIER MAIN DEPOSIT - PLAN VIEW.....	125

FIGURE 36 - BASIC STATISTICS OF GOLD - CHEVRIER MAIN	126
FIGURE 37 - BASIC STATISTICS OF CAPPED GOLD GRADES – CHEVRIER MAIN	128
FIGURE 38 - PLAN VIEW OF BLOCK MODEL LIMITS AT CHEVRIER MAIN	131
FIGURE 39 - GOLD BLOCK GRADE ESTIMATES AND DRILL HOLE GRADES AT CHEVRIER MAIN – NORTHWEST-SOUTHEAST SECTION – LOOKING NORTHEAST – MAIN A, A1, B1, AND B2 ZONES (FROM LEFT TO RIGHT)	133
FIGURE 40 - GOLD BLOCK GRADE ESTIMATES AND DRILL HOLE GRADES AT CHEVRIER MAIN – NORTHEAST-SOUTHWEST LONGITUDINAL SECTION – LOOKING NORTHWEST – MAIN A1 ZONE	134
FIGURE 41 - GOLD BLOCK GRADE ESTIMATES AND DRILL HOLE GRADES AT CHEVRIER MAIN – LEVEL PLAN AT 250.0 EL – MAIN A, A1, B, B1, AND B2 ZONES	135
FIGURE 42 - GOLD GRADE PROFILES OF DECLUSTERED COMPOSITES AND BLOCK ESTIMATES – CHEVRIER MAIN	137
FIGURE 43 - OPTIMIZED CONSTRAINING PIT SHELL AT CHEVRIER MAIN – PLAN VIEW	140
FIGURE 44 - STATISTICS ON THE CHEVRIER EAST DRILL HOLE DATABASE	144
FIGURE 45 - DRILL HOLE LOCATION MAP WITH MINERALIZED ZONES AND BLOCK MODEL LIMITS – CHEVRIER EAST ZONE	145
FIGURE 46 - STEREONET OF DRILL HOLE ORIENTATIONS AT CHEVRIER EAST ZONE	146
FIGURE 47 - GEOLOGIC MODEL OF THE CHEVRIER EAST ZONE – PERSPECTIVE VIEW LOOKING TO THE EAST-SOUTHEAST	148
FIGURE 48 - TOPOGRAPHIC SURFACE PLAN OF THE CHEVRIER EAST ZONE - PLAN VIEW	149
FIGURE 49 - BASIC STATISTICS OF GOLD - CHEVRIER EAST ZONE	151
FIGURE 50 - BASIC STATISTICS OF CAPPED GOLD GRADES – CHEVRIER EAST ZONE	153
FIGURE 51 - PLAN VIEW OF BLOCK MODEL LIMIT AT CHEVRIER EAST	156
FIGURE 52 - GOLD BLOCK GRADE ESTIMATES AND DRILL HOLE GRADES AT CHEVRIER EAST – NORTH- SOUTH SECTION 542,380E – LOOKING EAST – MAIN C, MAIN B, MAIN, MAIN A1, MAIN A2, MAIN A (FROM LEFT TO RIGHT)	158
FIGURE 53 - GOLD BLOCK GRADE ESTIMATES AND DRILL HOLE GRADES AT CHEVRIER EAST – EAST- WEST LONGITUDINAL SECTION 5,501,585N – LOOKING NORTH – MAIN A, MAIN A1, AND MAIN ZONES (FROM TOP TO BOTTOM)	158
FIGURE 54 - GOLD BLOCK GRADE ESTIMATES AND DRILL HOLE GRADES AT CHEVRIER EAST – LEVEL PLAN AT 300.0 EL – MAIN C, MAIN B, MAIN, MAIN A1, AND MAIN A ZONES (FROM TOP TO BOTTOM) ..	159
FIGURE 55 - GOLD GRADE PROFILES OF DECLUSTERED COMPOSITES AND BLOCK ESTIMATES – CHEVRIER EAST	161
FIGURE 56 - OPTIMIZED CONSTRAINING PIT SHELL AT CHEVRIER EAST – PLAN VIEW	163
FIGURE 57 - SURROUNDING ACTIVE MINING EXPLORATION PROPERTIES	170

List of Tables

TABLE 1- LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	23
TABLE 2 - CHEVRIER PROPERTY MINING CLAIM LIST	41
TABLE 3 - PREVIOUS EXPLORATION ACTIVITIES CARRIED OUT ON THE CHEVRIER PROPERTY	55
TABLE 4 - RESOURCES AND RESERVES AT JOE MANN	73
TABLE 5 - CHANNEL SAMPLING ON THE TRENCH T-06	81
TABLE 6 - TECHNICAL PARAMETERS FOR DRILL HOLES ON THE EXPLORATION TARGETS	88
TABLE 7 - BEST ASSAY DRILL RESULTS FOR THE EASTERN AREA	89
TABLE 8 - BEST ASSAY DRILL RESULTS FOR TRENCH T-29 AREA	90
TABLE 9 - BEST ASSAY DRILL RESULTS ON OTHER EXPLORATION AREAS	91
TABLE 10 - TECHNICAL PARAMETERS FOR DRILL HOLES ON THE CHEVRIER MAIN ZONE	93
TABLE 11 - BEST ASSAY DRILL RESULTS OBTAINED IN THE CHEVRIER MAIN ZONE	97
TABLE 12 - TECHNICAL PARAMETERS OF TWIN DRILL HOLES ON THE CHEVRIER MAIN ZONE	98
TABLE 13 - COMPARISON BETWEEN OLD DRILL HOLES AND RECENT TWIN DRILL HOLES	99
TABLE 14 - SPECIFIC GRAVITY SUMMARY	102
TABLE 15 - RESULTS FROM THE INDEPENDENT SAMPLING BY GINTO CONSULTING INC. – HOLES BY GENESIS METALS CORP. - CHEVRIER MAIN	115
TABLE 16 - RESULTS FROM THE INDEPENDENT SAMPLING BY GINTO CONSULTING INC. – HOLES BY GEONOVA - CHEVRIER MAIN	116
TABLE 17 - RESULTS FROM THE INDEPENDENT SAMPLING BY GINTO CONSULTING INC. – HOLES BY MINNOVA - CHEVRIER EAST	117
TABLE 18 - STATISTICS ON GOLD GRADES OF ORIGINAL SAMPLES – CHEVRIER MAIN DEPOSIT	120

TABLE 19 - DRILL HOLE SPACING STATISTICS – CHEVRIER MAIN DEPOSIT	121
TABLE 20 - CODING OF THE GEOLOGICAL ZONES AT CHEVRIER MAIN	123
TABLE 21 - DRILL HOLE COMPOSITES SUMMARY WITHIN MINERALIZED ZONES AT CHEVRIER MAIN	126
TABLE 22 - LIST OF CAPPING THRESHOLDS OF HIGHER GOLD GRADE OUTLIERS AT CHEVRIER MAIN	127
TABLE 23 - MODELED VARIOGRAM PARAMETERS FOR GOLD COMPOSITES AT CHEVRIER MAIN	130
TABLE 24 - BLOCK GRID DEFINITION – CHEVRIER MAIN	131
TABLE 25 - ESTIMATION PARAMETERS FOR GOLD – CHEVRIER MAIN	132
TABLE 26 - AVERAGE GOLD GRADE COMPARISON – POLYGONAL-DECLUSTERED COMPOSITES WITH BLOCK ESTIMATES – CHEVRIER MAIN	136
TABLE 27 - GOLD GRADE COMPARISON FOR BLOCKS PIERCED BY A DRILL HOLE – PAIRED COMPOSITE GRADES WITH BLOCK GRADE ESTIMATES – CHEVRIER MAIN	136
TABLE 28 - CLASSIFICATION DISTANCES – CHEVRIER MAIN	138
TABLE 29 - SPECIFIC GRAVITY – CHEVRIER MAIN	139
TABLE 30 - MINERAL RESOURCE CONSTRAINING PARAMETERS – CHEVRIER MAIN	139
TABLE 31 - INDICATED MINERAL RESOURCES – CHEVRIER MAIN ZONE.....	141
TABLE 32 - INFERRED MINERAL RESOURCES – CHEVRIER MAIN ZONE.....	142
TABLE 33 - STATISTICS ON GOLD GRADES OF ORIGINAL SAMPLES – CHEVRIER EAST ZONE.....	144
TABLE 34 - DRILL HOLE SPACING STATISTICS – CHEVRIER EAST ZONE	146
TABLE 35 - CODING OF THE GEOLOGIC ZONES AT CHEVRIER EAST	147
TABLE 36 - DRILL HOLE COMPOSITES SUMMARY WITHIN MINERALIZED ZONES AT CHEVRIER EAST	150
TABLE 37 - LIST OF CAPPING THRESHOLDS OF HIGHER GOLD GRADE OUTLIERS AT CHEVRIER EAST	152
TABLE 38 - MODELED VARIOGRAM PARAMETERS FOR GOLD COMPOSITES AT CHEVRIER EAST	155
TABLE 39 - BLOCK GRID DEFINITION – CHEVRIER EAST	155
TABLE 40 - ESTIMATION PARAMETERS FOR GOLD – CHEVRIER EAST ZONE.....	157
TABLE 41 - AVERAGE GOLD GRADE COMPARISON – POLYGONAL-DECLUSTERED COMPOSITES WITH BLOCK ESTIMATES – CHEVRIER EAST ZONE	160
TABLE 42 - GOLD GRADE COMPARISON FOR BLOCKS PIERCED BY A DRILL HOLE – PAIRED COMPOSITE GRADES WITH BLOCK GRADE ESTIMATES – CHEVRIER EAST	160
TABLE 43 - SPECIFIC GRAVITY – CHEVRIER EAST	162
TABLE 44 - MINERAL RESOURCE CONSTRAINING PARAMETERS – CHEVRIER EAST.....	163
TABLE 45 - INFERRED MINERAL RESOURCES – CHEVRIER EAST	164
TABLE 46 - INDICATED MINERAL RESOURCES – CHEVRIER PROPERTY	166
TABLE 47 - INFERRED MINERAL RESOURCES – CHEVRIER PROPERTY	167
TABLE 48 - TECHNICAL PARAMETERS OF PROPOSED DDHS ON THE CHEVRIER MAIN ZONE.....	174

Appendices

APPENDIX I - STATUTORY WORKS	I
APPENDIX II - LABORATORY ASSAY RESULTS FOR CORROBORATION.....	XXIV

1.0 SUMMARY

At the request of Genesis Metals Corp. (“Genesis”), Geologica Groupe-Conseil Inc. (“Geologica”) was given the mandate to prepare, in collaboration with two other specialized consultants (Mr. Marc Jutras, P. Eng. and Mr. Robert Banville, P. Eng.), a NI 43-101 Technical Report including Resource Calculation on the Chevrier Property (“the Property”). The issuer, Genesis Metals Corp., is a Canadian mineral exploration company, trading publicly on the TSX Venture Exchange in Canada with the symbol “GIS”. This report has been prepared in accordance with Canadian Securities Administrators National Instrument 43-101 Respecting Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects (“NI 43-101”) and its related Form 43-101F1.

The Property is located approximately 35 kilometres south of the town of Chibougamau in Abitibi, Northwestern Quebec. The Property is easily accessible using highway 113 and forestry logging roads. It consists of 455 contiguous mining claims covering an area of 22,959.27 hectares. The region is relatively flat with the presence of numerous lakes, rivers and swampy areas. The forest consists mainly of coniferous and deciduous varieties such as poplars, birches and alders. The climate is subarctic between temperate and polar characterized by short and cool summers and long and rigorous winters.

The regional geology of the Chapais-Chibougamau region is hosted within the Superior Province, which is part of the Abitibi Greenstone Belt. The Archean rock units are part of the Roy Group consisting of volcanics and the Opemisca Group made up of detritic volcanics which are discordant with the Roy Group. All the rocks are metamorphosed to the greenschist facies and locally to the amphibolite facies when proximal to intrusions. Structurally, the regional deformation has formed isoclinal folds with an east-west orientation which is associated with the regional deformation. The deformation is also responsible for the formation of the larger structures of domes and basins in the region. Locally, the property geology is dominantly underlain by calc-alkaline mafic volcanics, concordant and discordant gabbro dykes or sills and felsic to intermediate pyroclastic rocks. All the lithological units are cut by felsic quartz-feldspar porphyry (QFP) dykes. The mafic rocks of the region belong to the Obatogamau Formation while the pyroclastic units are part of the Waconichi Formation. The Property lies between the Muscocho Pluton to the west, the Verneuil Pluton to the south, the La Dauversière Pluton to the east and the Chibougamau Pluton to the north.

The Chevrier Main and South Zones are hosted within the Fancamp Deformation Corridor which is oriented NE/SW and sub-parallel to the lithological units. The presence of several felsic dykes within lapilli tuff breccia, massive dacites and rhyolites suggests that these dykes are part of the Chevrier Volcanic Center. The faults which are recognized at the regional scale have affected the central sector, notably a major fault separating the two (2) deposits which are approximately 1.2 km apart; the South Zone

being shallower than the Main Zone. Both deposits which are located on the eastern limb of the Muscocho Syncline are spatially associated with the uppermost unit of calc-alkaline subaqueous volcanic center which evolved from mafic to felsic composition in a submarine environment, mostly composed of massive dacites and rhyolites. The main alterations that are observed consist of carbonatization and chloritization where intense local sericite and ankerite can also be identified.

The Chevrier Main Zone consists of high grade discordant quartz-carbonate veins and disseminated pyrite mostly associated with melanocratic gabbro dykes. This Zone is highly deformed and show strong carbonate, sericite and chlorite alterations. Several structures cross cut the deposit which is associated with the major deformation event suggesting synvolcanic timing of the gold mineralization and a single hydrothermal event. The Chevrier Main Zone is characterized by "Vein Type" gold association with steeply dipping massive quartz-ankerite \pm tourmaline veins (0.7 to 2 meters thick) and their pyritized wall rocks.

The Chevrier South Zone is rather of "Disseminated Type", wider (up to 30 meters thick) and is associated with highly altered rocks (ankerite, sericite and pyrite) with minor ankerite veins. Native gold is found as inclusions in pyrite grains, as discrete grains in inclusions in pyrite fractures and more rarely with ankerite in quartz-ankerite veins. This deposit is non-carbonated hosted stockworks and disseminated of "Porgera Type" and shows many similarities with strata-bound gold deposits of the Andacollo mining district of Chile and of the East-Malartic and/or Holt-McDermott Deposits located along the Cadillac-Larder Lake and/or Destor-Porcupine Breaks respectively. The Chevrier South Zone has been investigated by only 19 drillholes which did not provide sufficient and reliable information to estimate a mineral resource.

During summer and autumn 2016, Genesis has completed the sampling of historical DDHs and old trenches in order to validate the previous results obtained by Minnova, Geonova and Tawsho Mining between 1989 and 2009. New trenches were also excavated on the Chevrier Main Zone area.

Between August and October 2016, Genesis has mandated Geologica to make a complete review of all available data (geology, geochemistry, geophysics and topography). Several maps at 1:25,000 and 1:10,000 scales were edited and assembled permitting the selection of priority target areas to explore in detail with future work. A total of twelve (12) selected prioritized selected areas of high favourability for mineralization on the Chevrier Property were identified.

During the autumn 2016, the "Table Jamésienne de Concertation Minière ("TJCM") has completed a summary evaluation of the geomorphology and quaternary aspects of the Property. This evaluation had an objective to know the nature of the deposit recovery of the Property.

During the period between May and August 2017, prospection and outcrop sampling with GPS location were completed by Geologica over the cut lines of the Chevrier and North-East grids of the Property. Prospection was completed with the aim of refreshing the surface mapping and verifying IP chargeability anomalies defined by Abitibi Geophysics. A total of four hundred twenty-one (421) outcrops were visited and described on the Chevrier and North-East grids. From those outcrops, a total of one hundred thirty-seven (137) samples including mineralized zones, oxidized zones, sheared zones and quartz veins were collected and assayed on the Property.

Between June and August 2017, twenty-four trenches were completed, followed by channel sampling in order to verify surface expressions of IP chargeability anomalies defined by Abitibi Geophysics. Thirteen (13) trenches were completed on the Chevrier grid and eleven (11) on the North-East grid. Trenches are less than 3 m under the overburden. A total of seven hundred seventy-seven (777) channel samples of 0.3 to 1.5 m each were collected and assayed on the Chevrier Property.

Between July and December 2017, Genesis has carried out fifty-eight (58) drill holes for a total of 10,195 m. All the drilling was conducted by “Forage Chibougamau Inc.” A total of 8,686 samples were collected from the drill core. All the samples were shipped to the accredited ActLabs laboratory at Ancaster in Ontario and were analyzed for gold with the atomic absorption method. When the sample exceeded 5 ppm Au, a second analysis was made but this time with the gravimetric method. The first part (exploration drilling) was to test induced polarization (IP) anomalies that were generated by the survey realized by “Abitibi Geophysics” in January 2017. The second part consisted of twins and infill drilling on the Chevrier Main Zone. Most significant results obtained during these two phases of drilling were:

- 2.94 g/t Au over 58.70 m including 14.01 g/t Au over 6.35 m in hole GM-17-09
- 1.93 g/t Au over 43.00 m including 3.06 g/t Au over 15.45 m in hole GM-17-15
- 2.00 g/t Au over 35.20 m within an interval of 0.93 g/t Au over 94.90 m in hole GM-17-20
- 1.13 g/t Au over 38.05 m in hole GM-17-21
- 1.23 g/t Au over 24.45 m in hole GM-17-22
- 8.73 g/t Au over 21.35 m including 37.97 g/t Au over 3.00 m in hole GM-17-42
- 3.59 g/t Au over 22.60 m in a separate zone in hole GM-17-42
- 4.26 g/t Au over 19.40 m including 8.99 g/t Au over 7.80 m in hole GM-17-48
- 4.47 g/t Au over 12.45 m within an interval of 1.08 g/t Au over 84.85 m in hole GM-17-46
- 5.06 g/t Au over 8.45 m and 1.23 g/t Au over 43.00 m in two intervals in hole GM-17-41
- 4.53 g/t Au over 13.80 m in hole GM-17-44
- 1.04 g/t Au over 50.05 m including 1.94 g/t Au over 17.10 m in hole GM-17-44

Between July 26th and September 20th 2018, an exploration program was carried out on the Property consisting in mapping, prospection and manual stripping with channel sampling. A total of 699 outcrops have been described and 451 samples were collected for gold analysis. The most significant value was obtained in trench T-29 (previous worked in 2017) with 1.185 ppm Au.

Between October 8th and 12th 2018, a channel sampling program was carried out over the Chevrier Main Zone (Trench T-06). A total of 17 samples have been sawed and analyzed for gold. The most significant result obtained was 3.63 g/t Au over 6.0 metres.

From October 26 to November 3, 2018, Géophysique TMC Inc. has completed an Induced polarization (IP) survey on the Property. This survey consisted of 20.6 line-km of IP using the dipole-dipole electrode array ($a=25$ m, $n=1$ to 6) and realized in the Lipsett and Malartic-Hygrade showing areas. These axes mainly point out weak to moderate ($2 < Ma < 7.5$ mV/V) chargeability anomalies partially correlated with resistivity highs or lows. Those better-defined axes, highlighting the anomalies of stronger amplitude, are clustered in the northwestern part of the grid and are elliptically shaped with ENE to NE striking directions.

The mineral resources of the Property are comprised of the Chevrier Main Zone and the Chevrier East Zone. For the Main Zone, the drill hole database is comprised of 205 holes with 56,718.7 meters of drilling. The mineral resource estimate is based on 163 drill holes intersecting the five modeled mineralized orebodies with 8,801 composites (1.0 meter). The composites were capped with thresholds selected from the probability plots and a cutting statistics utility for each of the five sub-zones. Variograms were modeled to assess the gold grade continuity of each sub-zone. Gold grade estimates were calculated with ordinary kriging for four of the sub-zones, while inverse distance squared was utilized for the grade estimation of the other sub-zone. A rotated block model (X axis at an azimuth of 43°) was discretized on 5m (strike) x 2.5m (across strike) x 5.0m (vertical) blocks over the area of interest. The estimates were validated and classified based on the gold grade continuity and drill density for the indicated portion of the deposit.

For the East Zone, the drill hole database is comprised of 34 drill holes with 8,520.1 meters of drilling and 133 surface channels represented as drill holes (or 280 individual surface channels) with 201.5 meters of sampling. The mineral resource estimate is based on 34 drill holes and 118 surface channels represented as drill holes (or 170 individual surface channels) intersecting the six modeled mineralized orebodies with a total of 643 (1.5 meters) composites. The composites were capped for four of the six sub-zones. Variograms were carried out on all data to assess the general continuity of gold grades and to help in dimensioning the search ellipsoids. An inverse distance squared approach was selected for the gold grade interpolation of the six sub-zones. An orthogonal block model was discretized on 5.0m (strike) x 2.5m (across strike) x 5.0m (vertical) blocks over the area of interest. The estimates were validated and classified as inferred.

The resource estimates for the Chevrier Main Zone and Chevrier East Zone are constrained within optimized Lerchs-Grossmann pit shells. Additionally, mineralized material below the optimized open pit shells was considered as amenable to bulk

underground mining. The mineral resource statement for each of the Chevrier Main Zone and Chevrier East Zone are presented in Table herebelow.

Mineral Resource for the Chevrier Main Zone and Chevrier East Zone

Indicated Resources¹ – Chevrier Property – Effective February 04, 2019

Zone	Tonnes	Grade (g/t Au)	Cut-off (g/t Au)	Contained oz Au
Main Zone Pit Constrained	8,903,000	1.13	0.3	323,000
Main Zone Underground	1,890,000	1.64	0.95	100,000
Total Main Zone	10,793,000	1.22		423,000

Inferred Resources¹ – Chevrier Property – Effective February 04, 2019

Zone	Tonnes	Grade (g/t Au)	Cut-off (g/t Au)	Contained oz Au
Main Zone Pit Constrained	1,684,000	1.12	0.3	61,000
Main Zone Underground	4,622,000	1.33	0.95	198,000
Total Main Zone	6,306,000	1.27		259,000
East Zone Pit Constrained	399,000	1.28	0.3	16,000
East Zone Underground	732,000	1.19	0.95	28,000
Total East Zone	1,131,000	1.22		44,000

¹ Mineral Resources are not Mineral Reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability. There is no certainty that all or any part of the Mineral Resources estimated will be converted into Mineral Reserves. The estimate of Mineral Resources may be materially affected by environmental, permitting, legal, title, taxation, socio-political, marketing, or other relevant issues. The CIM definitions were followed for the classification of Indicated and Inferred Mineral Resources. The quantity and grade of reported Inferred Mineral Resources in this estimation are uncertain in nature and there has been insufficient exploration to define these Inferred Mineral Resources as an Indicated Mineral Resource and it is uncertain if further exploration will result in upgrading them to an Indicated Mineral Resource category.

Following compilation and modeling of the mineralized zones by Geologica, it appears that areas of enrichments of gold mineralization occur at or nearby intersections of the NE oriented mineralized structures and E-W faults resulting in SW dipping ore shoots. A detail 3D compilation with emphasis on structural patterns of these enrichment zones will be required to enhance their understanding.

Based on the recent encouraging exploration efforts (prospection, geophysics, stripping/trenching, mapping, channel sampling and diamond drilling), the authors recommend follow up exploration work with prospection, stripping, mapping, sampling and drilling to permit a better knowledge of the mineralizations and a special effort on the Main, South, East and the Malartic Hygrade Zones to upgrade the resource. Moreover, prioritized exploration anomalies and targets should be verified with drilling.

PHASE 1 – Relogging, prospection, stripping/trenching, sampling and drilling

- 3D Structural Compilation: 15 days at 1,000\$/day 15,000 \$
- Core relogging and resampling of the 1988 DDH LC series in the East Zone
1 geologist & 1 assistant
10 days at 1,500\$/day 15,000 \$
- Prospection, mapping and sampling, 2 geologists & 2 assistants
45 days at 3,000\$/day 135,000 \$
- Manual and mechanical Stripping, mapping and sampling, with
1 geologist & 1 assistant (20 days at 4,000\$/day all included) 80,000 \$
- Laboratory Analysis (500 samples at 30\$/sample) 15,000 \$
- Definition Diamond Drilling on lateral and depth extensions
on the Chevrier Main Zone: 10,000 meters at 200\$/m (all included) 2,000,000 \$

Subtotal Phase 1: **2,260,000 \$**

PHASE 2 –Exploration and definition drilling (if warranted in Phase 1)

- Complementary Exploration Diamond Drilling on selected priority
surface targets generated by geological, Mag, IP and prospection
5,000 meters at 200\$/meter (all included) 1,000,000 \$
- Complementary Definition Diamond Drilling on extensions
of known mineralized zones (mainly Malartic Hygrade, East Zone
and Chevrier South Zone)
10,000 meters at 200\$/meter (all included) 2,000,000 \$

Sub-total Phase 2: **3,000,000 \$**

Sub-total Phases 1 & 2: **5,260,000 \$**

Supervision, management and Contingencies (≈15%) 790,000 \$

Total Phase 1 & 2: **6,050,000 \$**

2.0 INTRODUCTION, TERMS OF REFERENCE AND SCOPE OF WORK

At the request of Genesis Metals Corp. (“Genesis”), Geologica Groupe-Conseil Inc. (“Geologica”) was given the mandate to prepare, in collaboration with two other specialized consultants (Mr. Marc Jutras, P. Eng. and Mr. Robert Banville, P. Eng.), a NI 43-101 Technical Report including Resource Estimate on the Chevrier Property (“the Technical Report”). The issuer, Genesis Metals Corp., is a Canadian mineral exploration company, trading publicly on the TSX Venture Exchange in Canada. This report has been prepared in accordance with Canadian Securities Administrators National Instrument 43-101 Respecting Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects (“NI 43-101”) and its related Form 43-101F1. The resource estimate was completed by Mr. Marc Jutras, P. Eng.

2.1 Term of Reference

The issuer requested a NI 43-101 Technical Evaluation Report of the Property:

- Summary of past and recent exploration works;
- Resource Estimate of Chevrier Main and East Zones;
- Conclusions and recommendations for additional work to be done to confirm the potential of the Property;
- A Technical Evaluation Report according to Form 43-101F1.

2.2 Qualified persons and inspection of the Property

Daniel Gaudreault, engineer of Geologica Groupe-Conseil Inc., Robert Banville, engineer for R/RExploration have visited the Property currently during the year 2017 and Marc Jutras, engineer has visited the Property in November 2018.

The qualified persons (QPs) for the Technical Work Report are:

- Alain-Jean Beauregard, P. Geo. (OGQ # 227) from Geologica Groupe-Conseil Inc.
- Daniel Gaudreault, Eng. (OIQ #39834) from Geologica Groupe-Conseil Inc.
- Marc Jutras, P. Eng. (OIQ #38380) from Ginto Consulting Inc.
- Robert Banville, Eng. (OIQ #41807) from R/RExploration Inc.

The list below presents the sections for which each QP is responsible:

- Alain-Jean Beauregard : co-author of sections 1 to 10, 12,13 and 15 to 19;
- Daniel Gaudreault: co-author of sections 1 to 10, 12,13 and 15 to 19;
- Marc Jutras: author of the section 14 and co-author of sections 1, 12, 17 and 18;
- Robert Banville: author of the section 11 and co-author of sections 1, 17 and 18

2.3 Principal Sources of Information

As part of the current mandate, the independent qualified persons (QPs) as defined by NI 43-101 have reviewed the following with respect to the Chevrier Property: mining

titles and their status recorded in the “MERN–GESTIM” online claim management system; agreements and technical data supplied by the issuer (or its agents); public sources of relevant technical information available through “MERN – SIGEOM” online warehouse for assessment work; and the issuer’s filings on SEDAR (e.g., Press Releases and Management’s Discussion & Analysis reports).

Several of the geological and/or technical reports for the Property or other projects in the vicinity were prepared before the implementation of NI 43-101 in 2001. The authors of such reports appear to have been qualified and the information prepared according to standards that were acceptable to the exploration community at the time. In some cases, however, the data are incomplete and do not fully meet the current requirements of NI 43-101. The authors have no known reason to believe that any of the information used to prepare the Technical Report is invalid or contains misrepresentations. The authors have sourced the information for the Technical Report from the collection of reports listed in Item 27 – References and Appendix I – Statutory Work.

The authors believe the information used to prepare the Technical Report and to formulate its conclusions and recommendations is valid and appropriate considering the status of the Property and the purpose for which the report is prepared. The authors, by virtue of their technical review of the Property, affirm that the work program and recommendations presented in the report are in accordance with NI 43-101 and CIM Definition Standards for Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves.

The QPs do not have, nor have they previously had, any material interest in the issuer or its related entities. The relationship with the issuer is solely a professional association between the issuer and the independent consultants. The Technical Report was prepared in return for fees based upon agreed commercial rates, and the payment of these fees is in no way contingent on the results of the Technical Report.

2.3 Abbreviations, Units and Currencies

All currency amounts are stated in Canadian Dollars (\$, CA\$, CAD) or US dollars (US\$, USD). Quantities are stated in metric units, as per standard Canadian and international practice, including metric tons (tonnes, t) and kilograms (kg) for weight, kilometres (km) or metres (m) for distance, hectares (ha) for area, percentage (%) for copper and nickel grades, and gram per metric ton (g/t) for gold, platinum and palladium grades. Wherever applicable, imperial units have been converted to the International System of Units (SI units) for consistency.

Unit or Term	Abbreviation or Symbol
American dollars	US\$ or USD
billion	G
billion years	Ga
Canadian dollar	\$, CA\$, CAD

Unit or Term	Abbreviation or Symbol
centimetre	cm
chalcopyrite	cpy
carbon-in-pulp	CIP
cobalt	Co
copper	Cu
cubic metre	m ³
decametre	dm
degree Celsius	°C
diamond drill hole	DDH
Directive 019 sur l'industrie minière	Directive 019
electromagnetic	EM
foot	ft, '
gold	Au
gold equivalent	AuEq
gram	g
gram per cubic centimetre	g/cm ³
gram per metric ton	g/t
hectare	ha
horizontal loop electromagnetic	HLEM
inch	in, "
induced polarization	IP
inductively coupled plasma	ICP
iron	Fe
joint venture	JV
kilogram	kg
kilometre	km
magnetometer, magnetometric	Mag
metre	m
metres above sea level	masl
metric ton (tonne)	t
micron (micrometre)	µm
millimetre	mm
million	M
million metric tons	Mt
million ounces	Moz
million years	Ma
Ministère de l'Énergie et des Ressources Naturelles du Québec	MERN
Ministère des Forêts, de la Faune et des Parcs	MFFP

Unit or Term	Abbreviation or Symbol
Ministère du Développement durable, de l'Environnement et de la Lutte contre les changements climatiques	MDDELCC
National Instrument 43-101	NI 43-101, 43-101
net smelter return	NRS
nickel	Ni
ounce per short ton	oz/st, oz/t
palladium	Pd
part per billion	ppb
part per million	ppm
platinum	Pt
platinum group elements	PGE
platinum group metals	PGM
pyrite	py
pyrrhotite	po
short ton	st, ton
silver	Ag
thousand	k
thousand ounces	koz
tonnes (metric tons) per day	tpd
troy ounce	oz
tungsten	W
underground	UG, U/G
versatile time domain electromagnetic	VTEM
volcanogenic massive sulphide	VMS
zinc	Zn

Table 1- List of abbreviations

2.4 Effective date

The effective date of this Technical Report is February 4, 2019.

2.5 Disclaimer

There are no mineral reserves in this report. It should be understood that the mineral resources which are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. The mineral resources presented in this Technical Report are estimates based on available sampling and on assumptions and parameters available to the authors. The comments in this Technical Report reflect the author's best judgement in light of the information available.

3.0 RELIANCE ON OTHER EXPERTS

A large part of this report was taken from a previous technical fieldwork report prepared by Geologica in April 25, 2018 on the Chevrier Property and titled “2016-2017 Fieldwork Report on the Chevrier Property” (internal report).

Geologica and other independent consultants are not expert in legal, land tenure or environmental matters. The authors have relied on data and information provided by Genesis, and on previously completed technical reports (refers to Section 19 - Item 27 References).

The authors relied on reports and opinions as follows for information that is not within the author’s fields of expertise. While exercising all reasonable diligence in checking, confirming and testing the data and in formulating their opinions, the authors relied on the issuer for its project data and the data of previous operators on the Property.

The authors offer no legal opinion as to the validity of the mineral titles claimed. A description of the Property, and ownership thereof, is provided for general information purposes only.

4.0 PROPERTY DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION

4.1 Location

The Property is located approximately 35 km south of the town of Chibougamau or 30 km southeast of the town of Chapais in northwestern Quebec, covering parts of Fancamp, La Dauversière, Haüy and Queylus Townships, National Topographic Systems (NTS) 39G09 and 32G10 (Figure 1).




Figure 1 - Chevrier Property Location

4.2 Claim Status

The Property consists of 455 contiguous mining claims covering 22,957.27 hectares (Table 2 and Figures 2) of which Chevrier Metals Corp. holds 100% interest in 326 mining claims; IAMGold Corporation have an interest in the 30 mining titles; First Quantum Minerals Ltd. have an interest in the 2 mining titles and André Liboiron holds 100% interest in 97 mining titles (transfer to Chevrier Metals is in process).

The Property is free and clear of any and all liens (except the partnerships between Chevrier Metals - First Quantum Minerals and Chevrier Metals – IAMGold), charges, encumbrances, claims (actual, pending or threatened), interests of others of whatsoever nature and kind (“Encumbrances”). On the Property, only the Diana-Obatogamau mining claims are subject to a 0.5% NSR payable to Charles Robbins and Peter Smith (block with pink color in Figure 3).

	NTS Sheet	Title No	Expiry Date	Area (Ha)	Excess Work	Required Work	Required Fees	Titleholder(s) (Name, Number and Percentage)
1	NTS 32G09	1129608	2021-01-05 23:59	51.73	\$108,769.33	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
2	NTS 32G10	1129612	2021-01-05 23:59	28.5	\$5,592.09	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
3	NTS 32G10	1129613	2021-01-05 23:59	51.7	\$48,038.88	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
4	NTS 32G09	2140383	2019-12-18 23:59	55.72	\$250.00	\$1,800.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
5	NTS 32G09	2140384	2019-12-18 23:59	55.71	\$250.00	\$1,800.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
6	NTS 32G09	2140385	2019-12-18 23:59	55.71	\$250.00	\$1,800.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
7	NTS 32G09	2140386	2019-12-18 23:59	55.71	\$250.00	\$1,800.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
8	NTS 32G10	2140393	2019-12-18 23:59	55.72	\$250.00	\$1,800.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
9	NTS 32G10	2140394	2019-12-18 23:59	55.71	\$250.00	\$1,800.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
10	NTS 32G10	2140395	2019-12-18 23:59	55.71	\$250.00	\$1,800.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
11	NTS 32G10	2140396	2019-12-18 23:59	55.71	\$250.00	\$1,800.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
12	NTS 32G10	2421118	2021-04-15 23:59	55.8	\$38,675.25	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	IAMGold Corporation (87628) 36.17 % Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 63.83 % (responsible)
13	NTS 32G10	2421119	2021-04-15 23:59	4.81	\$3,230.78	\$1,000.00	\$33.25	IAMGold Corporation (87628) 36.17 % Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 63.83 % (responsible)
14	NTS 32G10	2421120	2021-04-15 23:59	1.54	\$1,278.42	\$1,000.00	\$33.25	IAMGold Corporation (87628) 36.17 % Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 63.83 % (responsible)
15	NTS 32G10	2421121	2021-04-15 23:59	44.1	\$32,945.32	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	IAMGold Corporation (87628) 36.17 % Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 63.83 % (responsible)
16	NTS 32G10	2421122	2021-04-15 23:59	7.67	\$5,389.62	\$1,000.00	\$33.25	IAMGold Corporation (87628) 36.17 % Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 63.83 % (responsible)
17	NTS 32G10	2421123	2021-04-15 23:59	49.2	\$35,763.05	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	IAMGold Corporation (87628) 36.17 % Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 63.83 % (responsible)
18	NTS 32G10	2421124	2021-04-15 23:59	10.41	\$7,457.86	\$1,000.00	\$33.25	IAMGold Corporation (87628) 36.17 % Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 63.83 % (responsible)
19	NTS 32G10	2421125	2021-04-15 23:59	16.9	\$12,356.77	\$1,000.00	\$33.25	IAMGold Corporation (87628) 36.17 % Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 63.83 % (responsible)

	NTS Sheet	Title No	Expiry Date	Area (Ha)	Excess Work	Required Work	Required Fees	Titleholder(s) (Name, Number and Percentage)
20	NTS 32G10	2421126	2021-04-15 23:59	5.78	\$3,962.97	\$1,000.00	\$33.25	IAMGold Corporation (87628) 36.17 % Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 63.83 % (responsible)
21	NTS 32G10	2421127	2021-04-15 23:59	8.21	\$5,797.22	\$1,000.00	\$33.25	IAMGold Corporation (87628) 36.17 % Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 63.83 % (responsible)
22	NTS 32G10	2421128	2021-04-15 23:59	47.27	\$34,822.18	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	IAMGold Corporation (87628) 36.17 % Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 63.83 % (responsible)
23	NTS 32G10	2421129	2021-04-15 23:59	20.02	\$15,743.81	\$1,000.00	\$33.25	IAMGold Corporation (87628) 36.17 % Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 63.83 % (responsible)
24	NTS 32G10	2421130	2021-04-15 23:59	9.94	\$8,651.00	\$1,000.00	\$33.25	IAMGold Corporation (87628) 36.17 % Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 63.83 % (responsible)
25	NTS 32G10	2421131	2021-04-15 23:59	48.74	\$35,931.79	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	IAMGold Corporation (87628) 36.17 % Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 63.83 % (responsible)
26	NTS 32G10	2421132	2021-04-15 23:59	26.36	\$18,522.54	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	IAMGold Corporation (87628) 36.17 % Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 63.83 % (responsible)
27	NTS 32G10	2421133	2021-04-15 23:59	2.24	\$1,290.84	\$1,000.00	\$33.25	IAMGold Corporation (87628) 36.17 % Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 63.83 % (responsible)
28	NTS 32G10	2421134	2021-04-15 23:59	25.97	\$18,228.16	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	IAMGold Corporation (87628) 36.17 % Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 63.83 % (responsible)
29	NTS 32G10	2421135	2021-04-15 23:59	38.01	\$27,316.41	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	IAMGold Corporation (87628) 36.17 % Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 63.83 % (responsible)
30	NTS 32G10	2421136	2021-04-15 23:59	41	\$29,573.37	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	IAMGold Corporation (87628) 36.17 % Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 63.83 % (responsible)
31	NTS 32G10	2421137	2021-04-15 23:59	52.39	\$39,202.91	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	IAMGold Corporation (87628) 36.17 % Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 63.83 % (responsible)

	NTS Sheet	Title No	Expiry Date	Area (Ha)	Excess Work	Required Work	Required Fees	Titleholder(s) (Name, Number and Percentage)
32	NTS 32G10	2421138	2021-04-15 23:59	53.42	\$28,272.85	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	IAMGold Corporation (87628) 36.17 % Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 63.83 % (responsible)
33	NTS 32G10	2421139	2021-04-15 23:59	27.56	\$19,428.34	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	IAMGold Corporation (87628) 36.17 % Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 63.83 % (responsible)
34	NTS 32G10	2421140	2021-04-15 23:59	22.43	\$17,562.95	\$1,000.00	\$33.25	IAMGold Corporation (87628) 36.17 % Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 63.83 % (responsible)
35	NTS 32G10	2421141	2021-04-15 23:59	13.55	\$9,828.06	\$1,000.00	\$33.25	IAMGold Corporation (87628) 36.17 % Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 63.83 % (responsible)
36	NTS 32G10	2421142	2021-04-15 23:59	32.69	\$23,816.62	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	IAMGold Corporation (87628) 36.17 % Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 63.83 % (responsible)
37	NTS 32G10	2421143	2021-04-15 23:59	0.38	\$0.00	\$1,000.00	\$33.25	IAMGold Corporation (87628) 36.17 % Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 63.83 % (responsible)
38	NTS 32G10	2421144	2021-04-15 23:59	2.95	\$1,826.78	\$1,000.00	\$33.25	IAMGold Corporation (87628) 36.17 % Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 63.83 % (responsible)
39	NTS 32G10	2421145	2021-04-15 23:59	55.15	\$42,721.02	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	IAMGold Corporation (87628) 36.17 % Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 63.83 % (responsible)
40	NTS 32G10	2421146	2021-04-15 23:59	1.28	\$566.19	\$1,000.00	\$33.25	IAMGold Corporation (87628) 36.17 % Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 63.83 % (responsible)
41	NTS 32G10	2421147	2021-04-15 23:59	14.73	\$10,718.76	\$1,000.00	\$33.25	IAMGold Corporation (87628) 36.17 % Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 63.83 % (responsible)
42	NTS 32G09	2421224	2020-08-01 23:59	55.76	\$141,567.59	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
43	NTS 32G09	2421225	2020-08-01 23:59	55.76	\$20,528.08	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
44	NTS 32G09	2421226	2020-08-01 23:59	55.76	\$20,528.08	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
45	NTS 32G09	2421227	2020-08-01 23:59	55.76	\$20,528.08	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
46	NTS 32G09	2421228	2020-08-01 23:59	55.76	\$21,044.05	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
47	NTS 32G09	2421229	2020-08-01 23:59	55.75	\$18,234.24	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
48	NTS 32G09	2421230	2020-08-01 23:59	55.75	\$19,354.16	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)

	NTS Sheet	Title No	Expiry Date	Area (Ha)	Excess Work	Required Work	Required Fees	Titleholder(s) (Name, Number and Percentage)
49	NTS 32G09	2421231	2020-08-01 23:59	55.75	\$20,524.16	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
50	NTS 32G09	2421232	2020-08-01 23:59	55.75	\$19,354.16	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
51	NTS 32G09	2421233	2020-08-01 23:59	55.75	\$20,524.16	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
52	NTS 32G09	2421234	2020-08-01 23:59	55.75	\$20,524.16	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
53	NTS 32G09	2421235	2020-08-01 23:59	55.75	\$20,524.16	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
54	NTS 32G09	2421236	2020-08-01 23:59	55.74	\$20,520.23	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
55	NTS 32G09	2421237	2020-08-01 23:59	55.74	\$20,520.23	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
56	NTS 32G09	2421238	2020-08-01 23:59	55.74	\$20,520.23	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
57	NTS 32G09	2421239	2020-08-01 23:59	55.74	\$20,520.23	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
58	NTS 32G09	2421240	2020-08-01 23:59	55.73	\$21,032.26	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
59	NTS 32G09	2421241	2020-08-01 23:59	55.73	\$34,849.49	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
60	NTS 32G09	2421242	2020-08-01 23:59	55.73	\$80,967.50	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
61	NTS 32G09	2421243	2020-08-01 23:59	55.73	\$25,703.19	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
62	NTS 32G09	2421244	2020-08-01 23:59	55.73	\$20,516.29	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
63	NTS 32G09	2421245	2020-08-01 23:59	55.73	\$20,516.29	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
64	NTS 32G09	2421246	2020-08-01 23:59	55.73	\$20,516.29	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
65	NTS 32G09	2421247	2020-08-01 23:59	55.73	\$21,032.26	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
66	NTS 32G09	2421248	2020-08-01 23:59	55.72	\$21,028.34	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
67	NTS 32G09	2421249	2020-08-01 23:59	55.72	\$20,512.37	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
68	NTS 32G09	2421250	2020-08-01 23:59	55.72	\$21,167.25	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
69	NTS 32G09	2421251	2020-08-01 23:59	55.72	\$216,758.07	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
70	NTS 32G09	2421252	2020-08-01 23:59	55.72	\$66,536.83	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
71	NTS 32G09	2421253	2020-08-01 23:59	55.72	\$124,381.87	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
72	NTS 32G09	2421254	2020-08-01 23:59	55.72	\$117,178.02	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
73	NTS 32G09	2421255	2020-08-01 23:59	55.72	\$57,501.40	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
74	NTS 32G09	2421256	2020-08-01 23:59	55.72	\$42,124.58	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
75	NTS 32G09	2421257	2020-08-01 23:59	55.72	\$104,411.72	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
76	NTS 32G09	2421258	2020-08-01 23:59	55.72	\$20,512.37	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
77	NTS 32G09	2421259	2020-08-01 23:59	55.72	\$21,544.31	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
78	NTS 32G09	2421260	2020-08-01 23:59	55.71	\$20,508.44	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
79	NTS 32G09	2421261	2020-08-01 23:59	55.71	\$20,508.44	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
80	NTS 32G09	2421262	2020-08-01 23:59	55.71	\$21,024.41	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
81	NTS 32G09	2421263	2020-08-01 23:59	55.71	\$21,024.41	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
82	NTS 32G09	2421264	2020-08-01 23:59	55.71	\$20,508.44	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
83	NTS 32G09	2421265	2020-08-01 23:59	55.71	\$22,773.81	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
84	NTS 32G09	2421266	2020-08-01 23:59	55.71	\$31,278.33	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)

	NTS Sheet	Title No	Expiry Date	Area (Ha)	Excess Work	Required Work	Required Fees	Titleholder(s) (Name, Number and Percentage)
85	NTS 32G09	2421267	2020-08-01 23:59	55.71	\$20,508.44	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
86	NTS 32G09	2421268	2020-08-01 23:59	55.71	\$20,508.44	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
87	NTS 32G09	2421269	2020-08-01 23:59	55.7	\$20,504.51	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
88	NTS 32G09	2421270	2020-08-01 23:59	55.7	\$20,504.51	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
89	NTS 32G09	2421271	2020-08-01 23:59	55.7	\$20,504.51	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
90	NTS 32G09	2421272	2020-08-01 23:59	55.7	\$20,504.51	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
91	NTS 32G09	2421273	2020-08-01 23:59	55.7	\$20,504.51	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
92	NTS 32G09	2421274	2020-08-01 23:59	55.7	\$20,504.51	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
93	NTS 32G09	2421275	2020-08-01 23:59	55.7	\$20,504.51	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
94	NTS 32G09	2421276	2020-08-01 23:59	55.7	\$20,504.51	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
95	NTS 32G09	2421277	2020-08-01 23:59	55.7	\$20,504.51	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
96	NTS 32G09	2421278	2020-08-01 23:59	55.7	\$20,504.51	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
97	NTS 32G09	2421279	2020-08-01 23:59	55.69	\$20,500.58	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
98	NTS 32G09	2421280	2020-08-01 23:59	55.69	\$20,500.58	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
99	NTS 32G09	2421281	2020-08-01 23:59	55.69	\$20,500.58	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
100	NTS 32G09	2421282	2020-08-01 23:59	55.69	\$21,532.52	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
101	NTS 32G09	2421283	2020-08-01 23:59	55.69	\$20,500.58	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
102	NTS 32G09	2421284	2020-08-01 23:59	55.69	\$20,500.58	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
103	NTS 32G09	2421285	2020-08-01 23:59	55.8	\$20,543.79	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
104	NTS 32G09	2421286	2020-08-01 23:59	55.8	\$20,543.79	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
105	NTS 32G09	2421287	2020-08-01 23:59	55.79	\$20,539.86	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
106	NTS 32G09	2421288	2020-08-01 23:59	55.79	\$20,539.86	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
107	NTS 32G09	2421289	2020-08-01 23:59	55.79	\$20,539.86	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
108	NTS 32G09	2421290	2020-08-01 23:59	55.79	\$20,539.86	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
109	NTS 32G09	2421291	2020-08-01 23:59	55.78	\$20,535.94	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
110	NTS 32G09	2421292	2020-08-01 23:59	55.78	\$20,535.94	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
111	NTS 32G09	2421293	2020-08-01 23:59	55.78	\$20,535.94	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
112	NTS 32G09	2421294	2020-08-01 23:59	55.77	\$20,746.30	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
113	NTS 32G09	2421295	2020-08-01 23:59	55.77	\$20,532.01	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
114	NTS 32G09	2421296	2020-08-01 23:59	55.77	\$20,532.01	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
115	NTS 32G09	2421297	2020-08-01 23:59	55.77	\$20,532.01	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
116	NTS 32G09	2421298	2020-08-01 23:59	55.77	\$20,532.01	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
117	NTS 32G10	2421299	2020-08-01 23:59	55.83	\$20,555.58	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
118	NTS 32G10	2421300	2020-08-01 23:59	55.83	\$21,071.55	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
119	NTS 32G10	2421301	2020-08-01 23:59	55.83	\$20,555.58	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
120	NTS 32G10	2421302	2020-08-01 23:59	55.82	\$20,551.66	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)

	NTS Sheet	Title No	Expiry Date	Area (Ha)	Excess Work	Required Work	Required Fees	Titleholder(s) (Name, Number and Percentage)
121	NTS 32G10	2421303	2020-08-01 23:59	55.82	\$20,551.66	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
122	NTS 32G10	2421304	2020-08-01 23:59	55.82	\$20,551.66	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
123	NTS 32G10	2421305	2020-08-01 23:59	55.81	\$20,547.72	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
124	NTS 32G10	2421306	2020-08-01 23:59	55.81	\$20,547.72	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
125	NTS 32G10	2421307	2020-08-01 23:59	55.81	\$20,547.72	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
126	NTS 32G10	2421308	2020-08-01 23:59	55.81	\$20,547.72	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
127	NTS 32G10	2421309	2020-08-01 23:59	55.8	\$20,543.79	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
128	NTS 32G10	2421310	2020-08-01 23:59	55.8	\$20,543.79	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
129	NTS 32G10	2421311	2020-08-01 23:59	55.81	\$20,547.72	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
130	NTS 32G10	2421312	2020-08-01 23:59	55.8	\$20,543.79	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
131	NTS 32G10	2421313	2020-08-01 23:59	55.8	\$20,543.79	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
132	NTS 32G10	2421314	2020-08-01 23:59	55.79	\$20,539.86	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
133	NTS 32G10	2421315	2020-08-01 23:59	55.79	\$20,539.86	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
134	NTS 32G10	2421316	2020-08-01 23:59	55.78	\$20,535.94	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
135	NTS 32G10	2421317	2020-08-01 23:59	55.78	\$20,535.93	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
136	NTS 32G10	2421318	2020-08-01 23:59	55.77	\$20,532.00	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
137	NTS 32G10	2421319	2020-08-01 23:59	55.77	\$41,585.86	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
138	NTS 32G10	2421320	2020-08-01 23:59	55.76	\$20,528.08	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
139	NTS 32G10	2421321	2020-08-01 23:59	55.76	\$21,044.05	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
140	NTS 32G10	2421322	2020-08-01 23:59	55.76	\$365,121.04	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
141	NTS 32G10	2421323	2020-08-01 23:59	55.76	\$1,014,930.06	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
142	NTS 32G10	2421324	2020-08-01 23:59	55.76	\$111,263.77	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
143	NTS 32G10	2421325	2020-08-01 23:59	55.75	\$20,524.15	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
144	NTS 32G10	2421326	2020-08-01 23:59	55.75	\$25,116.12	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
145	NTS 32G10	2421327	2020-08-01 23:59	55.75	\$29,218.25	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
146	NTS 32G10	2421328	2020-08-01 23:59	55.75	\$45,183.42	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
147	NTS 32G10	2421329	2020-08-01 23:59	55.74	\$20,520.22	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
148	NTS 32G10	2421330	2020-08-01 23:59	55.74	\$20,826.35	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
149	NTS 32G10	2421331	2020-08-01 23:59	55.74	\$20,520.22	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
150	NTS 32G10	2421332	2020-08-01 23:59	55.73	\$20,516.29	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
151	NTS 32G10	2421333	2020-08-01 23:59	55.73	\$20,516.29	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
152	NTS 32G10	2421334	2020-08-01 23:59	55.73	\$20,516.29	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
153	NTS 32G10	2421335	2020-08-01 23:59	55.73	\$20,516.29	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
154	NTS 32G10	2421336	2020-08-01 23:59	55.73	\$21,443.56	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
155	NTS 32G10	2421337	2020-08-01 23:59	55.72	\$20,512.37	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
156	NTS 32G10	2421338	2020-08-01 23:59	55.72	\$20,512.37	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)

	NTS Sheet	Title No	Expiry Date	Area (Ha)	Excess Work	Required Work	Required Fees	Titleholder(s) (Name, Number and Percentage)
157	NTS 32G10	2421339	2020-08-01 23:59	55.72	\$20,512.37	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
158	NTS 32G10	2421340	2020-08-01 23:59	55.72	\$20,512.37	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
159	NTS 32G10	2421341	2020-08-01 23:59	55.82	\$20,551.66	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
160	NTS 32G10	2421342	2020-08-01 23:59	55.8	\$20,543.80	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
161	NTS 32G10	2421343	2020-08-01 23:59	55.8	\$20,543.80	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
162	NTS 32G10	2421344	2020-08-01 23:59	55.78	\$20,535.95	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
163	NTS 32G10	2421345	2020-08-01 23:59	55.77	\$41,487.11	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
164	NTS 32G10	2421346	2020-08-01 23:59	55.75	\$128,445.54	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
165	NTS 32G09	2421347	2020-08-01 23:59	55.78	\$20,535.95	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
166	NTS 32G09	2421348	2020-08-01 23:59	55.77	\$20,532.02	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
167	NTS 32G09	2421349	2020-08-01 23:59	55.76	\$20,711.77	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
168	NTS 32G09	2421350	2020-08-01 23:59	55.75	\$20,524.16	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
169	NTS 32G09	2421351	2020-08-01 23:59	55.75	\$20,524.16	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
170	NTS 32G09	2421352	2020-08-01 23:59	55.74	\$24,285.80	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
171	NTS 32G09	2421353	2020-08-01 23:59	55.72	\$21,544.32	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
172	NTS 32G09	2421354	2020-08-01 23:59	55.71	\$22,056.36	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
173	NTS 32G09	2421355	2020-08-01 23:59	55.71	\$20,508.45	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
174	NTS 32G09	2421356	2020-08-01 23:59	55.7	\$20,504.52	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
175	NTS 32G09	2421357	2020-08-01 23:59	55.69	\$20,500.59	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
176	NTS 32G09	2421358	2020-08-01 23:59	55.69	\$20,500.59	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
177	NTS 32G09	2421359	2020-08-01 23:59	55.78	\$20,535.95	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
178	NTS 32G09	2421360	2020-08-01 23:59	55.69	\$20,500.59	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
179	NTS 32G09	2421361	2020-08-01 23:59	55.73	\$24,259.96	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
180	NTS 32G10	2421362	2020-08-01 23:59	29.05	\$10,036.13	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
181	NTS 32G09	2421363	2020-08-01 23:59	4.01	\$1,175.17	\$1,000.00	\$33.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
182	NTS 32G10	2421364	2020-08-01 23:59	27.24	\$9,325.15	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
183	NTS 32G10	2421365	2020-08-01 23:59	4.04	\$1,416.56	\$1,000.00	\$33.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
184	NTS 32G09	2421366	2020-08-01 23:59	3.97	\$1,159.47	\$1,000.00	\$33.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
185	NTS 32G09	2421367	2020-08-01 23:59	20.34	\$7,589.76	\$1,000.00	\$33.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
186	NTS 32G09	2421368	2020-08-01 23:59	53.84	\$22,557.14	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
187	NTS 32G09	2421369	2020-08-01 23:59	48.84	\$17,809.84	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
188	NTS 32G09	2421370	2020-08-01 23:59	31.3	\$11,435.93	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
189	NTS 32G09	2421371	2020-08-01 23:59	53.68	\$23,173.98	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
190	NTS 32G10	2421372	2020-08-01 23:59	18.26	\$6,772.71	\$1,000.00	\$33.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
191	NTS 32G10	2421373	2020-08-01 23:59	53.59	\$19,675.69	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
192	NTS 32G10	2421374	2020-08-01 23:59	9.92	\$3,496.68	\$1,000.00	\$33.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)

	NTS Sheet	Title No	Expiry Date	Area (Ha)	Excess Work	Required Work	Required Fees	Titleholder(s) (Name, Number and Percentage)
193	NTS 32G10	2421375	2020-08-01 23:59	41.09	\$15,281.54	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
194	NTS 32G10	2421376	2020-08-01 23:59	50.03	\$18,277.29	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
195	NTS 32G10	2421377	2020-08-01 23:59	6.6	\$2,192.55	\$1,000.00	\$33.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
196	NTS 32G10	2421378	2020-08-01 23:59	17.8	\$6,592.02	\$1,000.00	\$33.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
197	NTS 32G10	2421379	2020-08-01 23:59	47.6	\$17,322.76	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
198	NTS 32G10	2421380	2020-08-01 23:59	38.9	\$4,532.87	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
199	NTS 32G10	2421381	2020-08-01 23:59	23.1	\$8,673.92	\$1,000.00	\$33.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
200	NTS 32G10	2421382	2020-08-01 23:59	1.62	\$250.01	\$1,000.00	\$33.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
201	NTS 32G10	2421383	2020-08-01 23:59	1.79	\$303.14	\$1,000.00	\$33.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
202	NTS 32G10	2421384	2020-08-01 23:59	29.83	\$10,342.53	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
203	NTS 32G10	2421385	2020-08-01 23:59	54.26	\$20,454.84	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
204	NTS 32G10	2421386	2020-08-01 23:59	29.43	\$10,185.40	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
205	NTS 32G10	2421387	2020-08-01 23:59	0.64	\$250.01	\$1,000.00	\$33.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
206	NTS 32G10	2421388	2020-08-01 23:59	11.68	\$4,188.03	\$1,000.00	\$33.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
207	NTS 32G10	2421389	2020-08-01 23:59	27.81	\$9,549.05	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
208	NTS 32G10	2421390	2020-08-01 23:59	0.41	\$250.01	\$1,000.00	\$33.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
209	NTS 32G10	2421391	2020-08-01 23:59	38.6	\$14,303.44	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
210	NTS 32G10	2421392	2020-08-01 23:59	3.63	\$250.01	\$1,000.00	\$33.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
211	NTS 32G10	2421393	2020-08-01 23:59	55.4	\$20,386.67	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
212	NTS 32G10	2421394	2020-08-01 23:59	35.75	\$12,667.96	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
213	NTS 32G10	2421395	2020-08-01 23:59	7.04	\$3,397.33	\$1,000.00	\$33.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
214	NTS 32G10	2421396	2020-08-01 23:59	8.51	\$2,942.82	\$1,000.00	\$33.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
215	NTS 32G10	2421397	2020-08-01 23:59	45.37	\$16,962.76	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
216	NTS 32G10	2421398	2020-08-01 23:59	52.82	\$19,373.23	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
217	NTS 32G10	2421399	2020-08-01 23:59	53.87	\$250.01	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
218	NTS 32G10	2421400	2020-08-01 23:59	49.17	\$17,939.47	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
219	NTS 32G10	2421401	2020-08-01 23:59	36.21	\$18,008.36	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
220	NTS 32G10	2421402	2020-08-01 23:59	33.34	\$16,365.02	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
221	NTS 32G09	2421463	2020-11-30 23:59	35.4	\$17,812.25	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
222	NTS 32G09	2421464	2020-11-30 23:59	1.9	\$767.91	\$1,000.00	\$33.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
223	NTS 32G09	2421465	2020-11-30 23:59	6.63	\$3,270.83	\$1,000.00	\$33.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
224	NTS 32G09	2421466	2020-11-30 23:59	2.06	\$852.59	\$1,000.00	\$33.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
225	NTS 32G09	2421467	2020-11-30 23:59	51.76	\$26,985.26	\$2,500.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
226	NTS 32G09	2421468	2020-11-30 23:59	24.45	\$12,700.45	\$1,000.00	\$33.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
227	NTS 32G10	2421579	2019-07-26 23:59	6.6	\$250.01	\$1,000.00	\$33.25	First Quantum Minerals Ltd. (94326) 90 % Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 10 % (responsible)

	NTS Sheet	Title No	Expiry Date	Area (Ha)	Excess Work	Required Work	Required Fees	Titleholder(s) (Name, Number and Percentage)
228	NTS 32G10	2421580	2019-07-26 23:59	14.74	\$1,281.95	\$1,000.00	\$33.25	First Quantum Minerals Ltd. (94326) 90 % Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 10 % (responsible)
229	NTS 32G09	2497142	2019-07-06 23:59	55.76	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
230	NTS 32G09	2497143	2019-07-06 23:59	55.76	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
231	NTS 32G09	2497144	2019-07-06 23:59	55.76	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
232	NTS 32G09	2497145	2019-07-06 23:59	55.76	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
233	NTS 32G09	2497146	2019-07-06 23:59	55.76	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
234	NTS 32G09	2497147	2019-07-06 23:59	55.76	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
235	NTS 32G09	2497148	2019-07-06 23:59	55.76	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
236	NTS 32G09	2497149	2019-07-06 23:59	55.76	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
237	NTS 32G09	2497150	2019-07-06 23:59	55.76	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
238	NTS 32G09	2497151	2019-07-06 23:59	55.76	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
239	NTS 32G09	2497152	2019-07-06 23:59	55.76	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
240	NTS 32G09	2497153	2019-07-06 23:59	55.76	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
241	NTS 32G09	2497154	2019-07-06 23:59	55.76	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
242	NTS 32G09	2497155	2019-07-06 23:59	55.75	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
243	NTS 32G09	2497156	2019-07-06 23:59	55.75	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
244	NTS 32G09	2497157	2019-07-06 23:59	55.75	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
245	NTS 32G09	2497158	2019-07-06 23:59	55.75	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
246	NTS 32G09	2497159	2019-07-06 23:59	55.75	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
247	NTS 32G09	2497160	2019-07-06 23:59	55.7	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
248	NTS 32G09	2497161	2019-07-06 23:59	55.7	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
249	NTS 32G09	2497162	2019-07-06 23:59	55.7	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
250	NTS 32G09	2497163	2019-07-06 23:59	55.69	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
251	NTS 32G09	2497164	2019-07-06 23:59	55.69	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
252	NTS 32G09	2497165	2019-07-06 23:59	55.69	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
253	NTS 32G09	2497166	2019-07-06 23:59	55.68	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
254	NTS 32G09	2497167	2019-07-06 23:59	55.68	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
255	NTS 32G09	2497168	2019-07-06 23:59	55.67	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
256	NTS 32G09	2497169	2019-07-06 23:59	55.67	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
257	NTS 32G10	2497170	2019-07-06 23:59	55.71	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
258	NTS 32G10	2497171	2019-07-06 23:59	55.7	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
259	NTS 32G10	2497172	2019-07-06 23:59	55.7	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
260	NTS 32G10	2497173	2019-07-06 23:59	55.7	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
261	NTS 32G10	2497174	2019-07-06 23:59	55.7	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
262	NTS 32G10	2497175	2019-07-06 23:59	55.69	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)

	NTS Sheet	Title No	Expiry Date	Area (Ha)	Excess Work	Required Work	Required Fees	Titleholder(s) (Name, Number and Percentage)
263	NTS 32G10	2497176	2019-07-06 23:59	55.69	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
264	NTS 32G10	2497177	2019-07-06 23:59	55.69	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
265	NTS 32G10	2497178	2019-07-06 23:59	55.68	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
266	NTS 32G10	2497179	2019-07-06 23:59	55.68	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
267	NTS 32G10	2497180	2019-07-06 23:59	55.67	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
268	NTS 32G10	2497181	2019-07-06 23:59	55.67	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
269	NTS 32G10	2497182	2019-07-06 23:59	55.76	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
270	NTS 32G10	2497183	2019-07-06 23:59	55.75	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
271	NTS 32G10	2497184	2019-07-06 23:59	55.75	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
272	NTS 32G10	2497185	2019-07-06 23:59	55.75	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
273	NTS 32G10	2497186	2019-07-06 23:59	55.75	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
274	NTS 32G10	2497187	2019-07-06 23:59	55.75	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
275	NTS 32G10	2497188	2019-07-06 23:59	55.75	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
276	NTS 32G10	2497189	2019-07-06 23:59	55.75	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
277	NTS 32G10	2497190	2019-07-06 23:59	55.75	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
278	NTS 32G10	2497191	2019-07-06 23:59	55.75	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
279	NTS 32G10	2497192	2019-07-06 23:59	55.74	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
280	NTS 32G10	2497193	2019-07-06 23:59	55.74	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
281	NTS 32G10	2497194	2019-07-06 23:59	55.74	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
282	NTS 32G10	2497195	2019-07-06 23:59	55.74	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
283	NTS 32G10	2497196	2019-07-06 23:59	55.74	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
284	NTS 32G10	2497197	2019-07-06 23:59	55.74	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
285	NTS 32G10	2497198	2019-07-06 23:59	55.74	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
286	NTS 32G10	2497199	2019-07-06 23:59	55.74	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
287	NTS 32G10	2497200	2019-07-06 23:59	55.74	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
288	NTS 32G10	2497201	2019-07-06 23:59	55.74	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
289	NTS 32G10	2497202	2019-07-06 23:59	55.73	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
290	NTS 32G10	2497203	2019-07-06 23:59	55.73	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
291	NTS 32G10	2497204	2019-07-06 23:59	55.73	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
292	NTS 32G10	2497205	2019-07-06 23:59	55.73	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
293	NTS 32G10	2497206	2019-07-06 23:59	55.73	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
294	NTS 32G10	2497207	2019-07-06 23:59	55.73	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
295	NTS 32G10	2497208	2019-07-06 23:59	55.73	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
296	NTS 32G10	2497209	2019-07-06 23:59	55.73	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
297	NTS 32G10	2497210	2019-07-06 23:59	55.73	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
298	NTS 32G10	2497211	2019-07-06 23:59	55.73	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)

	NTS Sheet	Title No	Expiry Date	Area (Ha)	Excess Work	Required Work	Required Fees	Titleholder(s) (Name, Number and Percentage)
299	NTS 32G10	2497212	2019-07-06 23:59	55.72	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
300	NTS 32G10	2497213	2019-07-06 23:59	55.72	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
301	NTS 32G10	2497214	2019-07-06 23:59	55.72	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
302	NTS 32G10	2497215	2019-07-06 23:59	55.72	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
303	NTS 32G10	2497216	2019-07-06 23:59	55.72	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
304	NTS 32G10	2497217	2019-07-06 23:59	55.72	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
305	NTS 32G10	2497218	2019-07-06 23:59	55.72	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
306	NTS 32G10	2497219	2019-07-06 23:59	55.72	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
307	NTS 32G10	2497220	2019-07-06 23:59	55.72	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
308	NTS 32G10	2497221	2019-07-06 23:59	55.72	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
309	NTS 32G10	2497222	2019-07-06 23:59	55.72	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
310	NTS 32G10	2497223	2019-07-06 23:59	55.71	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
311	NTS 32G10	2497224	2019-07-06 23:59	55.71	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
312	NTS 32G10	2497225	2019-07-06 23:59	55.71	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
313	NTS 32G10	2497226	2019-07-06 23:59	55.71	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
314	NTS 32G10	2497227	2019-07-06 23:59	55.71	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
315	NTS 32G10	2497228	2019-07-06 23:59	55.71	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
316	NTS 32G10	2497229	2019-07-06 23:59	55.7	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
317	NTS 32G10	2497230	2019-07-06 23:59	55.7	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
318	NTS 32G10	2497231	2019-07-06 23:59	55.69	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
319	NTS 32G09	2497232	2019-07-09 23:59	55.72	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
320	NTS 32G09	2497233	2019-07-09 23:59	55.72	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
321	NTS 32G09	2497234	2019-07-09 23:59	55.72	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
322	NTS 32G09	2497235	2019-07-09 23:59	55.72	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
323	NTS 32G09	2497236	2019-07-09 23:59	55.71	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
324	NTS 32G09	2497237	2019-07-09 23:59	55.71	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
325	NTS 32G09	2499572	2019-08-08 23:59	55.66	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
326	NTS 32G09	2499573	2019-08-08 23:59	55.66	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
327	NTS 32G09	2499574	2019-08-08 23:59	55.65	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
328	NTS 32G09	2499575	2019-08-08 23:59	55.65	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
329	NTS 32G09	2499576	2019-08-08 23:59	55.64	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
330	NTS 32G09	2499577	2019-08-08 23:59	55.64	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
331	NTS 32G09	2499578	2019-08-08 23:59	55.64	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
332	NTS 32G09	2499579	2019-08-08 23:59	55.63	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
333	NTS 32G09	2499580	2019-08-08 23:59	55.63	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
334	NTS 32G10	2499581	2019-08-08 23:59	55.66	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)

	NTS Sheet	Title No	Expiry Date	Area (Ha)	Excess Work	Required Work	Required Fees	Titleholder(s) (Name, Number and Percentage)
335	NTS 32G10	2499582	2019-08-08 23:59	55.66	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
336	NTS 32G10	2499583	2019-08-08 23:59	55.65	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
337	NTS 32G10	2499584	2019-08-08 23:59	55.65	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
338	NTS 32G10	2499585	2019-08-08 23:59	55.64	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
339	NTS 32G10	2499586	2019-08-08 23:59	55.64	\$250.01	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
340	NTS 32G10	2515468	2020-04-05 23:59	55.81	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
341	NTS 32G10	2515469	2020-04-05 23:59	55.81	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
342	NTS 32G10	2515470	2020-04-05 23:59	55.8	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
343	NTS 32G10	2515471	2020-04-05 23:59	55.8	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
344	NTS 32G10	2515472	2020-04-05 23:59	55.8	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
345	NTS 32G10	2515473	2020-04-05 23:59	55.8	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
346	NTS 32G10	2515474	2020-04-05 23:59	55.8	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
347	NTS 32G10	2515475	2020-04-05 23:59	55.8	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
348	NTS 32G10	2515476	2020-04-05 23:59	55.79	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
349	NTS 32G10	2515477	2020-04-05 23:59	55.79	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
350	NTS 32G10	2515478	2020-04-05 23:59	55.79	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
351	NTS 32G10	2515479	2020-04-05 23:59	55.79	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
352	NTS 32G10	2515480	2020-04-05 23:59	55.79	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
353	NTS 32G10	2515481	2020-04-05 23:59	55.79	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
354	NTS 32G10	2515482	2020-04-05 23:59	55.78	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
355	NTS 32G10	2515483	2020-04-05 23:59	55.78	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
356	NTS 32G10	2517027	2020-04-25 23:59	55.78	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
357	NTS 32G09	2520067	2020-06-27 23:59	55.75	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
358	NTS 32G09	2520068	2020-06-27 23:59	55.74	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Chevrier Metals Corp. (96416) 100 % (responsible)
359	NTS 32G09	2529306	2021-01-06 23:59	55.69	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
360	NTS 32G09	2529307	2021-01-06 23:59	55.69	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
361	NTS 32G09	2529308	2021-01-06 23:59	55.68	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
362	NTS 32G09	2529309	2021-01-06 23:59	55.68	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
363	NTS 32G09	2529310	2021-01-06 23:59	55.68	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
364	NTS 32G09	2529311	2021-01-06 23:59	55.68	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
365	NTS 32G09	2529312	2021-01-06 23:59	55.68	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
366	NTS 32G09	2529313	2021-01-06 23:59	55.68	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
367	NTS 32G09	2529314	2021-01-06 23:59	55.68	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
368	NTS 32G09	2529315	2021-01-06 23:59	55.68	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
369	NTS 32G09	2529316	2021-01-06 23:59	55.68	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
370	NTS 32G09	2529317	2021-01-06 23:59	55.67	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)

	NTS Sheet	Title No	Expiry Date	Area (Ha)	Excess Work	Required Work	Required Fees	Titleholder(s) (Name, Number and Percentage)
371	NTS 32G09	2529318	2021-01-06 23:59	55.67	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
372	NTS 32G09	2529319	2021-01-06 23:59	55.67	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
373	NTS 32G09	2529320	2021-01-06 23:59	55.67	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
374	NTS 32G09	2529321	2021-01-06 23:59	55.67	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
375	NTS 32G09	2529322	2021-01-06 23:59	55.67	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
376	NTS 32G09	2529323	2021-01-06 23:59	55.67	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
377	NTS 32G09	2529324	2021-01-06 23:59	55.67	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
378	NTS 32G09	2529325	2021-01-06 23:59	55.66	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
379	NTS 32G09	2529326	2021-01-06 23:59	55.66	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
380	NTS 32G09	2529327	2021-01-06 23:59	55.66	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
381	NTS 32G09	2529328	2021-01-06 23:59	55.66	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
382	NTS 32G09	2529329	2021-01-06 23:59	55.66	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
383	NTS 32G09	2529330	2021-01-06 23:59	55.66	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
384	NTS 32G09	2529331	2021-01-06 23:59	55.66	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
385	NTS 32G09	2529332	2021-01-06 23:59	55.65	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
386	NTS 32G09	2529333	2021-01-06 23:59	55.65	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
387	NTS 32G09	2529334	2021-01-06 23:59	55.65	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
388	NTS 32G09	2529335	2021-01-06 23:59	55.65	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
389	NTS 32G09	2529336	2021-01-06 23:59	55.65	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
390	NTS 32G09	2529337	2021-01-06 23:59	55.65	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
391	NTS 32G09	2529338	2021-01-06 23:59	55.65	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
392	NTS 32G09	2529339	2021-01-06 23:59	55.64	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
393	NTS 32G09	2529340	2021-01-06 23:59	55.64	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
394	NTS 32G09	2529341	2021-01-06 23:59	55.64	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
395	NTS 32G09	2529342	2021-01-06 23:59	55.64	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
396	NTS 32G09	2529343	2021-01-06 23:59	55.65	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
397	NTS 32G09	2529344	2021-01-06 23:59	55.65	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
398	NTS 32G09	2529345	2021-01-06 23:59	55.56	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
399	NTS 32G09	2529523	2021-01-08 23:59	55.75	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
400	NTS 32G09	2529524	2021-01-08 23:59	55.75	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
401	NTS 32G09	2529525	2021-01-08 23:59	55.71	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
402	NTS 32G09	2529526	2021-01-08 23:59	55.71	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
403	NTS 32G09	2529527	2021-01-08 23:59	55.7	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
404	NTS 32G09	2529528	2021-01-08 23:59	55.7	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
405	NTS 32G09	2529529	2021-01-08 23:59	55.7	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
406	NTS 32G09	2529530	2021-01-08 23:59	55.7	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)

	NTS Sheet	Title No	Expiry Date	Area (Ha)	Excess Work	Required Work	Required Fees	Titleholder(s) (Name, Number and Percentage)
407	NTS 32G09	2529531	2021-01-08 23:59	55.69	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
408	NTS 32G09	2529532	2021-01-08 23:59	55.69	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
409	NTS 32G09	2529533	2021-01-08 23:59	55.69	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
410	NTS 32G09	2529534	2021-01-08 23:59	55.69	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
411	NTS 32G09	2529535	2021-01-08 23:59	55.69	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
412	NTS 32G09	2529536	2021-01-08 23:59	55.69	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
413	NTS 32G09	2529537	2021-01-08 23:59	55.69	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
414	NTS 32G09	2529538	2021-01-08 23:59	55.68	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
415	NTS 32G09	2529539	2021-01-08 23:59	55.68	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
416	NTS 32G09	2529540	2021-01-08 23:59	55.68	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
417	NTS 32G09	2529541	2021-01-08 23:59	55.68	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
418	NTS 32G09	2529542	2021-01-08 23:59	55.68	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
419	NTS 32G09	2529543	2021-01-08 23:59	55.68	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
420	NTS 32G09	2529544	2021-01-08 23:59	55.68	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
421	NTS 32G09	2529545	2021-01-08 23:59	55.68	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
422	NTS 32G09	2529546	2021-01-08 23:59	55.68	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
423	NTS 32G09	2529547	2021-01-08 23:59	55.68	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
424	NTS 32G09	2529548	2021-01-08 23:59	55.68	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
425	NTS 32G09	2529549	2021-01-08 23:59	55.68	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
426	NTS 32G09	2529550	2021-01-08 23:59	55.68	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
427	NTS 32G09	2529551	2021-01-08 23:59	55.67	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
428	NTS 32G09	2529552	2021-01-08 23:59	55.67	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
429	NTS 32G09	2529553	2021-01-08 23:59	55.67	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
430	NTS 32G09	2529554	2021-01-08 23:59	55.67	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
431	NTS 32G09	2529555	2021-01-08 23:59	55.68	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
432	NTS 32G10	2529582	2021-01-10 23:59	55.66	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
433	NTS 32G10	2529583	2021-01-10 23:59	55.66	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
434	NTS 32G10	2529584	2021-01-10 23:59	55.66	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
435	NTS 32G10	2529585	2021-01-10 23:59	55.66	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
436	NTS 32G10	2529586	2021-01-10 23:59	55.66	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
437	NTS 32G10	2529587	2021-01-10 23:59	55.66	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
438	NTS 32G10	2529588	2021-01-10 23:59	55.66	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
439	NTS 32G10	2529589	2021-01-10 23:59	55.66	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
440	NTS 32G10	2529590	2021-01-10 23:59	55.65	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
441	NTS 32G10	2529591	2021-01-10 23:59	55.65	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
442	NTS 32G10	2529592	2021-01-10 23:59	55.65	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)

	NTS Sheet	Title No	Expiry Date	Area (Ha)	Excess Work	Required Work	Required Fees	Titleholder(s) (Name, Number and Percentage)
443	NTS 32G10	2529593	2021-01-10 23:59	55.65	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
444	NTS 32G10	2529594	2021-01-10 23:59	55.65	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
445	NTS 32G10	2529595	2021-01-10 23:59	55.65	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
446	NTS 32G10	2529596	2021-01-10 23:59	55.65	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
447	NTS 32G10	2529597	2021-01-10 23:59	55.65	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
448	NTS 32G10	2529598	2021-01-10 23:59	55.65	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
449	NTS 32G10	2529599	2021-01-10 23:59	55.65	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
450	NTS 32G10	2529600	2021-01-10 23:59	55.64	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
451	NTS 32G10	2529601	2021-01-10 23:59	55.64	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
452	NTS 32G10	2529602	2021-01-10 23:59	55.64	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
453	NTS 32G10	2529603	2021-01-10 23:59	55.64	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
454	NTS 32G09	2529964	2021-01-21 23:59	55.67	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)
455	NTS 32G09	2529965	2021-01-21 23:59	55.67	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$65.25	Andre Liboiron (80261) 100 % (responsible)

Total:	22959.27	6457139.2	778300	28440.75
From: GESTIM (Mining titles management), MERN, March 2019				

Table 2 - Chevrier Property Mining Claim list




Figure 2 - Chevrier Property Mining Titles




Figure 3 - Former Claim Blocks

4.3 Quebec Mining Law

Claims

Under the Québec Mining law, a claim is the only exploration title that can be granted by the government for the exploration of mineral substances on lands in the public domain. It can be obtained:

- By map designation, henceforth the principal method for acquiring a claim.
- By staking on lands that have been designated for this purpose.

A claim is a mineral right that gives its holder a two-year exclusive right to explore a designated territory for any mineral substances that are part of the public domain with the exception of:

- petroleum, natural gas and brine;
- sand other than silica sand used for industrial purposes, gravel, common clay used in the manufacture of clay products, and other mineral substance found in its natural state as a loose deposit, as well as inert mine tailings used for construction purposes;
- on any part of land that is also subject to an exploration licence for surface mineral substances or an exclusive lease to mine surface mineral substances, every other surface mineral substance.

The claim also allows the holder to explore for mineral substances in mine tailings that are located on public land. Occasionally, the claim can be located on the private surface right.

The claim holder may renew his title for a two-year period. To do so he must: submit an application for renewal at least 60 days prior to the claim expiry date; pay the required fees, which vary according to the surface area of the claim, its location, and the date the application is received:

- If received 60 days prior to the claim expiry date, the regular fees apply;
- If received within 60 days of the claim expiry date, the fees are doubled.
- Submit his assessment work report and the work declaration form at least 60 days before the claim expiry date. If the remittance of these documents is made during the 60 days prior to the expiry date, a penalty fee of \$25/claim until maximum of \$250 is applied for the late submission; comply with other renewal conditions.

At the time of renewal, the claim holder may apply any assessment work credits from another of his claims towards the renewal of the claim in question. The center of the

claim under renewal must lie within a radius of 4.5 km from the centre of the claim from which the credits will be used.

Each claim provides access rights to a parcel of land on which exploration work may be performed. However, the claim holder cannot access land that has been granted, alienated or leased by the State for non-mining purposes, or land that is the subject of an exclusive lease to mine surface mineral substances, without first having obtained the permission of the current holder of these rights.

Furthermore, at the time of issuing claims that lie within the boundaries of a town or on territories identified as State reserves, the “Ministère de l’Energie et des Ressources Naturelles” may impose certain conditions and obligations concerning the work to be performed on the claim. The Ministry also reserves the right to modify these conditions in the public’s interest. Also, Genesis must consult with First Nation Communities to conduct some exploration activities such as drilling and power stripping because the Property lies partially on trap lands.

4.4 Environmental Obligation, Permits and Other Relevant Factors

There are no known environmental concerns or land claim issues pending with respect to the Property. It is understood and agreed that the Property was received by Genesis “as is” and that Genesis shall ensure that all exploration programs on the Property are conducted in an environmentally sound manner.

The authors are unaware of any environmental liabilities associated with the claims of the Property. However, the authors have not conducted a thorough inspection of these claims. The exploration activities were planned to have a minimum impact on the environment.

Genesis is responsible for obtaining all authorizations and permits from the “Ministère de l’Energie et des Ressources Naturelles du Québec (MERN)” in the event of outcrop stripping and drilling activities.

To the best of our knowledges, no other significant factors and risks are known that could affect the exploration work, except an economic risk, by example with the decline of metal prices resulting in a lack of liquidity through inadequate funding to achieve the exploration work.

The Property is located in Eeyou Istchee–James Bay territory on Category III lands belonging to the Government of Québec and is subject to the *James Bay and Northern Quebec Agreement*. Mineral exploration is allowed under specific conditions. Genesis shall be submitted to the Environmental Regime which takes into account the Hunting, Fishing and Trapping Regime. On Category III lands, Eeyou Istchee peoples have exclusive rights to harvest certain species of wildlife and to conduct trapping activities.

Each hunting area has a tallyman. Genesis had from time to time communicated with the regional level of government and the Cree Nation Government on these matters.

5.0 ACCESSIBILITY, LOCAL RESOURCES, INFRASTRUCTURES AND PHYSIOGRAPHY

The Property is accessible using highway 113 and then by gravel road No. 209-S to the south (Figure 4). An important forest road network travels the entire Property also easily accessible by all-terrain vehicles (ATV).

The Town of Chibougamau, which has a population of nearly 7,600 inhabitants (website of the Town of Chibougamau, 2017) is the regional pole of the James Bay Area. It is located at the southeast end of the James Bay Eeeyou Istchee Region and its privileged geographical location enables it to be the entry door of the Nord-du-Québec. From the southwest of the Province of Quebec, National Route 113 connects Abitibi-Témiscamingue to Chapais and Chibougamau and National Route 167 connects to Saguenay Lac St-Jean.

The Chibougamau-Chapais airport offers regular flights by Air Creebec to Montréal in order to accommodate First Nation Communities. The area is also supplied by the Canadian National Railway (CNR).

As in Abitibi the region was developed by mining and forestry companies. At the end of the 19th century the Geological Survey of Canada (“GSC”) recognized the mineral potential (gold, silver, copper, zinc...) and numerous prospection programs and studies had been carried out in the area. Today, specialized manpower and qualified mining contractors are present and easily available in the region. Social and health services can be found in Chibougamau and Chapais as well as education centers, cultural activities, emergency services, public works department and many others. Mining and mainly forestry industries create an important path network giving access to the entire territory (primary, secondary and tertiary roads). Most of the Property can be worked all year round except for spring when snow melts and during the moose hunting season in fall.

The region is fairly flat with the presence of numerous lakes, rivers and wet areas. The forest is boreal mainly consisting of coniferous species (pine, spruce, larch, fir and cedar) and some deciduous varieties such as poplars and birches. Fauna is typical of this type of forest with the presence of wolves, mooses, black bears, foxes, partridges, beavers and numerous small mammals. Several species of birds are also present in the area.

Temperature variations recorded in the Chibougamau area (Chapais station) between 1971 and 2010 showed a continental temperate climate with wide seasonal temperature

ranges. The yearly average temperature is 0.2°C. The July average temperature is 16.4° C while January is -18.8°C. Recorded historical extreme minimum and maximum temperatures are respectively of -43.3°C and 35.0°C. The freezing point frequency is 213 days per year. The region is under -30°C during an average of 18 days per year. The average annual precipitation is 995.8 mm split between 3130 mm of snow and 684.5 mm of rain. Source: <http://climat.meteo.gc.ca>.




Figure 4 - Access on the property

6.0 HISTORY

The first exploration work documented on the Property area was performed by Lipsett Group, Noranda Mines Ltd. and Teck Corp. in 1951-52 (Table 4). This was followed by sporadic exploration activities targeted at different portions of the present property area by the various mining companies. A combination of mapping, geophysical and geochemical surveying, outcrop stripping and drilling led to the discovery of several gold showings and culminated with the discovery of the Chevrier Main Zone in 1988 and the Chevrier South Zone in 1992.

Operator	Year	New Claim Blocks (2018-2019)	Historical Block of claims (see Figure 3)				Reference
			Diana Block	Malartic Hygrade Block	Diana-Obatogamau, Fancamp, Haufan, Other Blocks	Dolbo Block	
Lipsett Group	1951			Prospecting, trenching	Prospecting, outcrop stripping and sampling on Lipsett showing		GM 01841
Noranda Mines Ltd.	1952	Geological Report					GM 02033
Teck Corporation	1952		Drilling (6 DDHs = 2220 m)				GM 02341
Cantin & Lortie (1955), R Hinse (1956), Bibeau & Rondeau (1965)	1951-1965		Mapping & geophysical survey				GM 04074 GM 18407
Dominion Gulf Co	1954	Magnetometer survey & Drilling					GM 02407 GM 02918-A GM 02918-B
Burrex Mines Ltd.	1955	Exploration works & Drilling					GM 03438-A GM 03438-B
Enterprise Mining Co	1956	Geological Report					GM 04827
Chibougamau Mines	1956	Geological Report & Magnetic survey					GM 04108 GM 04681
Empire Oil & Minerals	1957	Reconnaissance mapping					GM 06345-A GM 06345-B
Claims Murdoch	1957				Magnetic & Electromagnetic Surveys		GM 05303
Dadson Lake Chibougamau	1958				Drilling, Mapping		GM 07296
Valco Mines	1959	Electromagnetic Survey & Geological Report					GM 08904 GM 08950
Société d'Exploration Minière Bélanger-Owens	1963	Trenching and prospection, Magnetic survey					GM 13301 GM 13447
Muscocho Exploration	1963-1965	Geological Report & Drilling					GM 13660 GM 14330 GM 12420 GM 12988
Claims Rondeau	1966	Prospection					GM 18407
Claims Audet	1968	Geological Report & Geophysics					GM 22262 GM 22263
Campbell Chibougamau	1968		Mapping & drilling				GM 23305
	1968-1980	Geology and Geophysics			Drilling		GM 61171 GM 36586 GM 34865 GM 33913 GM 23305
Quebec Ministry of Natural Resources	1972		Regional EM-INPUT survey				DP 079
Chibougamau Mining & Smelting	1971-1973				Geological reports		GM 28907 GM 27758

Operator	Year	New Claim Blocks (2018-2019)	Historical Block of claims (see Figure 3)				Reference
			Diana Block	Malartic Hygrade Block	Diana-Obatogamau, Fancamp, Haufan, Other Blocks	Dolbo Block	
Selco Mining Corp.	1975	Geophysical surveys					GM 30590
Cominco Ltée	1975-1978	Airborne survey on a part of the Property					GM 31615 GM 33462
Shell Canada Ltd.	1975	Drilling					GM 30607 GM 31172 GM 39075
SDBJ	1976-1977		Airborne survey on a part of the Property and Drilling				GM 34164 GM 38173
SOQUEM	1974-1976	Drilling & Geology & IP					GM 30084 GM 30673 GM 31137 GM 32714 GM 32716
Hudson Bay Expl.	1978				Electromagnetic survey		GM 33660
Falconbridge Nickel Mines	1977-1978	Geophysical surveys & Drilling					GM 33658 GM 33352
Patino Mines Ltd	1973-1974	Drilling					GM 28936 GM 29855
	1977-1978				Geology	MAG-EM Survey (Chevrier south sector)	GM 31000 GM 33833
SEREM	1977					Staking of the Dolbo property (140 claims)	GM 37219 GM 50182 GM 33726 GM 34333
	1977-1982					Reconnaissance, mapping line cutting & geophysical surveys	
	1978		MAG-EM surveys & mapping				
	1979					Soil survey (192 samples)	GM 35568 GM 36073
	1980					Diamond drilling (7 holes) into HEM conductors	GM 34804 GM 36074 GM 50699
	1981					HEM & MAG	GM 37219
	1990				Mag & VLF,		GM 49728
Claims Robinette	1983	Mag & EM surveys					GM 40599
Mines Camchib	1984	Geology & Geophysics					GM 41782
Exploration Noranda Ltée	1982	APEX MaxMin					GM 38637
	1983	Geological Reconnaissance					GM 41077
	1984	Drilling (3 DDHs) & Geology					GM 42371 GM 41361 GM 41999

Operator	Year	New Claim Blocks (2018-2019)	Historical Block of claims (see Figure 3)				Reference
			Diana Block	Malartic Hygrade Block	Diana-Obatogamau, Fancamp, Haufan, Other Blocks	Dolbo Block	
	1986-1987	Geological Report			Humus sampling & IP		GM 45356 GM 44552 GM 44612
Claims Robbins	1984	Geological Report & VLF					GM 41494 GM 39553
Claims Sayer	1984	Evaluation Report					GM 41553 GM 42113
Claims Derry	1984			Helicopter-Borne magnetic and electromagnetic survey			GM 41385
Exploration Muscocho	1978	Electromagnetic survey					GM 33746
	1983-1985	Geophysical surveys & Drilling					GM 40083 GM 41098 GM 42139 GM 42248 GM 42250
Achates Resources	1984	Geological Report					GM 41553 GM 42113
	1985	Geology, Geochemistry and Geophysics					GM 42513
	1987	Geophysical surveys & Drilling					GM 45724 GM 48495
Claims Farrell	1985	Geochemical Prospecting					GM 42809
Claims Blicher	1985			Geological Report			GM 42939
Dejour Mines Ltd.	1984-89			Airborne magnetic survey, Ground magnetic and electromagnetic (Max-Min II) surveys and Mapping, Drilling			GM 42460 GM 42842 GM 43531 GM 46815 GM 49437 GM 49190 GM 46133 GM 49442 GM 46296
Ressources Wesmin Ltée	1988	Evaluation Report & Geophysics					GM 47416 GM 48374
Resources Diana Ltd	1984-1985		Helicopter -borne geophysical survey, Basal Till Sampling and mapping				GM 40489 GM 41503 GM 42458 GM 43817 GM 43818 GM 42559
G.J. Hinse Geological Services	1986	Geophysical surveys					GM 42842

Operator	Year	New Claim Blocks (2018-2019)	Historical Block of claims (see Figure 3)				Reference
			Diana Block	Malartic Hygrade Block	Diana-Obatogamau, Fancamp, Haufan, Other Blocks	Dolbo Block	
Fancamp Resources	1985-1986	Geophysical surveys			Exploration mapping		GM 43825 GM 43024 GM 43072 GM 43369 GM 43473 GM 43817 GM 43818 GM 43854 GM 42391 GM 42557
	1988					Geophysical survey	GM 46477
	1993	Geophysical surveys & mapping					GM 53112
Falconbridge Copper Corporation / Resources Diana Ltd	1985-1986	Geophysical surveys	Diamond drilling (34 holes), Geophysical survey and stripping				GM 42981 GM 43012 GM 45264 GM 43458 GM 42559
Claims Sarrant	1988	Property Evaluation					GM 47687
Claims Maxwell	1986-1988	Magnetic survey & Prospection					GM 47331 GM 43790
Minnova (formerly Falconbridge Copper Corporation)	1987		Diamond drilling (19 holes)		Option on most of the Fancamp claims		GM 47467
	1986-1988	Geological Reconnaissance	Diamond drilling (13 holes), Mapping		Line cutting, mapping, MAG-EM & IP surveys, Chevrier zone discovery.		GM 47511 GM 47710 GM 47711 GM 46240 GM 47949 GM 48185 GM 48552 GM 42981
	1988-1994				Mapping, IP survey, diamond drilling (Lipsett & Coyotte showings, Chevrier, IP anomalies)		GM 48535 GM 48555 GM 48556 GM 49391 GM 52100 GM 52088 GM 52099 GM 51718 GM 50095 GM 50103
	1990-1991	Geophysical surveys & interpretation			Diamond drilling, mapping, geophysical survey (Chevrier area) Resource Estimate Chevrier Main deposit	Drilling	GM 50095 GM 50103 GM 50189 GM 51015 GM 50103 GM 52088
Claims Ferderber	1991	Geophysical Surveys					GM 50533

Operator	Year	New Claim Blocks (2018-2019)	Historical Block of claims (see Figure 3)				Reference
			Diana Block	Malartic Hygrade Block	Diana-Obatogamau, Fancamp, Haufan, Other Blocks	Dolbo Block	
Ressources Aur Inc.	1993	Drilling & Geophysical Surveys (Mag, VLF)					GM 51778 GM 51864
Malartic Hygrade Gold Mines	1989-1990			Drilling (8 DDHs), IP survey & reconnaissance mapping			GM 49190 GM 49256 GM 50176 GM 49332
Corporation Minière Metall (formerly Minnova)	1992					Dolbo property optioned	
	1993					Line cutting (71.7 km), IP survey (35.4 km) diamond drilling to test an IP anomaly (Chevrier south) detailed mapping	GM 52748
	1993-1994	Drilling				Diamond drilling, IP survey	GM 52754 GM 53960 GM 54052
	1994					Diamond drilling (18 holes on Dolbo property, 1 hole on Haufan property into IP anomalies, Chevrier-south extensions, base metals targets, drilling (3 holes on Dolbo property, NW extensions of Chevrier-south	GM 54035 GM 55031 GM 54051 GM 55029
Ressources Corner Bay	1994	Prospection & Mapping					GM 53061
Ressources Meston	1996					Mag Survey	GM 54967
Ressources Oxford Inc.	1996	Evaluation Report					GM 54798
Claims Wapachee	1996	Mag & EMH MAXMIN					GM 54457
Claims Thibault	1996-1997	Prospection & Magnetic - EMH MAXMIN surveys					GM 56719 GM 54357 GM 56841
Claims Bedard	1997	Prospection Magnetic survey					GM 55795 GM 55796
Claims Bosum	1998					Geological Report	GM 58479
AAA Expl'oremines	1998	Prospection					GM 55554
Géonova Exploration Inc.	1995-2007					Option on the 5 blocks of INMET ground geophysics, diamond drilling on Chevrier & Chevrier-south	GM 55029

Operator	Year	New Claim Blocks (2018-2019)	Historical Block of claims (see Figure 3)				Reference
			Diana Block	Malartic Hygrade Block	Diana-Obatogamau, Fancamp, Haufan, Other Blocks	Dolbo Block	
	1996					Diamond drilling (38 holes, DO-58 deepened, outcrop stripping on Chevrier & channel sampling)	GM 55934 GM 55941
	1997		Magnetic Survey			Resource estimate on Chevrier Zone	GM 54966
	1998					Resource estimate on the Chevrier deposits, diamond drilling (32 holes), Chevrier 1 & 2 zones, re-sampling (700 core samples), density determination, metallurgical tests (Lakefield Research Ltd)	GM 55886 GM 56026
	2002					Diamond drilling (30 holes), line cutting, refreshing.	GM 60555
Claims Bouchard	2002	Prospection					GM 60252
Teck Exploration	1999	Geophysical surveys					GM 57960
	2000	Geophysical surveys, geological mapping, stripping & Drilling					GM 57959 GM 58715 GM 59211
Claims Salt	2004	Prospection					GM 61588
Ressources Tectonic Inc.	2003			Geological Mapping			GM 61623
	2006			Strpping			GM 62511
Cambior Inc.	2005-2006	Aeromagnetique & Electromagnetique (AeroTem II), Exploration, Heliborne survey					GM 62599 GM 62146 GM 62600
Ressouces D'Arianne Inc.	2008			Drilling (7 DDHs), IP survey			GM 64048 GM 63671
Tawsho Mining Inc.	2008-2009		Heli-borne aeromagnetic survey, Infinitem ground survey, Diamond drilling (24 holes totaling 7862.4 m)				GM 65023 GM 65612 GM 64707
Murgor Resources Inc.	2009	Till sampling & Heliborne Magnetic Gradometer and VLF-EM Survey					GM 65163 GM 65164
Tawsho Mining Inc. / Itasca Consulting Canada Inc.	2009					Structural Characterization of the Chevrier gold deposit	GM 65316
Tawsho Mining Inc.	2010		NI 43-101 Technical Report with the mineral resource of the Chevrier Gold Project				GM 65340
G.L. Geoservice Inc.	2013-2015	Beep-Mat, Prospection & Beep-Mat					GM 68011 GM 68887
Multi-Ressources Boréal	2017	Geological reconnaissances					GM 70500

(See Appendix I for statutory work at the MERN "Ministère de l'Énergie et des Ressources Naturelles du Québec")

Table 3 - Previous exploration activities carried out on the Chevrier Property

7.0 GEOLOGICAL SETTING AND MINERALIZATION

7.1 Abitibi Greenstone Belt

The Chevrier Property is located within the Abitibi Greenstone Belt (“AGB”). The AGB (Figure 5) comprises east-trending synclines containing volcanic rocks and intervening domes cored by synvolcanic and/or syntectonic plutonic rocks (gabbro-diorite, tonalite and granite), separated by east-trending turbiditic wacke bands (MERQ-OGS, 1984; Ayer et al., 2002a; Daigneault et al., 2004; Goutier and Melançon, 2007). The volcanic and sedimentary strata usually dip vertically and are separated by abrupt, variably dipping east-trending faults. Some of these faults, such as the Porcupine-Destor Fault, display evidence of overprinting deformation events, including early thrusting and later strike-slip and extension events (Goutier, 1997; Benn and Peschler, 2005; Bateman et al., 2008). Two ages of unconformable successor basins are observed: widely distributed fine-grained clastic rocks in early Porcupine-style basins, followed by Timiskaming-style basins composed of coarser clastic sediments and minor volcanic rocks, largely proximal to major strike-slip faults such as the Porcupine-Destor and Larder Lake–Cadillac fault zones and other similar regional faults in the northern Abitibi Greenstone Belt (Ayer et al., 2002a; Goutier and Melançon, 2007). The Abitibi Greenstone Belt is intruded by numerous late-tectonic plutons composed mainly of syenite, gabbro and granite, with lesser lamprophyre and carbonatite dykes. Commonly, the metamorphic grade in the Abitibi Greenstone Belt varies from greenschist to subgreenschist facies (Jolly, 1978; Powell et al., 1993; Dimroth et al., 1983b; Benn et al., 1994), except in the vicinity of most plutons where the metamorphic grade corresponds mainly to the amphibolite facies (Jolly, 1978).

The AGB successor basins are of two types: 1) laterally extensive basins corresponding to the Porcupine Assemblage, with early turbidite-dominated units (Ayer et al., 2002a); and 2) later and aerially more restricted alluvial-fluvial or Timiskaming-style basins (Thurston and Chivers, 1990).

The geographic limit between the northern and southern parts of the AGB has no tectonic significance but is similar to the limits between the internal and external zones of Dimroth et al. (1982) and those between the Central Granite-Gneiss and Southern Volcanic zones of Ludden et al. (1986). The boundary between the Northern and Southern parts passes south of the wackes of the Chicobi and Scapa groups, with a maximum depositional age of 2698.8 ± 2.4 Ma (Ayer et al., 1998, 2002b).

The Abitibi Subprovince is bounded to the south by the Larder Lake–Cadillac Fault Zone, a major crustal structure that separates the Abitibi and Pontiac Subprovinces (Chown et al., 1992; Mueller et al., 1996a; Daigneault et al., 2002, Thurston et al., 2008).

The Abitibi Subprovince is bounded to the north by the Opatca Subprovince, a complex plutonic-gneiss belt formed between 2800 and 2702 Ma (Sawyer and Benn, 1993; Davis et al. 1995). It is mainly composed of strongly deformed and locally migmatized tonalitic gneisses and granitoid rocks (Davis et al., 1995).




Figure 5 - Abitibi Greenstone Belt

7.2 Regional Geology

The Chapais-Chibougamau region is located in the northeastern part of the Abitibi Greenstone Belt of the Superior Province. The Archean rocks of the region are part of two distinct groups: the Roy Group, consisting mainly of volcanics; and the Opemisca Group, of detritic-volcanics. The Opemisca Group is in discordant contact with the Roy Group. The rocks of the region are metamorphosed to the greenschist facies, with the amphibole facies occurring in the proximity of some of the intrusions.

The Roy Group consists of five formations defining two volcanic cycles going from felsic to mafic. The Obatogamau Formation is located at the base of the Roy Group and constitutes the mafic member of the first volcanic cycle. This formation is overlain by the Waconichi Formation, which constitutes the felsic top. The Gilman and the Blondeau Formations constitute respectively the mafic and felsic members of the second volcanic cycle. Finally, the Bordeleau Formation is located on top of the Blondeau formation.

The Obatogamau Formation consists of a thick sequence of basalt flows containing numerous comagmatic vein type layers of gabbroic composition with local large centimeter-size feldspar glomeroporphyries. The characteristic of this formation is the major lateral extension up to 100km which represents submarine volcanism comparable to the Kinojévis Group of the Rouyn-Noranda region.

In contrast with the Obatogamau Formation, the Waconichi Formation represents products of felsic volcanism divided into several small zones of small thickness that form more or less continuous bands. These bands have a NE-SW orientation on the Property even though they are oriented E-W in the East part of the Property. They are recognized by the presence of sub-volcanic intrusions and of rhyodacite and rhyolite porphyritic domes accompanied by felsic tuffs and coarse lenses of brecciated volcanics.

Structurally the regional deformation has formed isoclinal folds with an E-W orientation, which is associated with the regional foliation. The deformation is also responsible for the formation of the larger structures of domes and basins in the region. From north to south the following structures are found: the Waconichi syncline, the Waconichi anticline, the Chibougamau syncline, the Chibougamau anticline, the Chapais syncline, the Dauversière anticline and the Druillettes syncline.

7.3 Local Geology

The Chevrier Property is mainly underlain by calc-alkaline basalt, concordant and discordant bodies of gabbro and felsic to intermediate pyroclastic rocks. All the units are cut by felsic quartz-feldspar porphyry dykes. The mafic rocks of the region belong to the Obatogamau Formation, while the pyroclastic rocks are part of the Waconichi Formation. The Property lies between the Muscocho Pluton to the west, the Verneuil Pluton to the south, the La Dauversière Pluton to the east and the Chibougamau Pluton

to the north. The first is a post-tectonic intrusive with a dioritic to tonalitic composition while the last two are syntectonic and granodioritic bodies (Figure 6).

The Chevrier Deposits (now called Chevrier Main and Chevrier South Zones) are located in the Fancamp Deformation Zone, a NE trending structure, which is sub-parallel to the lithological units. The Fancamp Deformation Zone is also host to the A to E Zones, investigated by limited underground development of Murgor Resources (now Alexandria Minerals) on their Fancamp Property, immediately to the SW of the Property. Several gold occurrences have been uncovered so far on the Chevrier Property (Coyote, des Tranchées, Lipsett, RO, West Showings and East Zone).

The Chevrier Property can be broken down in three (3) structural domains:

- Western sector: 240° trending foliation, stratigraphic units oriented 010-030°;
- Central sector: NE trending units and foliation of the Fancamp Deformation Zone;
- Southeastern sector: predominantly E-W foliation.

The presence of several felsic dykes within lapilli tuff-breccias, massive dacites and rhyolites and more specifically at the Chevrier Main Zone, with petrographic and geochemical similarities to the dacite flows, suggests that these dykes are part of the Chevrier Volcanic Centre. The felsic dykes are characterized by irregular, sharp contacts with the host rock, chill margins, and their massive and homogeneous porphyritic (plagioclase±quartz) texture. Dykes at the Main Zone are abundant and have been divided into three families: 1) Quartz porphyry (QP) dykes are the oldest as they are crosscut by 2) quartz feldspar porphyry (QFP) and 3) feldspar porphyry (FP) dykes which are texturally similar to dacite flows of volcanoclastites.

The swarm of felsic dykes at the Main Zone suggests the existence of a felsic eruption centre (McPhie et al. 1993). The textural and geochemical similarities of the dykes with dacite flows and the age of 2,730 Ma for QFP dykes which suggest that these dykes are synchronous with the formation of the Volcanic Centre.

The faults recognized at the regional scale have affected the Central sector, notably a probable major fault separating the Chevrier Main and South Zones. Indeed, the Chevrier South is interpreted to represent the shallower part of the two Zones (M. Legault and R. Daigneault). The mineral assemblages observed in the field suggest that the rocks have undergone greenschist facies metamorphism. Chlorite is ubiquitous within the mafic to intermediate units, whereas carbonate is fairly widespread in most of the rocks. Metamorphism increases to the amphibolite grade as the Verneuil Pluton is approached. The contact metamorphism manifests itself by the presence of hornblende and garnet in the mafic units, and by garnet only in the felsic rocks.




Figure 6 - Regional and Local Geology

7.4 Mineralization

7.4.1 Chevrier Main and South Zones

The Chevrier Main and South Zones are spatially associated with the uppermost unit of a calc-alkaline subaqueous volcanic centre which evolved from mafic to felsic composition in a submarine environment mostly composed of massive dacite to rhyolite (Legault & Daigneault, 2006). They are located on the eastern limb of the Muscocho syncline and subdivided into two zones: the Main Zone lying stratigraphically under dacites and the South Zone (Figures 7 and 8).

- The Main Zone consists of high grade discordant quartz-carbonate veins and disseminated pyrite mostly associated within melanocratic gabbro dykes whereas the South Zone is within a low grade, concordant pyrite envelope associated with quartz-carbonate-pyrite veinlets.
- Both Zones are highly deformed and show strong carbonate, sericite and chlorite alterations. Since the deposit is cross cut by structures associated with the major deformation event, suggesting synvolcanic timing for the gold mineralization and a single hydrothermal event.
- A swarm of felsic dykes (QFP) suggests the eruption of a felsic center and synchronous with the formation of the Chevrier Volcanic Cycle.
- Structural geology is characterized by the host Fancamp Deformation Corridor, a northeast trending structure that runs for approximately 35 km with a width that varies from 300 m to 2 km. It cuts the Guercheville fault and is younger than the east-west deformation zone.
- The known gold occurrences in the area are affected by the D₂ deformation event indicating a pre or syn D₂ mineralization event. Chevrier Deposits are located on the eastern limb of the Muscocho syncline.
- The Main Zone is hosted within melanocratic gabbro dykes at the contact with volcanic rocks and quartz porphyry (QP) dykes and spatially associated with a multitude of felsic dykes. Two types of gold mineralization are defined:
 - 1) "Vein Type" usually narrow (< 5 meters) and associated with steeply dipping massive quartz-ankerite ± tourmaline veins (0.7 to 2.0 m thick) and their pyritized wall rocks.
 - 2) "Disseminated Type" is more common, wider (up to 30 meters and is associated with highly altered rocks (ankerite, sericite, pyrite) with minor quartz-ankerite veins. There are also some rare

occurrences of quartz-carbonate-chalcopyrite veins in the periphery of the deposit.

- In both types of Zones, native gold is found as inclusions in pyrite grains, as discrete grains in inclusions in pyrite fractures and more rarely with ankerite in quartz-ankerite veins.
- The spatial relationship of gold with intrusive synvolcanic dykes suggests a genetic link between the Main and the South Zones. The QFP dykes are synchronous to gold mineralization.
- These Zones are the product of a single hydrothermal event.




Figure 7 - Schematic Model of the Hydrothermal System

7.4.2 East Showing (now called East Zone)

The East Zone is the most important on the former Diana claim block. This Zone is characterized by the presence of a stratiform carbonatized, sub-vertical zone, about 30 meters wide and known over a strike length of more than 500 meters. This carbonatized zone enlarges with depth where it joins with a felsic intrusive of which the dimensions

are still unknown. This mineralized showing is in the north in contact with a gabbro and in the south with a pyroclastic breccia. A rhyolite horizon is often present at the contact between the pyroclastic breccia and the carbonatized zone also called carbonate rock (Figure 8).

The gold mineralization is found in quartz veins or in shear zones which intruded with quartz. Gold bearing veins and shear zones are always located inside the carbonate rocks but their dip is sometimes discordant with those of the carbonatized zone.

7.4.3 West Showing

The West showing contains two sheared zones that cut the pillow lava's and a gabbro. The quartz veins and an alteration in carbonate, chlorite and sericite are associated with these sheared zones that are about 50 meters apart. The northern shear zone has an orientation of N100° and the southern shear zone has an orientation of N125°. The most significant intersection that was found by drilling was 7.46 g/t Au over 0.5 meter and came from the southern shear zone (Figure 8).

7.4.4 RO Showing

The RO showing consists of a stratiform carbonatized zone located on the contact between the Waconichi and the Gilman Formations. Surface samples have assayed 548, 623 and 1946 ppb Au. The 1987 drilling has revealed an intersection of 815 ppb Au over 1.5 meters (Figure 8).

7.4.5 Coyotte Showing

The Coyotte showing is located in the proximity of the Fancamp Deformation Corridor and consists of a shear zone with a North-South orientation and a width of close to 60m. The showing contains several quartz veins with a width of some centimeters to more than 4 meters. The last ones are little mineralized and show no significant gold values. A gold grade of 3.3 g/t has been found in a zone of some ten centimeters wide, which was injected by small quartz veins, calcite and ankerite, and with a strong iron carbonate alteration (Figure 8). The showing has been investigated in 1989 by two drill holes that have not shown an economic potential. The drilling did not intersect the quartz veins that were observed on surface, which suggest that they are weak down dip or that they probably have an East-West direction rather than North-South.

7.4.6 Lipsett Showing

The showing is located inside a shear zone in a mesocratic gabbro (Figure 8). The mineralization consists of 1 to 10% disseminated pyrite in a sheared zone altered in chlorite, calcite, iron carbonates and sericite. This zone has an orientation of N015°E with a sub-vertical dip. The Lipsett showing has been investigated by 4 drill holes in 1989. The zone is now recognized over a strike length of 160 meters to a depth of about

110 meters. Most significant intersections were 1.03 g/t Au over 0.4 m (DDH# DO-07), 1.90 g/t Au over 1.5 m (channel sample) and 3.84 g/t Au over 2.8 m (channel sample).

7.4.7 “Des Tranchées” Showing

The gold showing is located inside a strongly sheared gabbro, which is altered in chlorite. The quartz veins of 10 to 15cm are injected in this shearing which contains an average of 5% pyrite. This sheared zone is oriented at N34E/87°NW and has a minimum width of 6 meters. Three of five grab samples that were collected have shown gold values and the most significant value obtained was 2.04 g/t Au (Figure 8).

7.4.8 Malartic Hygrade Showing

The Malartic Hygrade showing was discovered by Malartic Hygrade in 1990 by drill hole while testing various geophysical anomalies (Figure 8). The showing is located at the intersection of two major structural trends and is probably more complex than expected. These two structures were outlined by two areas stripped by Tectonic in 2005. One was located 200 meters NNE of the Lipsett showing. This stripped area outlined a strong NNE-oriented structure and has revealed anomalous gold values of 0.87 g/t over 0.70m (channel sample). Six (6) drill holes tested 3 sections, spaced 100 m apart. Significant intersections of 22.3 g/t Au over 0.82 m (CL-90-03), 7.69 g/t Au over 1.37 m (CL-90-04), 7.97 g/t Au over 1.0 m (CL-90-08) and 10.07 g/t Au over 1.37 m (CL-90-08) were obtained.




Figure 8 - Deposits (Zones) and showings of the Chevrier Property

8.0 DEPOSIT TYPE

The characteristics of the gold mineralization in the area of the Property are similar to intrusion-related gold mineralization described as atypical greenstone-hosted deposits by Robert (2007) as represented in Figure 9 herebelow. Although these atypical deposits display similar regional-scale controls and commonly occur in the same camps as orogenic deposits, they differ in styles of mineralization, metal association, interpreted crustal levels of emplacement, and relative age. Those gold deposits show a close spatial association with high level porphyry stocks and dykes.

Generally, lode gold deposits (gold from bedrock sources) occur dominantly in terranes with an abundance of volcanic and clastic sedimentary rocks of a low to medium metamorphic grade (Poulsen, 1996). Greenstone-hosted quartz-carbonate vein deposits are a subtype of lode-gold deposits (Poulsen et al., 2000). They correspond to structurally controlled, complex epigenetic deposits hosted in deformed metamorphosed terranes (Dubé and Gosselin, 2007). Greenstone-hosted quartz-carbonate veins are thought to represent a major component of the greenstone deposit clan (Dubé and Gosselin, 2007). They can coexist regionally with iron formation-hosted vein and disseminated deposits, as well as with turbiditic-hosted quartz-carbonate vein deposits.




Figure 9 - Inferred crustal levels of gold deposition the different types of lode gold deposits and the inferred deposit clan (From Dubé and Gosselin 2007, modified from Poulsen et al. 2000)

Greenstone-hosted quartz-carbonate vein deposits consist of simple to complex networks of gold-bearing, laminated quartz-carbonate fault-fill veins in moderately to steeply dipping, compressional brittle-ductile shear zones and faults with locally associated shallow-dipping extensional veins and hydrothermal breccias. They are hosted by greenschist to locally amphibolite facies metamorphic rocks of dominantly mafic composition and formed at intermediate depth in the crust (5-10 km). They are distributed along major compressional to tensional crustal-scale fault zones (Figure 10) in deformed greenstone terranes of all ages, but are more abundant and significant, in terms of total gold content, in Archean terranes (Robert, 1990).




Figure 10 - Schematic Diagram of the Geometric Relationships between the structural elements of veins and shear zones and the deposit-scale strain axes (Robert, 1990)

The gold showings in the eastern part of the Caopatina-Desmaraisville Segment, including the area of the Chevrier Property, are grouped into four distinct categories represented by types A-I to A-IV (Dion and Simard, 1999). These categories were based on the nature of the enclosing rocks and the structural context. These categories are:

- **A-I Type:** Gold mineralization associated with E-W shear zones (subparallel to stratification) cutting mafic volcanic and intrusive rocks:
 - **A-Ia Type:** Quartz-sulphide;
 - **A-Ib Type:** Low disseminated pyrite.
- **A-II Type:** Gold mineralization associated with NE and NW shear zones cutting mafic volcanic and intrusive rocks.

- **A-III Type:** Gold mineralization associated with felsic to intermediate rocks.
- **A-IV Type:** Gold mineralization associated with felsic volcanic rocks, graphitic sedimentary rocks and/or iron formations.

The gold zones observed on the Chevrier Property and Monster Lake Project, in the area, can be associated with an A-II type orogenic gold occurrence model related to NE shear zones. Most of these gold zones are associated with thin volcanogenic horizons and the NE trending Fancamp Deformation Zone and Monster Lake Shear Zone respectively. The gold mineralization observed and mined on the Joe Mann Mine (A-Ia type) and the Philibert Property (A-Ib type) are associated with the orogenic gold occurrence model related to E-W shear zone (Figure 11).

8.1 Chevrier Main and South Zones

The Chevrier Deposits are associated with quartz-carbonate veins and disseminated pyrite, mostly within a melanocratic gabbro unit. The Chevrier South Zone lies within a concordant pyrite envelope associated with quartz-carbonate-pyrite veinlets. Both zones are highly deformed and show strong carbonate, sericite and chlorite alteration (*Marc Legault and Réal Daigneault, 2006*). The two Zones are approximately 1.2 kilometers apart.

Although these zones share many characteristics with orogenic deposits, the crosscutting of the Chevrier Main Zone by structures associated with the major deformation events suggests that mineralization was prior to these events. Furthermore, felsic dykes associated with the formation of the Chevrier Main Zone calc-alkaline volcanic center crosscut the auriferous veins and zones, therefore suggesting synvolcanic timing for the gold mineralization. Characteristics displayed by the Chevrier Main and Chevrier South Zones, such as similar composition, mineral assemblage and location within the volcanic pile suggest that they are part of a single hydrothermal event.

The Chevrier South Zone is characterized as a non-carbonate-hosted stockwork and disseminated deposit of the Porgera type, and shows many similarities with strata-bound gold deposits of the Andacollo mining district of Chile as well as with of the East-Malartic and/or Holt-McDermott deposits located along the Cadillac Break and Destor-Porcupine Break respectively (F. Robert, K. H. Poulsen and B. Dubé's classification).

8.2 Monster Lake Deposit

This section of the Technical Report is extracted from a NI 43-101 Technical Report prepared by InnovExplo in May 9, 2018 (amended May 17, 2018) available in the website of the TomaGold Corp.

The stratigraphy of the Monster Lake Project is dominated by mafic volcanic rocks of the Obatogamau Formation represented by massive and pillowed basalts. These mafic flows are folded, sheared and strikes NE with dipping steeply to the SE. Locally, the mafic flows are cut by comagmatic mafic dykes. Mineralization is mostly associated with smoky quartz veins (grey to black) and sulphide minerals in the wall rocks (in order of abundance: pyrite, pyrrhotite, chalcopyrite and sphalerite). The Monster Lake Shear Zone, formerly known as the Nouvelle Shear Zone, contains several gold showings: Annie showing, Eratix showing and the 52 showing.

Several of the folded graphitic volcanogenic horizons host gold showings like 325 Showing, Megane showing and the Cominco showing. All the showings associated with this horizon are located on the eastern limb of the fold. Three of these horizons have been well defined by surface mapping and diamond drilling; The Main Shear Zone, Lower Shear Zone and the Shear Upper Zone. The Main Shear Zone hosts the 325-Megane Zone.

The in-situ Inferred Resources were estimated at 1,109,700 tonnes at 12.14 g/t Au (433,300 oz of gold) for a cut-off of 3.5 g/t Au.

8.3 Philibert Deposit

Historical Resource, herebelow, is non-compliant with the National Instrument 43-101. However, the qualified persons have been unable to verify the information and the information is not necessarily indicative of the mineralization on the Property that is the subject of the technical report. The authors believe that this information gives a conceptual indication of the potential of the area and that it is pertinent to this report.

The Philibert deposit (historical resources of 1.4 Mt at 5.3 g / t Au, 1990) consists of a shear zone intersecting a gabbro sill in the Obatogamau Formation. The mineralization consists of disseminated pyrite associated with very strong silicification of host rocks. The mineralized zones are hosted in the shear zone over a distance of 3 km.

8.4 Joe Mann Deposit

From north to south and base to top, the Joe Mann mine stratigraphy consists of: (1) a gabbro sill; (2) deformed and altered basalt; (3) a thin horizon of rhyolite or felsic tuff; and (4) basalt. This sequence is typical of the upper part of the Obatogamau Formation. The stratigraphy is oriented E-W and dip sub-vertically, and is metamorphosed to the upper greenschist facies (epidote amphibolite facies).

Gold mineralization is hosted by decimetre scale quartz-carbonate veins hosted within three (3) E-W (N275°/85°) ductile-brittle shear zones which are sub-parallel to stratigraphy and to one another. These shear zones form part of the Opawica-Guercheville deformation zone, a major E-W deformation corridor cutting the mafic volcanic rocks of the Obatogamau Formation in the north part of the Caopatina Segment. The gabbro sill hosts the Main Zone at the mine, while the South Zone is found in the "rhyolite". The discovered western extension of the Main Zone possesses the same characteristics as the Main zone.

The Joe Mann mine has produced a total of 4.7 Mtonnes at 7.5 g/t Au and 0.25% Cu (1956 to 2007). The last independent resources calculation was completed by Systems Geostat International Inc. for Campbell Resources Inc. in July 21, 2006, titled "Audit of the Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves of the Joe Mann mine Technical Report". The Joe Mann Mineral Resources were estimated by the polygonal method using a capping level of 2.0 oz/t Au in all the veins except for the South Vein where the grades are capped to 5.0 oz/t Au. A tonnage factor of 11 ft³/ton was assigned to all rocks (Table 4).

Resource estimates, herebelow, are compliant with the National Instrument 43-101. However, the qualified persons have been unable to verify the information and the information is not necessarily indicative of the mineralization on the Property that is the subject of the technical report. The authors believe that this information gives a conceptual indication of the potential of the area and that it is pertinent to this report.

Vein	Reserves						Resources			
	Proven	(Au oz/t)	Probable	(Au oz/t)	Total	(Au oz/t)	Indicated	(Au oz/t)	Inferred	(Au oz/t)
Main	17100	0.31	7800	0.20	24900	0.28	66900	0.33	57700	0.28
South	6800	0.34	8200	0.35	15000	0.34	3400	0.16	8600	0.19
West	4000	0.33	9200	0.31	13200	0.32	46700	0.21	64800	0.25
E.I.	1600	0.28	0	0.00	1600	0.28	29400	0.18	0	0.00
Total	29400	0.32	25200	0.29	54700	0.31	146400	0.26	131100	0.26
Dilution (30%)	8800	0.00	7600	0.00	16400					
Total	38300	0.25	32700	0.22	71000	0.23				
					Production 2006	80640	0.19			
					Production 2007	67292	0.18			
					Actual Reserves	0				

Residual inventory at the mine	184268	0.33
Lac Meston Deposit	1473333	0.15
Currie-Mills Deposit	188386	0.08
Total resources on the Property	1845987	0.16

Table 4 - Resources and Reserves at Joe Mann




Figure 11 - Major Deposits surrounding the Chevrier Property

9.0 EXPLORATION WORK

9.1 Geoscientific Compilation and 3D Modelling

During the period of January to August 2017, Geologica has compiled and prepared a database of the past DDHs including the Header, Deviation Tests, Main Lithology, Secondary Lithology, Structures, Veins, Mineralization and Assays using the GeoticLog Software (Geotic Inc.). This DDH Database includes 201 drill holes for a total of 74,490.7 meters for Chevrier Main and South Zones.

This compilation of database was realized following several steps:

- Recover all the DDH Data (209 DDHs) of the Chevrier Main & South from MetChem Excel Database;
- Re-Coding all DDH data with Geological Code from GeoticLog software (Database in MDB extension);
- Data Verification and Validation;
- Transfer MDB database to SQL database within GeoticLog;
- Transfer SQL database in GeoticMine Software for interpretation and modelization.

The 3D Modelling was realized by Geologica using the GeoticMine Software (Geotic Inc.). The interpretation of each mineralized zone was completed following several steps:

- Create a series of cross sections of N135° Azimuth (180 sections at 25 m spacing) and a series of level plans (75 levels at 12 m spacing) with GeoticMine software;
- Chevrier South Zone: Interpretation (cross-sections) of QF Porphyry Intrusions, faults, mineralization and alteration (sericite-ankerite);
- Chevrier Main Zone: Interpretation (cross-sections and level plans) of Mylonite Envelopes with Gold mineralization using the structural interpretation previously interpreted by Tawsho at the surface with extension at depth using the description and assay results in DDHs; and interpretation of a series of faults identified by previous drilling campaigns.

9.2 Fieldwork in 2016

Between June 15 and September 15, 2016, Genesis has completed the sampling of historical DDHs and old trenches in order to validate the previous results obtained by Minnova, Geonova and Tawsho Mining between 1989 and 2009. The auriferous mineralized zones of the following fifteen (15) drill holes were re-sampled : DO-15, DO-20, DO-43, FA-51 (Minnova, 1989-1991), GFA-112, GDO-124, GFA-133, GDO-150, GDO-158, GDO-161, GFA-168, GFA-169, GFA-171, GFA-172 (Geonova, 1996-1997)

and T7-08 (Tawsho Mining, 2009). New trenches were also excavated on the Chevrier Main Zone.

Density estimation was completed using 40 samples among the 585 resampled cores. A comparative test between the wax and the pycnometer methods was completed by SGS Laboratory resulting with average values of 2.93 g/cm³ and 2.98 g/cm³.

Past trenches T96-1, T96-4 and T96-7 realized by Geonova in 1996, were resampled. The trenches were cleaned with water pressure pumps and dried prior to channel sampling. The channels carried out by Genesis were located 30 cm and parallel to the old channels with the same length intervals, were sampled and twinned following the statutory work descriptions of previous owners. A total of 164 samples were collected and send to SGS Laboratory in Quebec city and then forwarded to SGS Lakefield assay laboratory in Ontario for fire assay and atomic absorption and gravimetric separation for values higher than 10 g/t / Au. The most significant results obtained were 5.41 g/t Au over 3.35 meter including 12.55 g/t Au over 1.4 meter (T-1); 6.82 g/t Au over 4.70 meter including 17.70 g/t Au over 1.00 meter (T-7); 5.22 g/t Au over 4.25 meter including 6.56 g/t Au over 2.10 meter (T-7) and 5.08 g/t Au over 4.65 meter including 1.80 g/t Au over 1.65 meter (T-7). The results showed the great similarity between the old Geonova and the recent Genesis samplings.

The trench T96-9 was excavated. Thirty five (35) samples were collected from six channel samples. The samples were sent to SGS sample preparation laboratory in Quebec City and then to the Lakefield assay laboratory in Ontario for fire assay with atomic absorption and by gravimetry when the gold value is greater than 10 g/t Au. The best intersection obtained in this trench was 4.65 g/t Au over 1.00 meter including 5.27 g/t Au over 0.50 meter

During the autumn 2016, the “Table Jamésienne de Concertation Minière (“TJCM”) has completed a summary evaluation of the geomorphology and quaternary aspects of the Property. This evaluation had an objective to know the nature of the deposit recovery of the Property. The glacial surface deposit model of the Property is characterized by a gently undulating relief formed by an alternating of hills and depressions generally narrow and oriented N220° to N240° in accordance with the main lithological and structural grain of the rock, that is to say in direction N220° to N240°. The main ice movement on the Property, taken from the axes of tapered moraines and eskers, is slightly oblique to the lithological grain direction and structural rock. It varies from N205° to N210°.

9.3 Fieldwork in 2017

During the period between May and August 2017, prospection and outcrop sampling with GPS location were completed by the professional personnel of Geologica over the cut lines of the Chevrier and North-East grids of the Chevrier Property. Prospection was

completed with the aim of refreshing the surface mapping and verifying IP chargeability anomalies defined by Abitibi Geophysics. A total of four hundred twenty-one (421) outcrops were visited and described on the Chevrier and North-East grids. From those outcrops, a total of one hundred thirty-seven (137) samples including mineralized zones, oxidized zones, sheared zones and quartz veins were collected and assayed on the Property. Assay results vary from <5 to 1250 ppb Au.

Between June and August 2017, twenty-five (25) trenches were completed, followed by channel sampling on the Chevrier Property (Figures 12 and 13). Thirteen (13) and eleven (11) trenches were completed on the Chevrier Main and North-East grids respectively, in order to verify surface expressions of IP chargeability anomalies defined by Abitibi Geophysics (Figures 8 & 9). One trench (T-34) was realized on the East Zone to validate past assay results. A total of 981 channel samples of 0.3 to 1.5 m each were collected and assayed on the Property. Assay results vary from <5 to 4010 ppb Au.

During the summer 2017, Genesis found several drill core boxes from Minova's 1988 DDH series LC for the NE part of the Property including the East Zone. These core boxes are in good standing including their identification tag. All The core boxes were transported and carefully stored in the company's coreshack in Chapais, Quebec.




Figure 12 - Location of Trenches T-1 to T-22 of the Chevrier North-East Grid




Figure 13 - Location of Trenches T-23 to T-34 on the North-East Grid and East Zone

9.4 Fieldwork in 2018

Between July 26th and September 20th 2018, an exploration program was carried out on the Chevrier Property. The mandate consisted in mapping, prospection and manual stripping with channel sampling and the objectives were:

- 1- To collect geological information on areas where no exploration works were realized in 2017;
- 2- To conduct a follow up on the Trench T-29 area, knowing that this trench had returned 2,55 g/t Au over 2,3 m in summer 2017
- 3- Explore new areas open by new forestry roads.

To reach those objectives, Genesis targeted several sectors that needed detailed mapping and prospection. Those areas of interest were selected based on many factors; new claims to map and prospect; historic showings to revisit; confirmation of mineralised grab samples in recent acquisitions; exploration to follow for a continuity on some structures within the deformation.

During this exploration program on the Property, 699 outcrops have been described, and 451 samples were collected (plus 21 blanks and 17 standards) for gold analysis. Overall, 66 traverses have been done by the teams of geologists. The majority of the sectors were accessible by pick-up trucks via forestry and Hydro Québec roads. ATV and boats were used to access the most remote areas. The most significant result was obtained in the trench T-29 with 1.185 ppm Au.

Also, between October 8th and 12th 2018, channel sampling was carried out over the Main Zone of the Chevrier Main Zone. A total of 17 samples have been cut and analyzed for gold in two (2) channels over a large outcrop stripping (Table 5).

Channel	From (m)	To (m)	Sample No.	Au (ppm)
T-06-CH01	0	1	89301	3.94
T-06-CH01	1	2	89303	10.85
T-06-CH01	2	3	89304	3.54
T-06-CH01	3	4	89305	0.52
T-06-CH01	4	5	89307	0.53
T-06-CH01	5	5.6	89308	2.39
T-06-CH01	5.6	6.6	89309	0.013
T-06-CH01	6.6	7.6	89310	0.007
T-06-CH01	7.6	8.6	89311	0.007
T-06-CH02	0	1	89312	0.014
T-06-CH02	1	1.5	89313	0.036
T-06-CH02	1.5	2.5	89314	0.02
T-06-CH02	2.5	3.5	89315	1.31

Channel	From (m)	To (m)	Sample No.	Au (ppm)
T-06-CH02	3.5	4.2	89316	0.2
T-06-CH02	4.2	5.2	89317	0.002

Table 5 - Channel sampling on the trench T-06

9.5 Geophysical Surveys

9.5.1 Geophysical survey in 2017

In spring 2017, a Time domain resistivity/IP (dipole-dipole array) survey was completed over two (2) grids (Chevrier Grid and North-East Grid) totalling 39.2 line-km on the Property. This survey was realized by Abitibi Geophysics from Val-d'Or, Quebec.

Chevrier Grid

Four (4) resistivity low areas, less than 2000 Ohm-m trending NE-SW, were identified around stations: 4+00N, 1+00S, 5+00S and 8+00S. The latest conductive zone corresponds to the Fancamp Fault. Two (2) resistive axes of more than 4000 Ohm-m were outlined in the center and southern parts of the survey grid. The central anomalous zone seems to be trending in a NNE direction, while the southern corridor shows an ENE orientation (Figure 14).




Figure 14 - IP survey on Chevrier Grid

North-East Grid

A large resistive zone of more than 4000 Ohm-m trending E-W is outlined in the western part of the survey grid from L 36+00W to L 18+00W, and a few isolated resistive zones were identified in the NE, SW and in the center of the grid. Two (2) to three (3) conductive zones less than 1000 - 2000 Ohm-m were highlighted in the southern, south-eastern and central parts of the grid. Some of these conductive zones seem to be associated with power lines or with sediments deposited by streams / or small rivers (Figure 15).




Figure 15 - IP survey on the North-East Grid

9.5.2 Geophysical survey in 2018

During the period from October 26 to November 3, 2018, TMC Géophysique Inc. has completed an Induced polarization (IP) survey on the Property consisted of 20.6 line-km of IP using the dipole-dipole electrode array ($a=25$ m, $n=1$ to 6) in the Lipsett and Malartic-Hygrade areas.

The survey was interpreted by Joel Simard, P. Geo. (Internal report, November 2018). The IP axes mainly point out weak to moderate ($2 < Ma < 7.5$ mV/V) chargeability anomalies partially correlated with resistivity highs or lows. Those best-defined axes, highlighting the anomalies of stronger amplitude, are clustered in the northwestern part of the grid and are elliptically shaped with ENE to NE striking directions. They are interpreted to be the signature of altered and sheared band of rocks enriched with disseminated sulphides that are developed within the boundaries of a broad NE/SW shear zone, thus likely mapping a segment of the Fancamp Deformation Zone (Figures 16 and 17).




Figure 16 - Apparent Resistivity (40 m of Vertical Depth)




Figure 17 - IP Axes superimposed on to the Chargeability (40 m of Vertical Depth)

10.0 DRILLING

No drilling was completed in 2018 on the property but between July 7 and December 2, 2017, Genesis has carried out fifty-eight (58) drill holes for a total of 10,195 m. A total of 8,686 samples were collected from the drill core, carefully prepared and shipped to the accredited ActLabs laboratory at Ancaster in Ontario to be analyzed for gold with the atomic absorption method. When the analyzed sample exceeded 5 Au ppm, a second analysis is carried out with the gravimetry method. The program targeted several areas and had many goals. The first part (exploration) was to test induced polarization (IP) anomalies that were generated by the survey realized by “Abitibi Geophysics” in January 2017. The second part consisted of field work on the “Chevrier Main Zone” and the main goals were:

1. Validation of the historic data (Twin Holes);
2. Increasing the Zone’s volume and extending the mineralized zones;
3. Understanding the geology and the stratigraphy of the Chevrier Main Zone.

The planning was conducted by “Laurentia Exploration” from Alma, Quebec and “Geologica Groupe-Conseil” from Val-d’Or, Quebec depending on the stage level of the project. The supervision and the drilling follow-ups were conducted by “Laurentia Exploration”. The core logging was completed by Laurentia Exploration) (Drill Holes GM-17-01 to GM-17-13 and GM-17-17 to GM-17-58) and by Geologica (Drill Holes GM-17-14 to GM-17-16).

10.1 Exploration drilling

This program was realized in two different periods: Drill holes GM-17-01 to GM-17-08 were completed from July 7th to July 17th while drill holes GM-17-23 to GM-17-40 were completed from August 14th to October 6th. A total of 3,762 meters distributed over 26 drill holes were completed from which 3,012 samples were collected (Figures 18, 19 and Table 6).




Figure 18 - Location of drill holes on the Chevrier Main Zone and Exploration Sectors A & B




Figure 19 - Location of drill holes near Trench T-29 and Eastern Area

Sector	DDH	UTME	UTMN	Azimuth	Dip	Length
Explo A	GM-17-01	536483.18	5497561.14	135	-65	141
Explo A	GM-17-02	536268.49	5497479.79	315	-50	201
Explo A	GM-17-03	536132.26	5497342.96	315	-50	150
Explo A	GM-17-04	536135.76	5497339.72	135	-50	174
Explo A	GM-17-05	535610.36	5497016.64	135	-55	99
Explo A	GM-17-06	535681.00	5497504.00	135	-60	126
Explo A	GM-17-07	535681.00	5497504.00	315	-55	198
Explo A	GM-17-08	535420.00	5497200.00	315	-55	150
Explo B	GM-17-23	535856.70	5498458.90	135	-55	120
Explo B	GM-17-24	535774.90	5498538.60	345	-65	102
Explo B	GM-17-25	535939.00	5498099.00	285	-65	102
Explo B	GM-17-26	535491.20	5498253.10	315	-65	99
Trench-29	GM-17-27	538998.00	5501025.00	180	-50	207
Trench-29	GM-17-28	538946.00	5501037.00	180	-50	201
Trench-29	GM-17-29	538946.00	5501037.00	0	-60	201
Trench-29	GM-17-30	538854.00	5500944.00	145	-50	207
Trench-29	GM-17-31	539000.00	5501500.00	180	-60	201
Eastern Area	GM-17-32	539603.00	5501156.00	0	60	126
Eastern Area	GM-17-33	539799.00	5501307.00	180	60	123
Eastern Area	GM-17-34	539203.00	5500306.00	180	-65	111
Eastern Area	GM-17-35	539404.00	5500572.00	0	60	105
Eastern Area	GM-17-36	539806.00	5500798.00	0	50	162
Eastern Area	GM-17-37	540812.00	5500902.00	0	60	111
Eastern Area	GM-17-38	540403.00	5501057.00	0	-65	102
Eastern Area	GM-17-39	540627.00	5501535.00	5	-65	102
Eastern Area	GM-17-40	541401.00	5501457.00	0	-60	141

Table 6 - Technical parameters for drill holes on the exploration targets

The exploration drill holes had for objective to verify induced polarization (IP) anomalies detected in several areas of the Property. The drill holes were positioned on the Property in different selected areas such as in the Eastern Area, Trench T-29 and other chosen exploration areas.

Eastern Area: A total of nine drill holes (GM-17-32 to GM-17-40) were completed in the Eastern Area. Gabbros, tuffs, basalts and some felsic to intermediate dykes were identified from these holes.

The alteration of the rocks was generally weak and corresponds to the regional metamorphism with a strong chloritization and calcification. Few drill holes have intersected weak to moderate ankerite, sericite and epidote alterations. In drill hole GM-17-36, local tourmaline and fuchsite alteration was identified. For the structural aspect, a well-developed schistosity was intersected in the drill holes. A few shear zones and minor faults were also identified.

Two significant mineralized intersections were noted: 1) GM-17-36 : 45.90m to 46.05m, semi-massive sulfides mainly composed of pyrite and a small amount of

sphalerite and 2) GM-17-40: 40.00m to 40.40m; 8-10% pyrite, 0.5-1% sphalerite and traces of chalcopyrite. The pyrite is the most abundant sulfide and varies from traces to 13.5%. However, the pyrite is generally located on the hedges of the pillows or as disseminated cubes in the basalt or in the gabbro. Chalcopyrite generally appears in traces and rarely exceeds 0.5%. Pyrrhotite is rarely visible but reaches up to 6% in drill hole GM-17-35. The sphalerite is also rarely present but disseminated traces have been noted in certain holes.

Two anomalous mineralized intervals were identified on the Eastern Area: GM-17-34: 31.50-33.00 m: 0.124 ppm/1.5m and GM-17-40: 40.00-40.40 m: 0.146 ppm/0.4m.

The small semi-massive sulfide interval in the GM-17-33 didn't return any significant values. A downhole electromagnetic (EM) survey was conducted but no conductors were identified in the vicinity. Table 7 herebelow shows the gold results in the area.

Sector	DDH	From (m)	To (m)	Length (m)	Au (ppm)
Eastern Area	GM-17-34	31.50	33.00	1.5	0.124
Eastern Area	GM-17-40	40.00	40.40	0.4	0.146

Table 7 - Best assay drill results for the Eastern Area

Trench T-29 Area: This area was the target of five drill holes (GM-17-27 to GM-17-31). These holes were drilled following works on trench T-29 which revealed results of 2.55 ppm Au / 2.3m (press release of October 3rd 2017). Gabbros, basalts, tuffs and intermediate dykes were intersected.

Except for drill hole GM-17-31, all the holes show moderate alteration in sericite and a pervasive ankeritization. Also, some disseminated epidote and fuchsite which are associated to the quartz veins, were identified. Diamond drill hole GM-17-29 shows weak black chlorite alteration in certain sections of the core.

Some sheared intervals were seen in drill holes GM-17-27, GM17-28 and GM-17-29. A major fault was identified in drill hole GM-17-29. Otherwise, the other holes show weak to moderate schistosity.

No significant sulfide mineralizations were identified. All the drill holes had variable contents of pyrite (trace to 10%) and traces of chalcopyrite locally. The most significant values were intersected in drill holes GM-17-27 and GM-17-28, which are located 50 meters west of the trench. The hole GM-17-27 returned results of 1.18 ppm Au / 0.6m while drill hole GM-17-28 intersected two mineralized intersections of 0.737 ppm Au / 1.1m and 4.88 ppm Au / 0.55m. Table 8 presents these significant intersections.

Sector	DDH	From	To	Length	Au (ppm)
Trench-29	GM-17-27	117.00	117.60	0.6	1.18
		74.00	75.00	1	0.125
		76.70	77.15	0.45	0.156
Trench-29	GM-17-28	154.15	154.70	0.55	4.88
		98.10	99.20	1.1	0.737
		146.60	147.20	0.6	0.265
		156.20	156.80	0.6	0.184
Trench-29	GM-17-30	94.85	96.20	1.35	0.145

Table 8 - Best assay drill results for trench T-29 Area

Other exploration areas: Twelve (12) exploration drill holes were conducted on the Property, which are located in two well-defined areas: East-south-east (Area A) and north-east (Area B) of the Chevrier Main zone. The holes completed in Area A intersected some andesite, felsic intrusions, gabbros, tuffs and some basalt while holes drilled in Area B intersected different types of gabbros.

Area A's drill holes did not intersect many altered zones. The altered zones are generally weak and are mainly within the regional metamorphism with a dominant and pervasive green chlorite, calcite (in veinlets or pervasive) and some epidote which is also found in veinlets or disseminated. Other small minor intervals show sericite and ankerite alterations. We noted that a fuchsite and talc alteration was found in drill hole GM-17-07. Three holes drilled in "Area B" intersected unaltered rocks which are affected by the regional metamorphism with some green chlorite, calcite and epidote. However, drill hole GM-17-26 shows some strong ankerite alteration and some weak to moderate sericite and fuchsite alteration zones.

"Area A" shows a moderate intensity foliation. No sheared zones were intersected in the holes but two major faults were identified in the GM-17-02. "Area B" also shows a moderate intensity foliation with a few sheared zones.

Most of the "Area A" drill holes contain disseminated pyrite that varies from traces to 4.5%. The pyrite occurs as mostly cubic crystal and is generally located on the edges of the pillows. Few drill holes show chalcopyrite traces and some pyrrhotite traces were mainly identified in holes GM-17-07 and GM-17-08. Three of the four drill holes located in "Area B" zone show trace to 1% pyrite as well as pyrrhotite and chalcopyrite traces. However, hole GM-17-26 shows significant enrichment reaching 11% of disseminated pyrite.

The drill holes located in "Area A" did not reveal significant gold results. Only one drill hole (GM-17-07) obtained values over 0.1 ppm Au (0.128 ppm Au / 1.25m). In "Area B", drill hole GM-17-26 shows significant gold values with 0.5 ppm Au / 3.6m, including 1.11 ppm Au / 0.6m and 0.287 ppm Au / 1.5m in drill hole GM-17-

23. None of the other drill holes revealed significant gold values. Table 9 shows the results obtained on this exploration area.

Sector	DDH	From	To	Length	Au (ppm)
Explo A	GM-17-07	126.75	128	1.25	0.128
Explo B	GM-17-23	15	16.5	1.5	0.287
Explo B	GM-17-26	4.1	8.65	4.55	0.277
		78.85	82.45	3.6	0.463
		incl. 78.85	81.90	3.05	0.501
		incl. 80.9	82.45	1.55	0.672
		incl. 80.9	81.90	1	0.901
		incl. 81.3	81.90	0.6	1.11

Table 9 - Best assay drill results on other exploration areas

The 26 exploration holes successfully reached their objectives permitting to verify many induced polarization (IP) anomalies. Several holes permitted to clearly identify shearing, mineralization and alteration intervals which are common within the Chevrier Main Zone.

10.2 Drilling on the Chevrier Main Zone

Figure 20 indicates the location of the thirty-two (32) drill holes on the Chevrier Main Zone. Drill holes GM-17-09 to GM-17-22 were completed from July 18th to August 14th 2017 while holes GM-17-41 to GM-17-58 were drilled from November 1st to December 2nd 2017. Those 32 holes total 6433.4 meters from which 5,674 core samples were taken. Table 10 shows the list of these holes with their technical parameters.




Figure 20 - Drill holes on the Chevrier Main Zone

Sector	DDH	UTME	UTMN	Azimuth	Dip	Length
Chevrier Nord	GM-17-09	534754.00	5497299.80	135	-47	261
Chevrier Nord	GM-17-10	534881.80	5497349.00	135	-50	69
Chevrier Nord	GM-17-11	534931.30	5497429.60	132	-53	375
Chevrier Nord	GM-17-12	535021.70	5497350.00	130	-66	282
Chevrier Nord	GM-17-13	535073.40	5497374.60	311	-64	261
Chevrier Nord	GM-17-14	535031.40	5497391.80	312	-53	168
Chevrier Nord	GM-17-15	535071.40	5497743.20	132	-52	150
Chevrier Nord	GM-17-16	535012.40	5497575.90	132	-56	180
Chevrier Nord	GM-17-17	535051.90	5497571.00	133	-53	231
Chevrier Nord	GM-17-18	535093.10	5497670.50	132	-65	360
Chevrier Nord	GM-17-19	535076.50	5497724.20	133	-51	201
Chevrier Nord	GM-17-20	535176.10	5497583.20	140	-53	225
Chevrier Nord	GM-17-21	535268.50	5497710.70	126	-59	300
Chevrier Nord	GM-17-22	535216.90	5497726.20	132	-50	150
Chevrier Nord	GM-17-41	534735.00	5497309.00	141	-54	204
Chevrier Nord	GM-17-42	534729.50	5497253.70	135	-45	165
Chevrier Nord	GM-17-43	534812.50	5497160.30	313	-47	204
Chevrier Nord	GM-17-44	534713.50	5497291.50	138	-57	249

Sector	DDH	UTME	UTMN	Azimuth	Dip	Length
Chevrier Nord	GM-17-45	534798.10	5497283.20	134	-45	108
Chevrier Nord	GM-17-46	534816.40	5497299.90	131	-45	114
Chevrier Nord	GM-17-47	534909.90	5497271.80	314	-50	111
Chevrier Nord	GM-17-48	534962.30	5497230.10	314	-50	141
Chevrier Nord	GM-17-49	534972.60	5497284.90	314	-50	79.4
Chevrier Nord	GM-17-50	534974.50	5497282.10	134	-50	114
Chevrier Nord	GM-17-51	535101.00	5497436.50	134	-50	123
Chevrier Nord	GM-17-52	535071.10	5497495.40	134	-50	216
Chevrier Nord	GM-17-53	535053.90	5497650.80	134	-50	174
Chevrier Nord	GM-17-54	535128.80	5497619.90	132	-54	354
Chevrier Nord	GM-17-55	535193.60	5497651.20	133	-58	306
Chevrier Nord	GM-17-56	535205.90	5497639.20	134	-45	210
Chevrier Nord	GM-17-57	535329.50	5497762.70	136	-45	177
Chevrier Nord	GM-17-58	539778.40	5497303.80	136	-56	171

Table 10 - Technical parameters for drill holes on the Chevrier Main Zone

The 32 drillholes on the Chevrier Main Zone consisted in:

- 1) Validation of the historical data;
- 2) Increase the Zone's volume and the mineralized zones extensions;
- 3) Understanding Zone geology and the stratigraphy. The intersected rock units were; basalts, andesites, gabbros, tuffs, felsic porphyries and intermediate dykes.

All the drill holes on the Chevrier Main Zone intersected the gold structure. This structure is characterized by a distal chlorite and calcite alteration which is pervasive or within calcite stockwork veinlets. This distal alteration progressively weakens the closer it gets to the proximal alteration zone. That second alteration facies is characterized by a migration of the carbonates towards the ankerite-siderite with the complete disappearance of the calcite in the mineralized zones. The ankerite is also associated with moderate to strong sericite, silica and fuchsite alterations. Everything is associated with hydrothermal pyrite and chalcopyrite. The following schematics show the evolution of the alterations towards the main body:

Calcite-Chlorite » Ankerite-Siderite » Sericite » Silica-Tourmaline-Fuchsite.

Once the core of the alteration zone is passed, the same pattern, but inverted, takes place. The core of the altered zone is tied with a major shear that is included in the Fancamp deformation corridor. All the drill holes drilled in the Chevrier Main Zone in 2017 have intersected the shear zones and the alterations. The core length of the alteration and shear zones is variable but can reach up to 120 meters. Some drill holes in the same area did not intersect the main shear; however, they intersected subsidiary structures with smaller alteration thickness than the Zone.

The Main Zone is characterized by a stockwork that consists of folded and broken up veins. Those veins are composed of quartz-ankerite-sericite-tourmaline-fuchsite-pyrite-chalcopyrite and the thickness ranging from 10 cm to multiple tens of meters. The envelope that surrounds them is decametric. The mineralization consists of pyrite (0.5-7%) and chalcopyrite (tr.-0.8%). Visible gold was seen in drill hole GM-17-42.

All the drill holes drilled in the Main Zone intersected gold mineralization. The core lengths are variable. For those that were drilled directly inside the mineralized lenses, a thickness of over 60 meters was seen. The most significant results are: GM-17-09: 2.95 ppm Au / 58.70m.; GM-17-20: 1.26 ppm Au / 64.0m.; GM-17-42: 3.6 ppm Au / 22.6m and 8.74 ppm Au / 21.35m.; GM-17-44: 1.04 ppm Au / 50.05m.; GM-17-46: 1.08 ppm Au / 84.85m, including 4.47 ppm Au / 12.45, and GM-17-48: 4.27 ppm Au / 19.4m. All the results are presented in Table 11 herebelow.

DDH	From	To	Length (m)	Au (ppm)	Metal Factor (ppm*m)
GM-17-09	74.6	133.3	58.70	2.95	172.87
	Incl. 109.1	115.45	6.35	14.01	88.96
	159.75	160.4	0.65	1.1	0.72
	164	165.35	1.35	1.6	2.16
	169.7	170.2	0.50	2.53	1.27
GM-17-10	36	39	3.00	0.162	0.49
	47.75	49.1	1.35	0.252	0.34
	54.45	55.45	1.00	0.187	0.19
GM-17-11	68.1	69.6	1.50	0.391	0.59
	110.75	111.45	0.70	0.529	0.37
	224.35	229.25	4.90	0.55	2.70
	231.25	235.4	4.15	0.57	2.37
	Incl. 233.1	233.9	0.85	1.79	1.52
GM-17-12	89.95	91.8	1.85	2.394	4.43
	135	136	1.00	1.09	1.09
	217.9	219.3	1.40	0.78	1.09
GM-17-13	63.4	71.6	8.2	0.63	5.16
	92.5	94.7	2.2	1.35	2.97
	Incl. 94.1	94.7	0.6	4.29	2.57
	101.3	105	3.7	0.90	3.33
	108.65	130.8	22.15	0.57	12.60
	146.3	162.1	15.8	0.69	10.93
	180	188.5	8.5	1.37	11.62
	Incl. 180	182.3	2.3	1.12	2.57
	Incl. 186	188.5	2.5	3.38	8.45
200.25	205.5	5.25	0.89	4.68	
GM-17-14	30.05	47.5	17.45	0.52	9.13
	Incl. 38.55	47.5	8.95	0.82	7.30
	55.75	57.5	1.75	0.65	1.13

DDH	From	To	Length (m)	Au (ppm)	Metal Factor (ppm*m)	
	59.55	60.75	1.20	0.86	1.03	
	73.3	73.6	0.30	1.02	0.31	
	90.75	92.25	1.50	0.72	1.08	
GM-17-15	49.5	92.5	43.00	1.94	83.33	
	Incl.	49.5	51	1.50	3.10	4.65
	Incl.	63.35	92.5	29.15	2.66	77.57
	Incl.	63.35	67.5	4.15	6.29	26.11
	Incl.	63.35	73.2	9.85	3.03	29.80
	Incl.	64.35	67.5	3.15	7.56	23.83
	Incl.	77.05	78.6	1.55	5.41	8.39
	Incl.	77.05	92.5	15.45	3.06	47.32
	Incl.	81.15	89	7.85	4.60	36.09
	Incl.	82.2	84	1.80	6.07	10.92
		138.3	142.25	3.95	0.95	3.76
Incl.	138.3	138.8	0.50	3.55	1.78	
GM-17-16	21	163.55	142.55	0.32	45.19	
	Incl.	21	31.35	10.35	0.57	5.90
	Incl.	22.2	27	4.80	0.81	3.89
	Incl.	22.2	24	1.80	1.15	2.06
	Incl.	54	57.6	3.60	0.48	1.72
	Incl.	54	56.8	2.80	0.55	1.55
	Incl.	73.85	74.7	0.85	1.43	1.22
	Incl.	79.3	81.5	2.20	0.67	1.47
	Incl.	106.6	107.1	0.50	0.99	0.50
	Incl.	112.2	112.8	0.65	4.72	3.07
	Incl.	119.4	120	0.65	1.53	0.99
	Incl.	123.9	125.05	1.20	0.76	0.91
	Incl.	129.9	143	13.10	1.89	24.81
	Incl.	133.5	135.6	2.10	4.49	9.42
	Incl.	133.5	139.7	6.20	3.50	21.72
Incl.	133.5	137.5	4.00	4.50	18.00	
Incl.	134.7	137.5	2.80	5.01	14.03	
Incl.	163.3	163.55	0.30	1.26	0.38	
GM-17-17	186.1	186.95	0.85	2.82	2.40	
	195.9	208.55	12.65	1.06	13.37	
	Incl.	195.9	207.65	11.75	1.12	13.12
	Incl.	200.2	202.4	2.20	3.23	7.11
	265.3	266.25	0.95	2.03	1.93	
	273.1	278.35	5.25	0.74	3.86	
	Incl.	273.1	275.45	2.35	1.03	2.41
	276.9	278.35	1.45	0.97	1.41	
GM-17-19	44.1	44.8	0.70	0.21	0.14	
	188.5	189.15	0.65	0.29	0.19	
	192.75	193.7	0.95	0.20	0.19	
GM-17-20	95	159	64.00	1.26	80.70	
	Incl.	95	133.4	38.40	1.89	72.65
	Incl.	103.9	106.1	2.20	4.89	10.76
	Incl.	125.4	131.35	5.95	3.80	22.60

DDH	From	To	Length (m)	Au (ppm)	Metal Factor (ppm*m)
	176.45	179.75	3.30	1.09	3.59
GM-17-21	221.45	254	32.55	1.29	41.83
GM-17-22	19.15	48.7	29.55	1.08	31.91
	Incl. 19.15	43.6	24.45	1.23	30.15
	Incl. 26.15	28.25	2.10	3.58	7.52
GM-17-41	108.25	116.7	8.45	5.06	42.76
	127.75	170.75	43	1.23	52.72
	151.3	155.45	4.2	6.43	27.01
GM-17-42	13.4	36	22.6	3.59	81.22
	44	48	4	0.85	3.40
	107.45	128.8	21.35	8.73	186.47
	110	113	3	37.97	113.90
GM-17-43	130.9	134.6	3.7	1.17	4.34
	148.4	152.3	3.9	1.15	4.48
	168.8	169.1	0.3	6.69	2.01
GM-17-44	109.05	159.1	50.05	1.04	52.25
	Incl. 109.1	119.4	10.35	1.74	17.98
	Incl. 142	159.1	17.1	1.94	33.17
GM-17-45	35.4	98.4	63	0.50	31.31
	Incl. 35.4	37.3	1.9	2.47	4.69
	Incl. 45	52.6	7.6	0.47	3.56
	Incl. 66.15	70.75	4.6	1.07	4.90
	Incl. 79.9	85.4	5.5	0.39	2.16
	Incl. 79.9	98.4	18.5	0.85	15.63
	90.6	100.9	10.3	1.38	14.23
GM-17-46	19.35	104.2	84.85	1.08	91.64
	Incl. 19.35	31.8	12.45	4.47	55.63
	Incl. 20.3	28.2	7.9	6.40	50.57
	Incl. 39.5	49.4	9.9	2.52	24.95
	Incl. 41.3	46	4.7	4.47	20.99
	Incl. 64.65	65.7	1.05	2.22	2.33
	Incl. 99.2	104.2	5	0.98	4.91
GM-17-47	31	34.6	3.6	0.97	3.50
	43	46	3	0.65	1.96
	69.3	78.8	9.5	0.91	8.64
GM-17-48	51.8	59.8	8	0.62	4.94
	67.35	86.75	19.4	4.26	82.68
	Incl. 72.6	80.4	7.8	8.99	70.12
GM-17-49	28.5	34	5.5	0.79	4.36
	Incl. 33.05	34	0.95	3.31	3.14
GM-17-50	81.35	89.3	7.95	0.30	2.40
	Incl. 81.35	81.9	0.55	2.56	1.41
GM-17-51	7.5	9	1.5	1.32	1.98
	23.2	25.3	2.1	0.98	2.06
	56.5	60.5	4	1.61	6.43
	91.4	102.2	10.8	0.47	5.03
GM-17-52	22.9	23.7	0.8	3.39	2.71
	125	126.3	1.3	1.43	1.86

DDH	From	To	Length (m)	Au (ppm)	Metal Factor (ppm*m)	
GM-17-53	81.3	83.9	2.6	0.20	0.51	
	87.9	89.4	1.5	0.16	0.23	
GM-17-54	136.5	138	1.5	0.39	0.59	
	169	169.5	0.5	0.47	0.23	
	200.5	201	0.5	0.51	0.25	
	219.05	222	2.95	1.18	3.48	
	244.9	246.6	1.7	0.95	1.62	
	255.05	256.1	1.05	1.38	1.45	
	259.5	259.9	0.4	0.34	0.13	
GM-17-55	265.4	266.7	1.3	1.48	1.92	
	223.5	225	1.5	1.03	1.54	
	246.25	246.95	0.7	0.47	0.33	
	257	258	1	0.71	0.71	
GM-17-56	262.1	265.1	3	0.81	2.42	
	56.5	58.55	2.05	1.20	2.46	
	137.2	139.1	1.9	1.19	2.27	
	142.1	144.8	2.7	0.39	1.06	
	149.1	149.9	0.8	0.71	0.57	
GM-17-57	173.45	176.5	3.05	0.21	0.64	
	Incl.	15	32.1	17.1	0.67	11.41
	Incl.	20.6	32.1	11.5	0.90	10.33
	Incl.	26	28.6	2.6	2.05	5.33
GM-17-58	Incl.	26	32.1	6.1	1.19	7.25
	34.6	36.9	2.3	0.56	1.29	
	76.5	78.5	2	0.67	1.35	
	79.9	82.2	2.3	0.29	0.68	
	85.4	86.2	0.8	1.18	0.94	
	92.7	93.55	0.85	1.53	1.30	
	101.8	103.15	1.35	0.84	1.13	
	107.35	108.55	1.2	0.37	0.44	
	120.8	122.45	1.65	0.27	0.44	
	130.45	136.6	6.15	0.50	3.10	
	146.7	149.2	2.5	0.49	1.24	
165.5	167.9	2.4	1.83	4.40		

Table 11 - Best assay drill results obtained in the Chevrier Main Zone

Four (4) twin holes were carried out to verify and validate the historical data. Figure 21 shows the location of the drill holes. Table 12 shows the technical parameters of these holes and Table 13 presents the results of the composites and comparables between old DDHs and recent DDHs (Table 13).




Figure 21 - Location of twin drill holes on the Chevrier Main Zone

DDH	UTME	UTMN	Azimuth	Dip
GFA-201	534 752.50	5 497 301.00	135	-46.8
GM-17-09	534 754.00	5 497 299.80	135	-47
GDO-158	535 259.56	5 497 702.75	130	-60
GM-17-21	535 268.50	5 497 710.70	126	-59
GDO-200	535 181.78	5 497 603.75	135	-53.5
GM-17-20	535 176.10	5 497 583.20	140	-53
GFA-172	534 728.00	5 497 252.50	135	-45
GM-17-42	534 729.50	5 497 253.70	135	-45

Table 12 - Technical parameters of twin drill holes on the Chevrier Main Zone

Test		DDH	From	To	Length	Au (ppm)
A	Old	GFA-201	72.7	129.2	56.5	2.27
	New	GM-17-09	74.6	133.3	58.7	2.95
B	Old	GDO-158	184.8	238.6	53.8	1.47

	New	GM-17-21	221.45	254	32.55	1.29
C	Old	GDO-200	136.38	174.18	37.8	1.76
	New	GM-17-20	95	167.65	72.65	1.16
D	Old	GFA-172	14.7	48.9	34.2	2.14
			14.7	37	22.3	3.17
			108.3	129.6	21.3	1.3
	New	GM-17-42	13.4	46.15	32.75	2.58
			13.4	36	22.6	3.59
			107.45	128.8	21.35	8.73

Table 13 - Comparison between old drill holes and recent twin drill holes

The comparison between the drill holes shows results that are quite similar, but some differences can be observed. “A” Test is the one that shows the best replicability. The difference in the concentration of gold (2.27 vs 2.95) could be explained by the size of the core which was BQ size at that time, while the one in 2017 was NQ size. “B” and “C” Tests show similar concentration in gold (1.47 vs 1.29) and (1.76 vs 1.16). The differences could be explained by the core size (BQ vs NQ). However, the length of the mineralization is quite different (B: 53.8 meters vs 32.55 meters and C: 37.8 meters vs 72.65 meters). The difference between the results is probably due to the fact that the twin holes were drilled 15 to 20 meters from the original collars. Finally the “D” test shows very similar results for the depth, the core length and the gold concentration for the composite samples. However, the last composite shows a concentration 6.7 times higher than the original and the difference could be explained by the fact that some visible gold was seen in the last mineralized interval of the drill hole GM-17-42.

11.0 SAMPLE PREPARATION, ANALYSES AND SECURITY

11.1 ActLabs 2016 Sample Preparation, Analysis and Security

11.1.1 Introduction

This section will describe Genesis Metal’s sampling preparations, analysis and security during the 2016 exploration program. Genesis Metal accomplished a re-sampling and re-assaying program comprising 585 second half core samples from Geonova, Tawsho and Minnova historical drilling made between 1989 and 2006. They also re-sampled three old trenced and stripped a new one at the Chevrier Main Zone.

All historical split drill cores were sawn in halves and one quarter was shipped for preparation and analysis to SGS in Québec City (Québec) and Lakefield (Ontario) successively.

From the moment the core boxes were delivered to Genesis core logging facilities in Chapais (Quebec), the samples remained in the custody of personnel under the direct supervision of Genesis personnel.

For each sample, individual plastic sample bags were sealed at the sampling facility with a stapler. The samples were bagged in sequence and inserted into rice bags. Group of rice bags were assembled and put in large totes for shipment.

A sample shipping form, with a unique identification number, detailing the contents of each batch was filled out by the core sampler. All samples were shipped directly to SGS preparation laboratory in Québec City under direct supervision of Genesis Metals personnel. The sample shipment form followed the shipment at all times. From Québec City, the samples were sent to SGS Lakefield, Ontario under direct supervision of SGS personnel. SGS is accredited ISO/IEC 17025 by the Standards Council of Canada since 2000.

Upon arrival to the laboratory, all samples were sorted and checked against the sample submission form before entering the preparation laboratory. If judged necessary, drill cores were dried in an oven near 105 degrees Celsius. Once dried, each sample was then crushed to 90% passing -10 mesh. The samples were then rifled split and an approximately 1000-1500g sub-sample was pulverized with greater than 85% of the pulverized sample passing through a 75 µm screen.

All samples were analyzed for gold by fire assay on a fraction of 50 grams with an atomic absorption finish and a gravimetric finish for values greater than 10 g/t Au. No other elements were routinely assayed.

It is the author's opinion that sample preparation, analysis and transportation works were performed in accordance with current industry standards.

11.1.2 2016 Quality Assurance and Quality Control

For quality control, in each submission, certified standards and blanks were inserted approximately one standard and one blank in every 30 samples throughout the sequence of samples. A total of 9 batches were submitted to SGS laboratory over the course of the re-sampling program containing 585 sawn core samples. Eighteen blanks were inserted along with nineteen standards including two certified reference material (CRM CDN-GS2M and CDN-GS-5R) running 2.21 and 5.29 g/t Au respectively. The data were reviewed and no failure was recorded. All the batches were approved by Robert Banville, Eng., and Qualified Person as defined by National Instrument 43-101. Gold results between original assays and re-assayed were compared showing variances especially below one gram. The Genesis re-assays (n=410) are -6.1% in favour of Geonova while

removing some flyers. The Genesis re-assays (n=168) are -16.3% in favour of Minova and; the Genesis re-assays (n=6) are +30.1% higher than Tawsho although the number of re-assays are rather low. In the last two, some low-grade flyers were not included in the statistical analysis.

11.1.3 2016 Trench re-sampling and sampling program

In 2016, three (3) old trenches were resampled and one new was stripped. A total of 199 rock samples were collected during the sampling and trenching programs. The sample lengths varied from 0.2 to 1.35 m with an average of 0.7m.

All trenching samples were shipped for preparation and analysis to SGS in Québec City, Québec and Lakefield, Ontario successively.

The samples were bagged in sequence and inserted into rice bags. The individual plastic sample bags were sealed in the field with a stapler. From the field to the Genesis Chapais Office, the rice bags remained in the custody of personnel under the direct supervision of Genesis Metal personnel.

A sample shipping form, with a unique identification number, detailing the contents of each batch was filled out by the core sampler. All the samples were transported directly to SGS, Québec City under the custody of Genesis Metal personnel. The sample shipment form followed the shipment at all times. Once prepared, all samples were sent to SGS Lakefield, Ontario under direct supervision of SGS personnel.

Upon arrival, all samples were sorted and checked against the sample submission form before entering the preparation laboratory. If judged necessary, the rock samples were dried in an oven near 105 degrees Celsius. Once dried, each sample was then crushed to 90% passing -10 mesh. The samples were then rifled split and an approximately 1000-1500g sub-sample was pulverized with greater than 85% of the pulverized sample passing through a 75 µm screen.

All samples were analyzed for gold by fire assay on a fraction of 50 grams with an atomic absorption finish and a gravimetric finish for values greater than 10 g/t Au.

11.1.4 2016 Trenching Quality Assurance and Quality Control

For quality control, in each submission, certified standards and blanks were inserted with approximately one standard and one blank in every 30 samples throughout the sequence of samples. A total of 199 samples were collected along with 4 blanks, 4 CDN-GS2M and 3 CDN-5R certified reference materials. The

data were reviewed and no failures were recorded. All the batched were approved by Robert Banville, P. Eng., Qualified Person as defined by National Instrument 43-101. It is the author's opinion that sample preparation, analysis, transportation and quality control works were performed in accordance with current industry standards.

11.1.5 2016 Chevrier Specific Gravity Assessment

While doing the 2016 re-sampling program, a total of 40 intervals were subject to a specific gravity measurement, first by water immersion with wax coating and secondly by pycnometer. The selected samples come from 5 Geonova's drill holes. The selection was made to represent most protoliths, the range of gold values, the pyrite and quartz veining contents. The measurements were performed by SGS in Québec City, Québec. For each selected interval, 1 or 2 ten-centimetre pieces of the remaining second half core were selected to represent the best lithologies and mineralization within the assayed intervals. SGS implemented an internal quality control program including standard insertion and sample duplicates. The results of the quality control were reviewed and it is the author's opinion that density measurements by wax coating and pycnometer were performed in accordance with current industry standards.

Upon results reception, the results were grouped by protolith including gabbro, basalt and intermediate to felsic rocks (Int-Fel). Table 14 herebelow shows basic statistics of the specific gravity. All results are available at the Genesis Office.

Protolith	ñ	Ave Gold	SG		Max		Min		Std		Median		% Py	% Q.V.
			Wax	Pyc	Wax	Pyc	Wax	Pyc	Wax	Pyc	Wax	Pyc		
Gabbro	19	2546	2.98	3.01	3.32	3.10	2.70	2.88	0.14	0.06	2.98	3.03	7	23
Basalt	18	1614	2.92	2.96	3.17	3.10	2.72	2.84	0.11	0.07	2.89	2.95	3	19
Int-Fel	3	890	2.72	2.84	2.78	2.84	2.64	2.83	0.07	0.01	2.74	2.83	1	14

Table 14 -Specific Gravity Summary

11.2 ActLabs 2017 Sample Preparation, Analysis and Security

11.2.1 Introduction

This section will describe Genesis Metal's sampling preparations and approaches during the 2017 exploration program. The program included infill drilling and induced-polarization (IP) target drilling on the Chevrier Main grid; re-sampling old drill holes and sampled new trenches on both the Chevrier Main and Chevrier North-East grids and limited prospecting over the same grids. Drill sampling procedures, sample preparations, geochemical analysis, and quality control implemented were the same throughout the entire drill program. The same procedures were applied during the trenching and prospecting programs.

11.2.2 2017 Drill Sampling and Re-sampling Preparation

During the 2017 drill programs, all drill core intervals were sawn in halves and one half was shipped for analyses to either Activation Laboratories Ltd (ActLabs) in Ancaster or Dryden, Ontario for sample preparation and analysis. For the 11 old re-sampled drill holes, the core was quarterly split and also shipped to ActLabs laboratories.

From the moment the core boxes were delivered to the core logging facility by the Genesis Metals personnel, the samples remained in the custody of personnel under the direct supervision of Genesis Metal personnel.

For each sample, individual plastic sample bags were sealed at the sampling facility with a stapler. The samples were bagged in sequence and inserted into rice bags along with standards and blanks. Group of rice bags were assembled and put in large totes for shipment. A sample shipping form, with a unique identification number, detailing the contents of each batch was filled out by the core sampler.

Once or twice a week, the totes were transported directly either to Actlabs, Ancaster or Dryden, Ontario by Transcol, a reputable carrier company. The sample shipment form followed the shipment at all times and the transportation waybill was signed by the laboratory supervisor. A copy of the waybill was returned to the site and filed.

Upon arrival to the laboratory, all samples were sorted and checked against the sample submission form before entering the preparation laboratory. If judged necessary, drill cores were dried in an oven near 105 degrees Celsius. Once dried, each sample was then crushed to 90% passing -10 mesh. The samples were then rifled split and an approximately 750-800g sub-sample was pulverized with greater than 85% of the pulverized sample passing through a 75 µm screen.

All samples were analyzed for gold by fire assay on a fraction of 30 grams with an atomic absorption finish and a gravimetric finish for values greater than 5 g/t Au. No other elements were routinely assayed.

It is the author's opinion that sample preparation, analysis and transportation works were performed in accordance with current industry standards.

11.2.3 2017 New Trenching and Prospecting Programs

In 2017, twenty-four (24) new trenches were stripped and sampled, 13 and 11 on Chevrier Main and North-East grids respectively. The sample lengths varied from 0.3 to 1.1 m with an average of 0.75m. A total of 119 rock samples were collected while prospecting the Chevrier Main and North-East grids.

All trenching and prospecting samples were shipped for analysis to Activation Laboratories Ltd (Actlabs) either in Ancaster or Dryden, Ontario for sample preparation and analysis.

The samples were bagged in sequence and inserted into rice bags. The individual plastic sample bags were sealed in the field with a stapler. From the field to the Genesis Chapais Office, the rice bags remained in the custody of personnel under the direct supervision of Genesis Metal personnel.

A sample shipping form, with a unique identification number, detailing the contents of each batch was filled out by the core sampler. The rice bags were then put in large totes that could content about 200 samples.

All the samples were transported directly to Actlabs, Ancaster or Dryden by Transcol, a reputable carrier company. The sample shipment form followed the shipment at all times and the transportation waybill was signed by the laboratory supervisor. A copy of the waybill was returned to the site and filed.

Upon arrival, all samples were sorted and checked against the sample submission form before entering the preparation laboratory. If judged necessary, the rock samples were dried in an oven near 105 degrees Celsius. Once dried, each sample was then crushed to 90% passing -10 mesh. The samples were then rifled split and an approximately 750-800g sub-sample was pulverized with greater than 85% of the pulverized sample passing through a 75 µm screen.

All samples were analyzed for gold by fire assay on a fraction of 30 grams with an atomic absorption finish and a gravimetric finish for values greater than 5 g/t Au. It is the author's opinion that sample preparation, analysis and transportation works were performed in accordance with current industry standards.

11.2.4 2017 QA/QC for Drilling, Core re-sampling, Trenching and Prospecting.

For quality control, in each submission, certified standards and blanks were inserted approximately one standard and one blank in every 30 samples throughout the sequence of samples. Two certified reference material (CRM CDN-GS-1R and CDN-GS-5R) running 1.21 and 5.29 g/t Au were used. A total of 43 batches were submitted to Actlabs over the 2017 exploration programs. The entire sampling program comprises 8,696 sawn core samples; 7,039 and 1657 for Chevrier Main and Chevrier North-East grids respectively. Core sample lengths were 0.5 to 1.5 metres with an average of 1.08m. Core recoveries were very good well above 90%. Eleven historical drill holes (11) with 315 and 508 intervals were re-sampled from Chevrier Main and Chevrier North-East respectively. The same standards and blanks insertion rate was used during the core re-sampling program. Adequate QAQC procedures were also implemented for 1,003 and 119 rock samples from the new trench sampling and the prospecting programs.

Three hundred seventy-seven blanks (307) were inserted along with about 375 standards including two certified reference material. QAQC submission rates meet industry-accepted standards of insertion rates.

For the Chevrier Main Zone, 4 of 23 batches contained one or more standards or blanks that were deemed to be out of compliance. The adequate insertion rate was respected during that entire drill program. It is meant to limit the amount of variation in the batch results and ensure variations remain random (no bias).

For each standard, a control chart for Au was made. Starting with batch A17-09039 up to A17-14334, the Au data was found to be satisfactory although few failures were observed (see Figures 22 and 23 herebelow for CRM CDN-GS-1R and 2 for CDN-GS-5R). Each failed batches were re-submitted to Actlabs from the pulps for re-analyses until the inserted CRM meet the expected values. While found acceptable, the new assays were entered into the database in replacement of the samples in the failed batch or portion of the batch. All failures and the follow up actions were closely monitored into a table of failures.




Figure 22 - Control chart for CRM CDN-GS-1R. Results below 800 ppb and above 1600 ppb were the results of inadequate control standard labelling.




Figure 23 - Control chart for CRM CDN-GS-5R. Results below 4000 ppb were the results of inadequate control standard labelling.

The review indicated that many failures (18) were caused by inadequate labelling by Genesis Metals Corp. personnel. All true failed control samples were resolved by re-assaying until compliance. Ten (10) of 43 unresolved control sample failures were not deemed to be material because the samples associated with the apparent failures represented non-mineralized intervals. All the batches from Chevrier Main drilling were approved by Robert Banville, P. Eng., and Qualified Person as defined by National Instrument 43-101.

The blank control chart (Figure 24) shows excellent results, in large part, within acceptable tolerance. The quality of the blank used in the re-assaying project is very high and ensures that little or no cross-sample contamination.




Figure 24 - Control chart for the blanks. All results above 20 ppb were the results of inadequate control blank labelling.

The remaining 20 batches out of 63 batches from early stage exploration comprising the North-East Chevrier drilling, the new trenching, core re-sampling and prospecting programs had no material failures. All the batched were approved.

Sample Storage

Rejects and pulps from assay sample preparation are archived in a well-organized, secured facility in Chapais that is supervised by Genesis Metals Corp. personnel. Drill core is stored on site in core racks or on pallets organized by drill hole number.

11.2.5 Second phase QAQC

In March 2018, a total of 242 drill cores pulp samples representing approximately 5% of the Chevrier Main intervals were selected and sent to ALS Minerals, who acts as an external check laboratory for the primary analyses. As well a total of 80 rejects samples representing 1.4 % of the Chevrier Main intervals were relabelled and rerun by Actlabs as primary laboratory.

Particle Size Analyses (PSA) was also be measured on selected 80 rejects to verify that the primary (Atclabs) laboratory has prepared samples according to the requested specifications ensuring consistent preparation.

Particle size analysis (PSA) Review

A total of 80 reject representing 1.4% of the Chevrier Main intervals were relabelled and processed for a particle size analysis (PSA) prior to be recombined for a 1 kg subsample to be rerun for Au. The PSA (particle size analysis) control chart (Figure 25) indicates that numerous samples failed to meet the minimum 90% -10 mesh criteria. However, the values range from 97.9% to a minimum 80.1% with an average of 87.4% and the minimal tolerance is set to 80%. A total of 47 samples did not meet the minimum requirement (90%), this is considered a minor flaw that will lead to additional variance in about 50% of the samples (~3000/6015 entries) but is unlikely to cause significant bias in the entire dataset; the failures representing only 13% of the database (~3000/22799 or 13% entries).




Figure 25 - Particle size analysis showing an 87.4% average

As part of its second phase of QAQC for the Chevrier Main infill drilling, a random selection of reject replicate samples were re-splitted and prepared in a similar manner to the original pulp to test for preparation bias. A total 80 rejects were re-run by the Actlabs as primary lab. "X-Y" and "%Diff. vs Average" plots for the paired rejects and original reject assays for Au show no special pattern of bias between the datasets (Figures 26 and 27). The reruns were controlled by the same CRM either or both CDN-GS-1R and CDN-GS-5R, and the assay results were within acceptable tolerances. Removing few fliers in the low range assays the average percentage difference is +2.16% which indicate a good match between the paired values. The pairs of rejects results were not subjected to the Thompson and Howarth (1978) method of estimating precision giving the low number of reruns. Even in the absence of precision analysis, it can be stated that all the assay results for the 2017 drill program are likely free of bias from the preparation point of view and can be used for future resource analysis.




Figure 26 - X:Y plot comparing the Au assays results between paired original reject and the replicate. Results indicate there is no significant bias between the two sets of data.




Figure 27 - Comparison of percent Au difference between the original and the replicate analyses. Removing few flyers in the low grade range, results indicate a minor difference (+2.16) between the paired rejects suggesting there is no significant bias in the data

Second Phase QAQC Pulps Cross checks with secondary laboratory (ALS Minerals)

A random selection of pulp samples covering the whole range of assay values were submitted to the secondary lab to test for analytical bias. A total of 242 pulps samples were thus submitted to ALS Mineral of Val D'Or, Québec. "X-Y" and "%Diff. vs Average" plots for the pairs cross check pulps and original pulp assays for Au show no special pattern of bias between the datasets (Figures 28 and 29).




Figure 28 - X:Y plot comparing the Au assays results between paired original pulp and the pulp cross check with the secondary laboratory. Results indicate there is no significant bias between the two sets of data.




Figure 29 - Comparison of percent Au difference between paired original and the replicate analyses. Removing few fliers in the low grade range of assays, rv results indicate a minor difference (-2.61%) between the paired rejects suggesting no significant bias in the database (n = 214)

The pulp crosscheck reruns were controlled by the same CRM either or both CDN-GS-1R and CDN-GS-5R, and the assay results were within acceptable tolerance. The average percentage difference is -2.16% in favor of ALS Mineral which indicate a good match between the labs. The pairs of pulp results were also subjected to the Thompson and Howarth (Figure 30) method of estimating precision giving an average 8 % analytical precision (visual estimate). As a result it can be stated that all the assay results for the 2017 drill program are free of bias on the analytical point of view and can be used for future resource analysis.




Figure 30 - Howarth-Thompson chart for the 2017 pulp duplicates for Au.

Conclusions

Quality control review of the standard and blank insertion meets the minimal criteria set by Genesis. Quality control review of the standards controls charts during the 2017 Chevrier Main drill program have shown that the new assays results are properly calibrate and free of bias.

The blanks control charts show excellent results, in large part, within acceptable tolerance. The quality of the blank used is very high and ensures that little or no cross-sample contamination occurred during sample preparation.

Reviewing the PSA charts indicates that even though the target was not integrally met (90% passing 2mm) we can state that preparation were adequate (all above 80%) and uniform (average more than 87%) during the 2017 drill program.

The 2017 assaying program and controls has identified and quantified no expected biases in original assays against the new assays.

Using the Thompson & Howarth method, comparing pairs pulps and pair pulps replicates for the 2017 Chevrier Main drill program, have shown a 12% precisions for

Au. The QAQC analysis of the present programs including 26% of the entire database (6015/22799) pass the minimal criteria set forth in logic table of failures for the Chevrier projects. As a result it can be stated that all the assay results from that drill program are properly calibrate, likely free of bias and can be used in the current resource estimation.

12.0 DATA VERIFICATION

The authors have verified existing data of previous reports. Although the techniques were not described in the reports, data reported in assessment files, sampling and analysis appears to have been conducted with the norms and standards employed at that period and still valid to this day.

The authors have reviewed all of the recent documents prepared by Genesis and did not find elements not in line with current norms and standards.

The sampling approach, methods and procedures used by Genesis are considered to be appropriate, well done and conform to the mining standards of the industry.

The area within the agreement and the history of prior work on the Property is very significant over several decades and by numerous workers. Sampling of a few isolated outcrops would not be significant in relation to the size of the database and materially irrelevant.

A sampling program was carried out by Ginto Consulting Inc. (Mr. Marc Jutras, P. Eng.) during the site visit on November 29 and 30, 2018. The main objective of this sampling exercise was to independently confirm the presence of gold mineralization at Chevrier Main. For such, core samples were taken from two drill holes drilled by Genesis; holes GM-17-46 and GM-17-16, and reject samples were taken as well from the same two holes. Samples were sent to the ALS laboratory in Val-d'Or, Quebec for analysis. Results are shown in Table 15. As seen in this table, the objective of confirming the presence of gold mineralization was achieved, with results favorably comparable overall to those from the sampling undertaken by Genesis in 2017.

Another sampling exercise consisted in the independent sampling of rejects from older holes (pre-Genesis) with the same objective of confirming gold mineralization at Chevrier Main. Results are shown in Table 16. Similarly to the previous exercise, it can be seen that overall, results show satisfactory correlation between the different sampling campaigns, meeting the objective of independently confirming the presence of gold mineralization.

GOLD GRADE COMPARISON - GENESIS METALS CORP and GINTO CONSULTING INC – CHEVRIER MAIN								
GENESIS 2017					GINTO 2018			
			1/2 NQ CORE		1/4 NQ CORE		REJECT	
DDH	FROM	TO	SAMPLE	Au (ppm)	SAMPLE	Au (ppm)	SAMPLE	Au (ppm)
			BLANK		675051	0.005		
GM17-46	23.0	23.6	672746	16.10	675052	16.55	675073	15.65
GM17-46	23.6	24.4	672747	3.25	675053	2.29	675074	2.74
GM17-46	24.4	25.3	672748	3.54	675054	3.55	675075	3.57
GM17-46	25.3	26.0	672749	5.93	675055	7.41	675076	6.58
GM17-46	26	26.5	672750	3.04	675056	2.31	675077	3.1
GM17-46	26.5	27.2	672752	6.30	675057	5.18	675078	6.24
GM17-46	27.2	28.2	672753	3.64	675058	5.2	675079	3.25
GM17-46	28.2	29.0	672754	1.19	675059	1.725	675080	1.39
GM17-46	29.0	29.6	672755	1.09	675060	0.965	675081	0.965
GM17-46	29.6	30.5	672756	0.012	675061	0.02	675082	0.002
GM17-16	134.7	135.05	522534	9.93	675062	0.807	675083	9.6
GM17-16	135.05	135.60	522535	3.58	675063	6.07	675084	3.58
GM17-16	135.6	136.05	522536	1.69	675064	0.921	675085	1.615
GM17-16	136.05	136.45	522537	3.91	675065	2.78	675086	4.72
GM17-16	136.45	136.95	522538	4.29	675066	2.17	675087	4.38
GM17-16	136.95	137.50	522539	7.48	675067	5.85	675088	8.03
GM17-16			522540	STD 5.29 g	675068	5.11	675089	5.23
GM17-16	137.5	138.0	522541	2.14	675069	1.805	675090	1.995
GM17-16	138.0	138.8	522542	1.76	675070	1.2	675091	1.89
GM17-16	138.8	139.7	522543	1.38	675071	1.155	675092	1.575
GM17-16	139.7	140.9	522544	0.061	675072	0.38	675093	0.38

Table 15 - Results from the Independent Sampling by Ginto Consulting Inc. – Holes by Genesis Metals Corp. - Chevrier Main

GOLD GRADE BY GEONOVA AND GENESIS (validation 2016) COMPARED TO GINTO - CHEVRIER MAIN								
			GEONOVA 1997		GENESIS (validation 2016)		GINTO 2018	
			1/2 BQ CORE		1/2 BQ CORE		REJECT	
DDH	FROM	TO	SAMPLE	Au (ppb)	SAMPLE	Au (ppb)	SAMPLE	Au (ppm)
					BLANK		675094	0.033
GDO-158	184.8	185.8	753328	5384	59002	3690	675095	4.92
GDO-158	186.8	187.8	753330	2056	59004	2240	675096	2.1
GDO-158	187.8	188.8	753331	4825	59005	5380	675097	5.63
GDO-158	188.8	189.8	753332	1770	59006	1020	675098	1.665
GDO-158	217.2	218.2	753357	7393	59031	8250	675099	9.26

GOLD GRADE BY GEONOVA AND GENESIS (validation 2016) COMPARED TO GINTO - CHEVRIER MAIN								
			GEONOVA 1997		GENESIS (validation 2016)		GINTO 2018	
			1/2 BQ CORE		1/2 BQ CORE		REJECT	
DDH	FROM	TO	SAMPLE	Au (ppb)	SAMPLE	Au (ppb)	SAMPLE	Au (ppm)
GDO-158	218.2	219.2	753358	1630	59033	1920	675100	2.47
GDO-158	220.2	221.2	753360	2864	59035	1860	675101	2.32
GDO-158	221.2	222.2	753361	953	59036	900	675102	1.15
GDO-158	222.9	224.2	753363	7755	59038	6110	675103	6.19
GDO-158	224.2	225.5	753364	1174	59039	895	675104	0.95
GDO-161	129	130.3	753574	577	59052	505	675105	0.513
GDO-161	130.3	131.5	753575	2893	59053	2320	675106	2.78
GDO-161	153.4	154.4	753597	1338	59075	1100	675107	1.125
GDO-161	154.4	155.9	753598	2728	59076	2250	675108	2.77
GDO-161	156.6	157.7	753600	2881	59079	2790	675109	3.13
GDO-161	157.7	159	753601	2035	59080	2030	675110	2.02
GDO-161	159	160.5	753602	1697	59081	1090	675111	1.435
GDO-161	160.5	162	753603	2223	59082	2320	675112	2.39
GDO-161	162	163.5	753604	1246	59083	1120	675113	1.115
GDO-161	163.5	165.1	753605	1394	59084	1560	675114	1.615

Table 16 - Results from the Independent Sampling by Ginto Consulting Inc. – Holes by Geonova - Chevrier Main

As for the Chevrier Main zone, a sampling program was carried on Chevrier East to confirm the presence of gold mineralization. For such core samples were taken from three holes drilled by Minnova; holes LC-50, LC-13, and LC05. A total of 10 core samples were taken from the storage facility. Samples were sent to the ALS laboratory in Val-d'Or, Quebec for analysis with results shown in Table 17. As seen in this table, the objective of confirming the presence of gold mineralization was partially met. It is therefore recommended that additional core samples be independently sampled to provide a more substantial basis for this comparison.

GOLD GRADE BY MINNOVA COMPARED TO GINTO – CHEVRIER EAST						
MINNOVA 1985				GINTO 2018		
			CORE		CORE	
DDH	FROM	TO	SAMPLE	Au (ppm)	SAMPLE	Au (ppm)
			STD 1.21 g		675115	1.19
LC-50	292.6	293.6	61369	<0.17	675116	0.018
LC-50	299.6	301.1	61374	0.4	675117	0.195
LC-50	320.2	321.7	61388	0.945	675118	0.473
LC-13	80.90	81.90	41912	3.21	675119	0.297
LC-13	83.3	84.3	41914	1.26	675120	1.715
LC-13	90.9	91.7	41920	1.40	675121	0.043
LC-13	91.7	92.7	41921	0.23	675122	0.835
LC-13	99.5	100.6	41927	0.9	675123	0.603
LC-05	89.2	90.0	42498	0.27	675124	0.076
LC-05	90.0	91.5	42499	0.15	675125	N/A

Table 17 - Results from the Independent Sampling by Ginto Consulting Inc. – Holes by Minnova - Chevrier East

13.0 MINERAL PROCESSING AND METALLURGICAL TESTING

No Mineral Processing and Metallurgical Testing were completed by Genesis on the Property.

However, During October 1989 Geonova submitted 40 assay samples for metallurgical testing to the Lakefield Research Laboratories (now SGS Lakefield Research Ltd.). A composite sample, which contained 6.0 g /t Au and 1.5 g /t Ag, was prepared for the test work. The recovery of gold was investigated by testing the following flow sheet configurations:

- Whole ore cyanidation;
- Gravity separation followed by cyanidation, and
- Gravity separation followed by flotation followed by cyanidation of flotation products.

Whole ore cyanidation resulted in 97-98% gold extraction, with the residue assaying 0.2 g/t Au after 48 hours leaching. The test results suggested that the fineness of grind had a significant effect on gold extraction. The optimum grind size averaged at K80 = 60µ the sodium cyanide consumption was in the range of 0.7-0.9 kg/t.

Gravity separation resulted in 23% gold extraction. The gold concentrate contained 1430 g/t gold. Cyanidation of gravity tailings resulted in 94% gold extraction, with overall gold extraction of 95%.

Whole ore sulphate flotation resulted in 97% gold recovery, with the overall gold recovery of 96% after cyanidation of finely reground flotation concentrate and flotation tailings.

Conclusion from Lakefield was that the initial test work conducted on the samples submitted by Geonova, indicated that whole ore cyanidation was the optimum process for recovery of gold, that gravity separation could also be incorporated in the flow sheet for free gold removal but that flotation followed by cyanidation did not improve overall results.

14.0 RESOURCE AND RESERVE ESTIMATIONS

The current estimate of the mineral resources of the Chevrier Property follows the April 2010 mineral resource estimate by Met-Chem requested by Tawsho Mining Inc., the previous owner. In 2017, Genesis undertook a drilling campaign comprised of 40 drill holes, prompting the update of the property's mineral resources.

The resource estimate is comprised of 2 mineralized zones of interest; the Chevrier Main Zone and the Chevrier East Zone. The Chevrier Main Zone is located approximately in the center west of the Property, while the Chevrier East Zone is located at 7.7 km northeast of the Chevrier Main Zone. The mineral resource of the Chevrier East Zone is the first estimate of this deposit.

The geological interpretations and resulting triangulations were performed by Daniel Gaudreault, P. Eng., of Geologica Groupe-Conseil Inc., while the estimation of the mineral resources was performed by Marc Jutras, P. Eng., M.A.Sc., of Ginto Consulting Inc. Messrs. Gaudreault and Jutras are qualified persons as defined under National Instrument 43-101 and independent with respect to Genesis Metals Inc.

A site visit was performed by Mr. Jutras on November 29 and 30, 2018 where the core logging, sample preparation and storage facilities were visited. During this time an independent sampling of core samples and rejects was carried out with the objective to confirm the presence of gold mineralization, previously described in section 12.0.

This mineral resource estimation exercise was primarily undertaken with the Vulcan® software and utilities internally developed in GSLIB-type format. The geological interpretations and triangulations were carried out with the GeotcMine® software. The following sections outline the procedures undertaken to calculate the mineral resource estimate.

14.1 CHEVRIER MAIN ZONE

14.1.1 Drill Hole Data

A copy of the drill hole database for the Chevrier Main deposit was provided by Geologica with a cut-off date of January 7, 2019. It is comprised of 205 holes with 22,819 assays for gold in grams per tonne, representing a total of 56,718.7m of drilling. From the 205 holes, 198 holes

Statistics of Gold Assays Above Cut-Off								
Cut-Off g/t	Total Meters	Increm. Percent	Avg. Au g/t	grd-thk g/t-m	Increm. Percent	Std. Dev.	Coef. of Var.	# of Samples
0.0	56,718.7	100.0	0.12	6,806.2	100.0	2.692	8.929	22,819
0.25	3,188.1	5.6	2.05	6,535.6	96.0	6.977	3.376	3,803
0.5	2,350.7	4.1	2.65	6,255.9	91.9	8.022	3.011	2,816
0.75	1,899.4	3.3	3.14	5,964.1	87.6	8.853	2.811	2,277
1.0	1,534.4	2.7	3.68	5,646.6	83.0	9.770	2.647	1,840
2.5	654.2	1.2	6.47	4,232.7	62.2	14.471	2.226	786
5.0	246.8	0.4	11.44	2,823.4	41.5	22.756	1.965	294

Table 18 - Statistics on Gold Grades of Original Samples – Chevrier Main Deposit

14.1.2 Location, Orientation, and Spacing of Drill Holes

The location of the drill holes is presented in Figure 32 for the Chevrier Main deposit area. Statistics on drill hole spacing are presented in Table 19 for each mineralized zone, outside these zones, and for the entire deposit area. It is observed that the average drill spacing within the mineralized zones at Chevrier Main is 30.1m, with the median drill spacing of 26.1m.

With regard to the orientation of the drill holes, a stereonet of drill hole orientations was calculated for Chevrier Main and shown in Figure 33. This stereonet plot represents the drill hole orientations within the bottom half of a sphere. At Chevrier Main, two principal orientations of drilling are noted: one to the southeast at azimuths ranging from 120° to 170° and dips from -30° to -80°, and the other to the northwest with azimuths ranging from 290° to 330° and dips from -30° to 80°.




Figure 32 - Drill Hole Location Map with Mineralized Zones and Block Model Limits – Chevrier Main

Zone	Average Spacing (m)	Median Spacing (m)
Main A	36.2	32.0
Main A1	29.6	25.2
Main B	64.8	59.1
Main B1	28.5	22.4
Main B2	40.9	41.0
Overburden	40.4	31.3
All Mineralized Zones	30.1	26.1
Out of Mineralized Zones	68.5	43.5
All	61.2	38.8

Table 19 - Drill Hole Spacing Statistics – Chevrier Main Deposit




Figure 33 – Stereonet of drill hole orientations at Chevrier Main Deposit

14.1.3 Geological Modeling

A geological model of the gold mineralization was interpreted by Geologica using the GeoticMine software. Shear zones trending northeast-southwest at Chevrier Main are considered as the main geological control on gold mineralization. For such, five (5) principal shear zones were modeled in the area of interest: Main A, Main A1, Main B, Main B1, and Main B2. Figure 34 displays these different mineralized zones.

The five mineralized orebodies are oriented northeast-southwest at an azimuth of approximately 45° and dipping sub-vertically. The mineralized zones vary in thickness from a few centimeters up to approximately 83m. The topography, as well as the overburden profile, were also provided by Geologica.

The first step of the interpretation consists of marking all drill hole intersections identified as “mineralized, altered and sheared zones with quartz-carbonate veins and/or disseminated, veins and veinlets of sulphides” on a series of cross-sections. At this step, interpretations were made by Geologica with core informations, veins,

mineralization, altered units and average grade envelopes ≥ 0.3 g/t Au in an iterative process. The next step consists of connecting these 2D interpretations in a comprehensive global model using all available information permitting to complete the final 3D wireframes using the GeoticMine Software.

An example of the surface topography profile is presented in Figure 35. The overburden cover is noted to vary in thickness from approximately 1m to 31m, with an average thickness of approximately 4.5m, and is found to be thicker in the southwestern portion of the area of interest. The coding and volume of each zone is presented in Table 20.

Code	Description	Volume (m ³)
1	Main A	3,269,984.6
2	Main A1	8,148,759.7
3	Main B	1,289,703.0
4	Main B1	5,525,838.1
5	Main B2	2,593,899.7
6	Overburden	6,849,961.8

Table 20 - Coding of the Geological Zones at Chevrier Main




Figure 34 - Geological Model of the Chevrier Main Deposit – Perspective View Looking to the Northeast




Figure 35 - Topographic surface plan of the Chevrier Main Deposit - Plan View

14.1.4 Compositing

Statistics were computed on the original sample lengths and it was noted that the most common sample length is 1.0m within the mineralized zones with 16% of the data, and 1.5m outside the mineralized zones with 17% of the data. Since the mineralized zones are the units of interest in this study, it was decided to composite samples to regular 1.0m lengths. This would also provide an adequate ratio of sample length to block height of (1:5).

The compositing process consisted of starting the compositing at the collar of each hole with continuous composite intervals. At the contact with a different unit from the geology model, a last interval was composited, while a new set of regular composite lengths is generated within the next unit. Within the mineralized zones at Chevrier Main, a total of 8,821 composites were generated from 163 holes. A summary of statistics on the composites within mineralized zones at Chevrier Main is presented in Table 21

Zones	# of Holes	%	# of Composites	%	# of Meters	%	Average Grade Au g/t
Main A	67	41.1	1,059	12.0	1,028.0	11.9	0.66
Main A1	110	67.5	3,440	39.0	3,383.2	39.2	0.69
Main B	13	8.0	129	1.5	122.2	1.4	0.58
Main B1	123	75.5	3,199	36.3	3,137.8	36.4	0.76

Zones	# of Holes	%	# of Composites	%	# of Meters	%	Average Grade Au g/t
Main B2	77	47.2	994	11.3	952.5	11.0	0.64
All	163	100.0	8,821	100.0	8,623.7	100.0	0.71

Table 21 - Drill Hole Composites Summary within Mineralized Zones at Chevrier Main

14.1.5 Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)

A set of various statistical applications was utilized to provide a better understanding of the gold grade populations within the various mineralized zones.

14.1.4.1 Univariate Statistics

Basic statistics were performed on the gold grades of the Chevrier Main composites. Histograms and probability plots indicated that the gold grade distributions resemble positively skewed lognormal populations. Basic statistics results are presented as boxplots for each zone in Figure 36. As seen in this figure, the gold grade populations are observed to be quite heterogeneous in general, with high coefficients of variation (greater than 3.0). This is most likely attributable to few high gold grade values found within the lower gold grade populations.




Figure 36 - basic Statistics of Gold - Chevrier Main

14.1.4.2 Capping of High-Grade Outliers

It is common practice to statistically examine the higher grades within a population and to trim them to a lower grade value based on the results from specific statistical utilities. This procedure is performed on high-grade values that are considered outliers and that cannot be related to any geologic feature. In the case at Chevrier Main, the higher gold grades were examined with three different tools: the probability plot, decile analysis, and cutting statistics. The usage of various investigating methods allows for a selection of the capping threshold in a more objective and justified manner. For the probability plot method, the capping value is chosen at the location where higher grades depart from the main distribution. For the decile analysis, the capping value is chosen as the maximum grade of the decile containing less than an average of 10% of metal. For the cutting statistics, the selection of the capping value is identified at the cut-off grade where there is no correlation between the grades above this cut-off. The resulting compilation of the capping thresholds is listed in Table 22. One of the objectives of the capping strategy is to have less than 10% of the metal affected by the capping process. This was achieved in three of the five mineralized units, however for the other two mineralized units and the overburden, it was noted that the capping had a greater effect on the metal content, indicating that few higher grade outliers were quite different than the population in general by carrying a larger proportion of the metal content.

Zones	Au Capping Threshold g/t	% Metal Affected	Number of Comps Capped
Main A	20.0	42	2
Main A1	25.0	2	3
Main B	6.0	2	1
Main B1	21.0	4	2
Main B2	10.0	20	2
Overburden	4.0	20	5

Table 22 - List of Capping Thresholds of Higher Gold Grade Outliers at Chevrier Main

Basic statistics were re-computed with the gold grades capped to the thresholds listed in Table 22. Boxplots of Figure 37 display the basic statistics resulting from the capping of the higher gold grade outliers. It can be observed from those figures that the coefficients of variation are in general below 3.0 or only slightly above for the different mineralized domains. The effect of the capping of higher gold grade outliers has reduced the overall mean gold grade by 8.2% at Chevrier Main.

Because of the generally low coefficients of variation observed for the gold grade populations at Chevrier Main, it was concluded that there is no need to treat the higher grade composites differently than the lower grade composites during the estimation

process. Ordinary kriging is thus viewed as a well suited estimation technique in this case.




Figure 37 - Basic Statistics of Capped Gold Grades – Chevrier Main

14.1.4.3 Declustering

In general, there is a tendency to drill more holes in higher grade areas than in lower grade areas when delimiting an orebody. As a result, the higher grade portion of a deposit will be overly represented and this would translate into a bias towards the higher grades when calculating statistical parameters of the population. Thus, a declustering method is utilized to generate a more representative set of statistical results within the zone of interest. In this case, a polygonal declustering technique was applied to the composites of the mineralized zones at Chevrier Main. This approach consists of assigning the volume of a polygon, defined by the halfway distance between a sample and its surrounding neighbours, as a weight for each sample within the mineralized zone. Therefore, a sample that is isolated will have a larger weight than a sample located in a densely sampled area.

Comparisons of average gold capped and declustered grades with the capped and un-declustered gold averages show some clustering at Chevrier Main, with a reduction of 35.4% of the mean gold grade.

The average grade from the declustered statistics provides an excellent comparison with the average grade of the interpolated blocks, as a way to assess any overall bias of the estimates.

14.1.6 Variography

A variographic analysis was carried out on the gold grade composites within the different mineralized units and overburden at Chevrier Main. The objective of this analysis was to spatially establish the preferred directions of gold grade continuity. In turn, the variograms modeled along those directions would be later utilized to select and weigh the composites during the block grade interpolation process. For this exercise, all experimental variograms were of the type relative lag pairwise, which is considered robust for the assessment of gold grade continuity.

Variogram maps were first calculated to examine general gold grade continuities in the XY, XZ, and YZ planes. The next step undertaken was to compute omni-directional variograms and down-hole variograms. The omni-directional variograms are calculated without any directional restrictions and provide a good assessment of the sill of the variogram. As for the down-hole variogram, it is calculated with the composites of each hole along the trace of the hole. The objective of these calculations is to provide information about the short scale structure of the variogram, as the composites are more closely spaced down the hole. Thus, the modeling of the nugget effect is usually better derived from the down-hole variograms.

Directional variograms were then computed to identify more specifically the three main directions of continuity. A first set of variograms were produced in the horizontal plane at increments of 10 degrees. In the same way a second set of variograms were computed at 10° increments in the vertical plane of the horizontal direction of continuity (plunge direction). A final set of variograms at 10° increments were calculated in the vertical plane perpendicular to the horizontal direction of continuity (dip direction). The final variograms were then modeled with a 2-structure spherical variogram, and resulting parameters presented in Table 23. It should be noted that no conclusive variograms were obtained for the Main B zone due to the scarce number of composites available.

The directions of gold grade continuity are in general agreement with the orientation of the mineralized zones, with best directions of continuity trending along strike and dip. The ranges of gold grade continuity along the principal direction (strike) vary from 40m to 56m, from 48m to 53m down dip, and from 16m to 32m across strike and dip. The modeled variograms have relatively low nugget effects with values varying from 10% to 16% of the sill.

The experimental variograms are considered of satisfactory quality for the major units at Chevrier Main.

Parameters	1– Main A			2- Main A1		
	Principal	Minor	Vertical	Principal	Minor	Vertical
Azimuth*	45°	135°	45°	50°	140°	50°
Dip**	0°	0°	-90°	0°	0°	-90°
Nugget Effect C ₀	0.235			0.272		
1 st Structure C ₁	1.318			1.600		
2 nd Structure C ₂	0.881			0.637		
1 st Range A ₁	26.6m	8.2m	35.3m	9.2m	9.2m	9.2m
2 nd Range A ₂	45.0m	15.8m	52.6m	40.4m	20.0m	47.9m
Parameters	4– Main B1			5– Main B2		
	Principal	Minor	Vertical	Principal	Minor	Vertical
Azimuth*	45°	135°	45°	45°	135°	45°
Dip**	0°	0°	-90°	0°	0°	-90°
Nugget Effect C ₀	0.315			0.365		
1 st Structure C ₁	1.386			1.019		
2 nd Structure C ₂	0.745			0.854		
1 st Range A ₁	24.3m	9.2m	15.7m	22.1m	8.1m	18.9m
2 nd Range A ₂	44.7m	25.4m	53.4m	56.4m	31.8m	47.9m

*positive clockwise from north

**negative below horizontal

Table 23 - Modeled Variogram Parameters for Gold Composites at Chevrier Main

14.1.7 Gold Grade Estimation

The estimation of gold grades at Chevrier Main was carried out with the ordinary kriging technique for the mineralized zones with conclusive variograms: Main A, Main A1, Main B1, and Main B2. Gold grades from the Main B zone and the overburden were estimated with the inverse squared technique. The block model was rotated with the X-axis of the block model oriented at a 43° azimuth. The block grid definition is presented in Table 24 for the Chevrier Main deposit area. A plan view of the block model limits is also shown in Figure 38.

Coordinates	Origin (m)	Rotation (X axis azimuth)	Distance (m)	Block Size (m)	Number of Blocks
Easting (X)	534,650.0	43°	1,880.0	5.0	376
Northing (Y)	5,496,450.0		800.0	2.5	320
Elevation(Z)	-355.0		755.0	5.0	151
Number of Blocks		18,168,320			

Table 24 - Block Grid Definition – Chevrier Main




Figure 38 - Plan View of Block Model Limits at Chevrier Main

A block size of 5m (X) x 2.5m (Y) x 5m (Z) was selected to better reflect the orebody's geometrical configuration and to provide flexibility for both open pit and underground scenarios.

The estimation strategy and parameters were tailored to account for the various geometrical, geological, and geostatistical characteristics previously identified. The database of 1.0m capped gold grade composites was utilized as input for the grade interpolation process at Chevrier Main. The size and orientation of the search ellipsoid for the estimation process of each mineralized zone was based on the variogram parameters modeled for gold. A minimum of 2 samples and maximum of 12 samples were selected for the block grade calculations. Hard boundaries were assigned in the estimation of each zone. No other restrictions, such as a minimum number of informed octants, a minimum number of holes, a maximum number of samples per hole, etc., were applied to the estimation process. A summary of the estimation parameters is presented in Table 25.

Estimation Parameters – Gold Grade – Chevrier Main									
Rock Code	Estimation method	minimum # of samples	maximum # of samples	search ellipsoid – long axis - azimuth/dip	search ellipsoid – long axis - size	search ellipsoid – short axis - azimuth/dip	search ellipsoid – short axis - size	search ellipsoid – vertical axis - azimuth/dip	search ellipsoid – vertical axis - size
1	OK	2	12	45°/0°	45.0m	135°/0°	16.0m	45°/-90°	53.0m
2	OK	2	12	50°/0°	40.0m	140°/0°	20.0m	50°/-90°	48.0m
3	ID ²	2	12	45°/0°	45.0m	135°/0°	25.0m	45°/-90°	53.0m
4	OK	2	12	45°/0°	45.0m	135°/0°	25.0m	45°/-90°	53.0m
5	OK	2	12	45°/0°	56.0m	135°/0°	32.0m	45°/-90°	48.0m
6	ID ²	2	12	45°/0°	45.0m	135°/0°	25.0m	45°/-90°	25.0m
other	ID ²	2	12	45°/0°	40.0m	135°/0°	2.5m	45°/-90°	40.0m

Table 25 - Estimation Parameters for Gold – Chevrier Main

The grade estimation process consisted of a three pass approach with the parameters of the first pass as presented in Table 25. The estimation parameters of the second and third passes are the same with the exception of an enlarged search ellipsoid by 1.5 times and 3 times the dimensions from the first pass, respectively. In this case, priority was given to estimates from the first pass, followed by estimates from the second pass for un-estimated blocks from the first pass, and finally the estimates of the third pass for un-estimated blocks from the first and second passes. Only blocks within the modeled mineralized zones were estimated in this manner. As a few, more isolated mineralized intercepts are found in the vicinity of the modeled mineralized zones, a single estimation pass was selected to calculate gold grade estimates in these areas.

14.1.8 Validation of Grade Estimates

Validation tests were carried out on the estimates to examine the possible presence of a bias and the quality of the grade interpolation process.

14.1.8.1 Visual Inspection

A visual inspection of the block estimates with the drill hole grades on plans and cross-sections was performed as a first check of the estimates. Observations from stepping through the estimates along the different planes indicated that there was overall a good agreement between the drill hole grades and the estimates. The orientations of the estimated grades were also according to the projection angles defined by the search ellipsoid. Examples of cross-section, longitudinal section, and level plan for gold grade estimates of the different mineralized zones are presented in Figures 39 to 41.




Figure 39 - Gold Block Grade Estimates and Drill Hole Grades at Chevrier Main – Northwest-Southeast Section – Looking Northeast – Main A, A1, B1, and B2 Zones (from left to right)




Figure 40 - Gold Block Grade Estimates and Drill Hole Grades at Chevrier Main – Northeast-Southwest Longitudinal Section – Looking Northwest – Main A1 Zone




Figure 41 - Gold Block Grade Estimates and Drill Hole Grades at Chevrier Main – Level Plan at 250.0 El – Main A, A1, B, B1, and B2 Zones

14.1.8.2 Global Bias Test

The comparison of the average gold grades from the declustered composites and the estimated block grades examines the possibility of a global bias of the estimates. As a guideline, a difference between the average gold grades of more than $\pm 10\%$ would indicate a significant over- or under-estimation of the block grades and the possible presence of a bias. It would be a sign of difficulties encountered in the estimation

process and would require further investigation. A polygonal declustered method with a bounding solid corresponding to the estimated volume was utilized for this exercise.

Results of the average gold grade comparison are presented in Table 26 for all mineralized zones at Chevrier Main.

Statistics	Declustered Composites	Block Estimates
Average Gold Grade g/t	0.366	0.357
Difference	-2.6%	

Table 26 - Average Gold Grade Comparison – Polygonal-Declustered Composites with Block Estimates – Chevrier Main

As seen in Table 26, the average gold grades between the declustered composites and the block estimates are within the limits of acceptability. It can thus be concluded that no significant global bias is present in the gold grade estimates.

14.1.8.3 Local Bias Test

A comparison of the grade from composites within a block with the estimated grade of that block provides an assessment of the estimation process close to measured data. Pairing of these grades on a scatterplot gives a statistical valuation of the estimates. It is anticipated that the estimated block grades should be similar to the composited grades within the block, however without being of exactly the same value. Thus, a high correlation coefficient will indicate satisfactory results in the interpolation process, while a medium to low correlation coefficient will be indicative of larger differences in the estimates and would suggest a further review of the interpolation process. Results from the pairing of composited and estimated grades within blocks pierced by a drill hole are presented in Table 27 for all mineralized zones at Chevrier Main.

Data	Average Gold Grade g/t	Correlation Coefficient
Composites	0.534	0.757
Block Estimates	0.535	
Difference	0.2%	

Table 27 - Gold Grade Comparison for Blocks Pierced by a Drill Hole – Paired Composite Grades with Block Grade Estimates – Chevrier Main

As seen in Table 27, the block grade estimates are very similar to the composite grades within blocks pierced by a drill hole, with a high correlation coefficient, indicating satisfactory results from the estimation process.

14.1.8.4 Grade Profile Reproducibility

The comparison of the grade profiles of the declustered composites with that of the estimates allows for a visual verification of an over- or under-estimation of the block estimates at the global and local scales. A qualitative assessment of the smoothing/variability of the estimates can also be observed from the plots. The output consists of three graphs displaying the average grade according to each of the coordinate axes (east, north, elevation). The ideal result is a grade profile from the estimates that follows that of the declustered composites along the three coordinate axes, in a way that the estimates have lower high-grade peaks than the composites, and higher low-grade peaks than the composites. A smoother grade profile for the estimates, from low to high grade areas, is also anticipated in order to reflect that these grades represent larger volumes than the composites.

Gold grade profiles are presented in Figure 42 for the mineralized zones at Chevrier Main.




Figure 42 - Gold Grade Profiles of Declustered Composites and Block Estimates – Chevrier Main

From the plots of Figure 42, it can be seen that the grade profiles of the declustered composites are well reproduced by those of the estimates at Chevrier Main.

14.1.9 Resource Classification

The mineral resource was classified as indicated and inferred, based on the variogram ranges of the second structures. The average distance of samples from the block center was utilized as the first pass classification criterion. Zones Main A, Main A1, Main B1 and Main B2 had indicated and inferred resources, while all other estimates were classified as inferred only. Following the classification of indicated resources based on the variograms' second ranges, a visual examination of the indicated resources was carried out for each zone. For such, distal areas with indicated resources were returned to the inferred category, while proximal areas of indicated resources were regrouped. The classification distances for Chevrier Main are provided in Table 28.

Zone	Indicated	Inferred
Main A	≤ 38.0m	>38.0m
Main A1	≤ 36.0m	>36.0m
Main B	n/a	all
Main B1	≤ 41.0m	>41.0m
Main B2	≤ 45.0m	>45.0m
overburden	n/a	all
other	n/a	all

Table 28 - Classification Distances – Chevrier Main

It should be noted that there are no mineral resources assigned to the measured category.

14.1.9.1 Topographic Surface

The topographic surface was utilized to edit the block model in a way that all blocks above this surface were discounted from the resource calculations.

14.1.9.2 Overburden

The overburden model was utilized in the calculation of the mineral resources by assigning a lower specific gravity value to the blocks within this unit.

14.1.9.3 Specific Gravity

A specific gravity (SG) value was utilized for each mineralized zones. Based on statistics on SG measurements, the average SG value was assigned to the block model as presented in Table 29. The proportion of the blocks inside the mineralized zones was utilized in the tonnage calculation.

Zone	Specific Gravity
Main A	2.98
Main A1	2.98
Main B	2.98
Main B1	2.98
Main B2	2.98
overburden	2.00
other	2.98

Table 29 - Specific Gravity – Chevrier Main

14.1.9.4 Mineral Resource Constraint

With the objective to satisfy the NI 43-101 requirement of reporting a mineral resource that provides “reasonable prospects for economic extraction”, a pit shell was optimized to constrain the close to surface portion of the resources, as well as the application of an elevated cut-off grade to represent the underground portion of the resource. A summary of the resource pit and underground constraining parameters is shown in Table 30. The constraining pit shell optimized with the Lerchs-Grossman algorithm is presented in Figure 43 for Chevrier Main Zone.

Parameters*	Resource Pit	Underground
Gold Price	\$1,400/oz	\$1,400/oz
Mining Cost	\$2.20/t	\$7.50/t
Processing Cost	\$12.00/t	\$12.00/t
G&A Cost	\$2.50/t	\$2.50/t
Mill Recovery	95%	95%
Pit Slopes	50°	

*All dollar amounts in USD

Table 30 - Mineral Resource Constraining Parameters – Chevrier Main




Figure 43 - Optimized Constraining Pit Shell at Chevrier Main – Plan View

The estimated indicated and inferred mineral resources for the Chevrier Main deposit are presented in Tables 31 and 32, respectively. The pit constrained portion of the Chevrier Main indicated mineral resource at a 0.3 g/t gold cut-off is 8.903 M tonnes at an average gold grade of 1.13 g/t, for a total of 0.323 M oz of gold. The underground portion of the Chevrier Main indicated mineral resource at a 0.95 g/t gold cut-off is 1.890 M tonnes at an average gold grade of 1.64 g/t, for a total of 0.100 M oz of gold. Thus, the combined pit constrained and underground portions of the Chevrier Main indicated mineral resources are 10.793 M tonnes at an average gold grade of 1.22 g/t, for a total of 0.423 M oz of gold. The pit constrained portion of the Chevrier Main inferred mineral resources at a 0.3 g/t gold cut-off is 1.684 M tonnes at an average gold grade of 1.12 g/t, for a total of 0.061 M oz of gold. The underground portion of the Chevrier Main inferred mineral resources at a 0.95 g/t gold cut-off is 4.622 M tonnes at an average gold grade of 1.33 g/t, for a total of 0.198 M oz of gold. Thus, the combined pit constrained and underground portions of the Chevrier Main inferred mineral resources are 6.307 M tonnes at an average gold grade of 1.27 g/t, for a total of 0.258 M oz of gold.

It should be noted that mineral resources are not mineral reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability. There is no certainty that all or any part of the mineral resources estimated will be converted into mineral reserves. The estimate of mineral

resources may be materially affected by environmental, permitting, legal, title, taxation, socio-political, marketing, or other relevant issues.

The CIM definitions were followed for the classification of indicated and inferred mineral resources. The quantity and grade of reported inferred mineral resources in this estimation are uncertain in nature and there has been insufficient exploration to define these inferred mineral resources as an indicated mineral resource and it is uncertain if further exploration will result in upgrading them to an indicated mineral resource category.

	Cut-Off Grade Au g/t	Tonnage tonnes	Average Au Grade g/t	Contained Au ounces
Pit Constrained	0.3	8,903,000	1.13	323,000
	0.4	7,684,000	1.26	311,000
	0.5	6,602,000	1.39	295,000
Underground	0.9	2,133,000	1.56	107,000
	0.95	1,890,000	1.64	100,000
	1.0	1,682,000	1.72	93,000
Pit Constrained + Underground	0.3 PC, 0.9 UG	11,036,000	1.21	430,000
	0.3 PC, 0.95 UG	10,793,000	1.22	423,000
	0.3 PC, 1.0 UG	10,585,000	1.22	416,000

Tonnage and contained gold have been rounded to the nearest thousand

Table 31 - Indicated Mineral Resources – Chevrier Main Zone
Effective February 4, 2019

	Cut-Off Grade Au g/t	Tonnage tonnes	Average Au Grade g/t	Contained Au ounces
Pit Constrained	0.3	1,684,000	1.12	61,000
	0.4	1,452,000	1.25	58,000
	0.5	1,294,000	1.35	56,000
Underground	0.9	4,911,000	1.31	207,000
	0.95	4,622,000	1.33	198,000
	1.0	4,054,000	1.38	180,000
Pit Constrained + Underground	0.3 PC, 0.9 UG	6,596,000	1.26	268,000
	0.3 PC, 0.95 UG	6,307,000	1.27	258,000
	0.3 PC, 1.0 UG	5,739,000	1.30	241,000

Tonnage and contained gold have been rounded to the nearest thousand

Table 32 - Inferred Mineral Resources – Chevrier Main Zone
Effective February 4, 2019

14.1.10 Discussion, Recommendations, Conclusion

The Chevrier Main Zone is characterized by higher gold grades from few samples, carrying a large portion of the metal content. An independent sampling program carried out by Ginto Consulting Inc. considering the mineralized intercepts has confirmed the presence of gold mineralization from historical data and more recent drill holes by Genesis Metals Corp.

The amount of drill holes and their spacing are believed to provide sufficient information for the estimation of a mineral resource. The amount of assay data allowed for conclusive variograms in the assessment of gold grade continuity within each of the major mineralized domains.

It is recommended that additional specific gravity measurements be taken at Chevrier Main. Currently a single SG value of 2.98 was assigned to all mineralized domains and surrounding host rock. This value is based on a 2016 study which looked at 40 SG measurements within the project area. The SG of 2.98 represents the average from 19 measurements in the gabbro unit.

The lower costs and cut-off grade assigned to the underground portion of the mineral resource stems from a technical report by Agnico-Eagle on the Goldex mine, which is believed to operate in a similar geologic environment. In this report it is stated that the economical cut-off grade of the operation is 1.0 g/t Au.

It should be mention that a lake is present on the southeastern portion of the deposit and that the optimization of the resource pit has not taken into account this physiographic feature.

In terms of exploration potential, it was noticed that drill hole GM-17-15, located to the northwest of the Main A zone, intercepted a large zone of higher gold grades in an area away from the modeled mineralized zones. For such, the region in the vicinity of this hole represents a good exploration target. Another area of interest is located to the southwest extension of the mineralized zones, where drill holes GHA-146 and GHA-148 have intersected gold mineralization. Thus the area along strike to the southwest would warrant further exploration. In addition, it was observed that drill hole T10-08 intercepted an interval with a gold grade of over 250 g/t. It would be of interest to confirm this intercept with additional drilling in this area. It should be mentioned that in general the orebodies are opened along strike and down dip.

The high coefficients of variation observed in some of the mineralized units, denoting heterogeneous gold grade populations, were found to be caused by few high grade outliers, which once reduced to capping thresholds, showed more homogeneous distributions. The satisfactory results from the validation tests have confirm the adequacy of the estimation parameters and resulting estimated gold grades. Therefore, it is believed that the mineral resources of the Chevrier Main Zone are representative of the gold mineralization with consideration to the available information and current geologic understanding.

14.2 CHEVRIER EAST ZONE

14.2.1 Drill Hole Data

A copy of the drill hole database for the Chevrier East Zone was provided by Geologica with a cut-off date of January 23, 2019. It is comprised of 167 holes with 4,464 assays for gold in grams per tonne, representing a total of 8,721.6m of drilling. From the 167 holes, 34 holes are diamond drill holes drilled from surface, and 133 are surface channels represented as drill holes.

Statistics on the Chevrier East Zone drill hole database are presented in Figure 44. As seen in this figure, the average drill hole depth is 52.2m, with depths varying from 0.4m to 611.1m. Sample lengths are observed to be 1.22m on average, with samples lengths varying from 0.04m to 2.23m. The most common sampling length is 1.5m within the mineralized zones with 25% of the data, and 1.5m overall with 45% of the data.

Gold grade statistics on the original samples for the Chevrier East Zone are presented in Table 33 at various cut-off grades. Similarly to the Chevrier Main Zone, it can be seen from this table that the meters display a sharp decrease with elevated cut-off grades,

while the accumulation (grade x thickness) of gold has a more consistently decreasing pattern with elevated cut-off grades. This observation seems to indicate higher grades from fewer samples. This can also be noticed in the fact that the average grades are much higher than the cut-off grades.

**Genesis Metals Corp. - Exploratory Data Analysis
Drill Hole Data Statistics**

Mon Mar 04 12:37:11 2019

Chevrier East - Quebec - All Holes

Collar Data	Number of Data	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Minimum	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Maximum	Number of 0.0 values	Number of < 0.0 values
Collar Data											
Easting (X)	167	542390.0	86.046	0.0	541998.0	542358.0	542366.0	542441.0	542630.0	--	--
Northing (Y)	167	501626.0	63.725	0.0	501366.0	501623.0	501628.0	501648.0	501697.0	--	--
Elevation (Z)	167	314.863	1.811	0.006	368.0	375.0	375.0	375.0	382.0	--	--
Hole Depth	167	92.225	118.157	2.292	0.4	1.0	1.5	3.03	611.12	--	--
Azimuth	167	14.701	71.296	0.954	0.0	10.75	46.0	136.75	358.0	--	--
Dip	167	-10.696	21.456	-2.006	-66.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	--	--
Overburden	167	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	--	--
Survey Data											
Azimuth	142	269.947	171.777	0.816	0.0	5.0	348.0	355.0	359.0	--	--
Dip	142	-44.676	10.366	-0.232	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	--	--
Assay Data											
Interval Length (from-to)	4464	1.221	0.371	0.304	0.04	1.0	1.5	1.5	2.23	0	0
AU_GPT	4464	0.263	5.963	22.64	0.0	0.002	0.005	0.086	394.42	5	637

Figure 44 - Statistics on the Chevrier East Drill Hole Database

Statistics of Gold Assays Above Cut-Off								
Cut-Off g/t	Total Meters	Increm. Percent	Avg. Au g/t	grd-thk g/t-m	Increm. Percent	Std. Dev.	Coef. of Var.	# of Samples
0.0	8,721.6	100.0	0.14	1,221.0	100.0	3.876	24.551	4,464
0.25	340.2	3.9	3.18	1,081.8	88.6	17.610	5.913	284
0.5	250.8	2.9	4.19	1,050.9	86.1	20.167	5.283	215
0.75	194.5	2.2	5.23	1,017.2	83.3	22.604	4.846	170
1.0	151.5	1.7	6.47	980.2	80.3	25.643	4.419	131
2.5	61.0	0.7	13.70	835.7	68.4	40.214	3.244	51
5.0	25.4	0.3	28.05	712.5	58.4	59.189	2.446	22

Table 33 - Statistics on Gold Grades of Original Samples – Chevrier East Zone

14.2.2 Location, Orientation, and Spacing of Drill Holes

The location of the drill holes is presented in Figure 45 for the Chevrier East Zone area. Statistics on drill hole spacing are presented in Table 34 for each area of interest, outside these areas, and for the entire deposit area. It is observed that the average drill spacing within the mineralized zones at Chevrier East is 41.1m, with the median drill spacing of 27.4m.

With regard to the orientation of the drill holes, a stereonet of drill hole orientations was calculated for Chevrier East and shown in Figure 46. This stereonet plot represents the drill hole orientations within the bottom half of a sphere. At Chevrier East, only one principal orientation of drilling is observed, with holes drilled to the north at azimuths ranging from 340° to 15° and dips from -30° to -70°.




Figure 45 - Drill Hole Location Map with Mineralized Zones and Block Model Limits – Chevrier East Zone

Zone	Average Spacing (m)	Median Spacing (m)
Main	64.3	61.6
Main A	24.8	18.5
Main A1	34.7	26.2
Main A2	2.0	2.2

Zone	Average Spacing (m)	Median Spacing (m)
Main B	66.8	52.8
Main C	127.4	129.6
Overburden	64.5	51.2
All Mineralized Zones	41.1	27.4
Out of Mineralized Zones	69.2	68.1
All	65.6	63.4

Table 34 - Drill Hole Spacing Statistics – Chevrier East Zone




Figure 46 - Stereonet of drill hole orientations at Chevrier East Zone

14.2.3 Geological Modeling

A geological model of the gold mineralization was developed by Geologica with the GeotcMine software. Shear zones trending east-west at Chevrier East are considered as the main geological control on gold mineralization. For such, six principal shear zones were modeled in the area of interest: Main, Main A, Main A1, Main A2, Main B, and Main C. The Main A2 zone is built from surface channel samples only Figure 47 displays these different mineralized zones.

The six mineralized orebodies are trending east-west at azimuths ranging from 85° to 98° and dipping to the south at angles ranging from -59° to -68°. The mineralized zones vary in thickness from a few centimeters up to approximately 34m. A surface for the topography as well as a wireframe for the overburden was also provided by Geologica.

The first step of the interpretation consists of marking all drill holes intersections identified as “mineralized, altered and sheared zones with veins and/or sulphides” on a series of cross-sections. At this step, interpretations were made by Geologica with core informations considering veins, mineralization, altered units and average grade envelopes ≥ 0.3 g/t Au in an iterative process. The next step consists of connecting these 2D interpretations in a comprehensive global model using all available information to complete the final 3D wireframes with the help of GeotcMine Software.

An example of the topographic surface is presented in Figure 48. The overburden cover is noted to vary in thickness from approximately 0.9m to 17m, with an average thickness of approximately 5.5m, and is found to be thicker in the northern portion of the area of interest. The coding and volume of each zone is presented in Table 35.

Code	Description	Volume (m ³)
1	Main	491,007.7
2	Main A	949,281.8
3	Main A1	466,421.2
4	Main A2	1,199.7
5	Main B	472,787.4
6	Main C	1,943,292.8
7	Overburden	3,277,918.0

Table 35 - Coding of the Geologic Zones at Chevrier East




Figure 47 - Geologic Model of the Chevrier East Zone – Perspective View Looking to the East-Southeast




Figure 48 - Topographic surface plan of the Chevrier East Zone - Plan View

14.2.4 Compositing

Statistics were computed on the original sample lengths and it was noted that the most common sample length is 1.5m within the mineralized zones with 25% of the data, and 1.5m overall with 45% of the data. It was therefore decided to composite samples to regular 1.5m lengths. This would also provide an adequate ratio of sample length to block height of (1:3.3).

The compositing process consisted of starting the compositing at the collar of each hole with continuous composite intervals. At the contact with a different unit from the geology model, a last interval was composited, while a new set of regular composite lengths is generated within the next unit. Within the mineralized zones at Chevrier East, a total of 643 composites were generated from 34 holes and 118 channels (as holes). A summary of statistics on the composites within mineralized zones at Chevrier East is presented in Table 36.

Zones	# of Holes	%	# of Composites	%	# of Meters	%	Average Au Grade g/t
Main	25	16.4	62	9.6	69.8	8.7	0.32
Main A	102	67.1	354	55.1	458.6	57.1	0.76
Main A1	53	34.9	109	17.0	122.8	15.3	5.29
Main A2	13	8.6	17	2.6	14.2	1.8	1.40
Main B	9	5.9	44	6.8	58.2	7.2	0.29
Main C	5	3.3	57	8.9	79.7	9.9	0.33
All	152	100.0	643	100.0	803.3	100.0	1.35

Table 36 - Drill Hole Composites Summary within Mineralized Zones at Chevrier East

14.2.5 Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)

A set of various statistical applications was utilized to provide a better understanding of the gold grade populations within the various mineralized zones.

14.2.5.1 Univariate Statistics

Basic statistics were performed on the gold grades of the Chevrier East composites. Histograms and probability plots indicated that the gold grade distributions resemble positively skewed lognormal populations. Basic statistics results are presented as boxplots for each zone in Figure 49. As seen in this figure, the gold grade populations are observed to be homogeneous in general, with lower coefficients of variation (lower than 3.0). The Main A1 zone is the only unit presenting a high correlation coefficient, which is most likely attributable to few high gold grade values found within the lower gold grade populations.




Figure 49 - Basic Statistics of Gold - Chevrier East Zone

14.2.5.2 Capping of High-Grade Outliers

It is common practice to statistically examine the higher grades within a population and to trim them to a lower grade value based on the results from specific statistical utilities. This procedure is performed on high-grade values that are considered outliers and that cannot be related to any geologic feature. In the case at Chevrier East, the higher gold grades were examined with three different tools: the probability plot, decile analysis, and cutting statistics. The usage of various investigating methods allows for a selection of the capping threshold in a more objective and justified manner. For the probability plot method, the capping value is chosen at the location where higher grades depart from the main distribution. For the decile analysis, the capping value is chosen as the maximum grade of the decile containing less than an average of 10% of metal. For the cutting statistics, the selection of the capping value is identified at the cut-off grade where there is no correlation between the grades above this cut-off. The resulting compilation of the capping thresholds is listed in Table 37. One of the objectives of the capping strategy is to have less than 10% of the metal affected by the capping process. This was achieved in four of the six mineralized units, however for the other two mineralized units, it was noted that the capping had a greater effect on the metal content, indicating that few higher grade outliers were quite different than the population in general by carrying a larger proportion of the metal content. This was especially evident in the Main A1 zone where 2 composites carried most of the population's metal content.

Zones	Au Capping Threshold g/t	% Metal Affected	Number of Comps Capped
Main	2.0	3	1
Main A	-	0	0
Main A1	10.0	75	2
Main A2	-	0	0
Main B	2.0	11	3
Main C	2.5	9	3
Overburden	-	0	0

Table 37 - List of Capping Thresholds of Higher Gold Grade Outliers at Chevrier East

Basic statistics were re-computed with the gold grades capped to the thresholds listed in Table 37. Boxplots of Figure 50 display the basic statistics resulting from the capping of the higher gold grade outliers. It can be observed from this Figure that the coefficients of variation are in general below 3.0 or only slightly above for the different mineralized domains. The effect of the capping of higher gold grade outliers has reduced the overall mean gold grade by 48.6% at Chevrier East. This greater reduction is most likely caused by the 2 high-grade samples from the Main A1 zone, which carries a large portion of the metal content. These samples are from the surface channel CH-14.

Because of the generally low coefficients of variation observed for the gold grade populations at Chevrier East, it was concluded that there is no need to treat the higher grade composites differently than the lower grade composites during the estimation process. Ordinary kriging is thus viewed as a well suited estimation technique in this case.




Figure 50 - Basic Statistics of Capped Gold Grades – Chevrier East Zone

14.2.5.3 Declustering

In general, there is a tendency to drill more holes in higher grade areas than in lower grade areas when delimiting an orebody. As a result, the higher grade portion of a deposit will be overly represented and this would translate into a bias towards the higher grades when calculating statistical parameters of the population. Thus, a declustering method is utilized to generate a more representative set of statistical results within the zone of interest. In this case, a polygonal declustering technique was applied to the composites of the mineralized zones at Chevrier East. This approach consists of assigning the volume of a polygon, defined by the halfway distance between a sample and its surrounding neighbours, as a weight for each sample within the mineralized zone. Therefore, a sample that is isolated will have a larger weight than a sample located in a densely sampled area.

Comparisons of average gold capped and declustered grades with the capped and undeclustered gold averages show some clustering at Chevrier East, with a reduction of 67.1% of the mean gold grade, indicating a higher level of clustering.

The average grade from the declustered statistics provides an excellent comparison with the average grade of the interpolated blocks, as a way to assess any overall bias of the estimates.

14.2.6 Variography

A variographic analysis was carried out on the gold grade composites within the different mineralized units and overburden at Chevrier East. However, due to few numbers of composites within each zone, no conclusive variograms could be modeled. Therefore, a variographic analysis was performed on composites within all grouped mineralized zones. The objective of this analysis was to spatially establish the preferred directions of gold grade continuity. In turn, the variograms modeled along those directions would be later utilized to establish the orientation and dimensions of the search ellipsoids during the block grade interpolation process. For this exercise, all experimental variograms were of the type relative lag pairwise, which is considered robust for the assessment of gold grade continuity.

Variogram maps were first calculated to examine general gold grade continuities in the XY, XZ, and YZ planes. The next step undertaken was to compute omni-directional variograms and down-hole variograms. The omni-directional variograms are calculated without any directional restrictions and provide a good assessment of the sill of the variogram. As for the down-hole variogram, it is calculated with the composites of each hole along the trace of the hole. The objective of these calculations is to provide information about the short scale structure of the variogram, as the composites are more closely spaced down the hole. Thus, the modeling of the nugget effect is usually better derived from the down-hole variograms.

Directional variograms were then computed to identify more specifically the three main directions of continuity. A first set of variograms were produced in the horizontal plane at increments of 10 degrees. In the same way a second set of variograms were computed at 10° increments in the vertical plane of the horizontal direction of continuity (plunge direction). A final set of variograms at 10° increments were calculated in the vertical plane perpendicular to the horizontal direction of continuity (dip direction). The final variograms were then modeled with a 2-structure spherical variogram, and resulting parameters presented in Table 38.

The directions of gold grade continuity are in general agreement with the orientation of the mineralized zones, with best directions of continuity trending along strike and dip. The range of gold grade continuity along the principal direction (strike) is 91m, down dip is 38m, and across strike and dip is 14m. The modeled variograms have a relatively low nugget effect, representing 18% of the sill.

Parameters	All Mineralized Zones		
	Principal	Minor	Vertical
Azimuth*	90°	180°	180°
Dip**	0°	-60°	30°
Nugget Effect C ₀	0.324		
1 st Structure C ₁	0.793		
2 nd Structure C ₂	0.699		
1 st Range A ₁	11.4m	12.5m	6.0m
2 nd Range A ₂	91.0m	38.3m	13.5m

*positive clockwise from north

**negative below horizontal

Table 38 - Modeled Variogram Parameters for Gold Composites at Chevrier East

14.2.7 Gold Grade Estimation

The estimation of gold grades at Chevrier East was carried out with the inverse distance squared technique on capped 1.5m composites. The block model is not rotated and parallel to the X-Y-Z axes, with the X-axis of the block model oriented at a 90° azimuth. The block grid definition is presented in Table 39 for the Chevrier East deposit area. A plan view of the block model limits is also shown in Figure 51.

Coordinates	Origin (m)	Rotation (X axis azimuth)	Distance (m)	Block Size (m)	Number of Blocks
Easting (X)	541,680.0	90°	1,370.0	5.0	274
Northing (Y)	5,501,210.0		1,040.0	2.5	416
Elevation(Z)	-150.0		550.0	5.0	110
Number of Blocks		12,538,240			

Table 39 - Block Grid Definition – Chevrier East




Figure 51 - Plan View of Block Model Limit at Chevrier East

A block size of 5m (X) x 2.5m (Y) x 5m (Z) was selected to better reflect the orebody's geometrical configuration and to provide flexibility for both open pit and underground scenarios.

The estimation strategy and parameters were tailored to account for the various geometrical, geological, and geostatistical characteristics previously identified. The database of 1.5m capped gold grade composites was utilized as input for the grade interpolation process at Chevrier East. The size and orientation of the search ellipsoid for the estimation process of all mineralized zone was based on the variogram parameters modeled for gold. A minimum of 2 samples and maximum of 12 samples were selected for the block grade calculations. Hard boundaries were assigned in the estimation of each zone. No other restrictions, such as a minimum number of informed octants, a minimum number of holes, a maximum number of samples per hole, etc., were applied to the estimation process. A summary of the estimation parameters is presented in Table 40.

Estimation Parameters – Gold Grade – Chevrier Main									
Rock Code	Estimation method	minimum # of samples	maximum # of samples	search ellipsoid – long axis - azimuth/dip	search ellipsoid – long axis - size	search ellipsoid – short axis - azimuth/dip	search ellipsoid – short axis - size	search ellipsoid – vertical axis - azimuth/dip	search ellipsoid – vertical axis - size
1	ID ²	2	12	90°/0°	91.0m	180°/-60°	38.0m	180°/30°	14.0m
2	ID ²	2	12	90°/0°	91.0m	180°/-60°	38.0m	180°/30°	14.0m
3	ID ²	2	12	90°/0°	91.0m	180°/-60°	38.0m	180°/30°	14.0m
4	ID ²	2	12	90°/0°	91.0m	180°/-60°	38.0m	180°/30°	14.0m
5	ID ²	2	12	90°/0°	91.0m	180°/-60°	38.0m	180°/30°	14.0m
6	ID ²	2	12	90°/0°	91.0m	180°/-60°	38.0m	180°/30°	14.0m
7	ID ²	2	12	90°/0°	91.0m	180°/-60°	38.0m	180°/30°	14.0m
other	ID ²	2	12	90°/0°	40.0m	180°/-60°	40.0m	180°/30°	2.5m

Table 40 - Estimation Parameters for Gold – Chevrier East Zone

The grade estimation process consisted of a three pass approach with the parameters of the first pass as presented in Table 40. The estimation parameters of the second and third passes are the same with the exception of an enlarged search ellipsoid by 1.5 times and 3 times the dimensions from the first pass, respectively. In this case, priority was given to estimates from the first pass, followed by estimates from the second pass for un-estimated blocks from the first pass, and finally the estimates of the third pass for un-estimated blocks from the first and second passes. Only blocks within the modeled mineralized zones were estimated in this manner. As a few, more isolated mineralized intercepts are found in the vicinity of the modeled mineralized zones, a single estimation pass was selected to calculate gold grade estimates in these areas.

14.2.8 Validation of Grade Estimates

Validation tests were carried out on the estimates to examine the possible presence of a bias and to assess the quality of the grade estimation process.

14.2.8.1 Visual Inspection

A visual inspection of the block estimates with the drill hole grades on plans and cross-sections was performed as a first check of the estimates. Observations from stepping through the estimates along the different planes indicated that there was overall a good agreement between the drill hole grades and the estimates. The orientations of the estimated grades were also according to the projection angles defined by the search ellipsoid. Examples of cross-section, longitudinal section, and level plan for gold grade estimates of the different mineralized zones are presented in Figures 52 to 54.




Figure 52 - Gold Block Grade Estimates and Drill Hole Grades at Chevrier East – North-South Section 542,380E – Looking East – Main C, Main B, Main, Main A1, Main A2, Main A (from left to right)




Figure 53 - Gold Block Grade Estimates and Drill Hole Grades at Chevrier East – East-West Longitudinal Section 5,501,585N – Looking North – Main A, Main A1, and Main Zones (from top to bottom)




Figure 54 - Gold Block Grade Estimates and Drill Hole Grades at Chevrier East – Level Plan at 300.0 EI – Main C, Main B, Main, Main A1, and Main A Zones (from top to bottom)

14.2.8.2 Global Bias Test

The comparison of the average gold grades from the declustered composites and the estimated block grades examines the possibility of a global bias of the estimates. As a guideline, a difference between the average gold grades of more than $\pm 10\%$ would indicate a significant over- or under-estimation of the block grades and the possible presence of a bias. It would be a sign of difficulties encountered in the estimation process and would require further investigation. A polygonal declustered method with a bounding solid corresponding to the estimated volume was utilized for this exercise.

Results of the average gold grade comparison are presented in Table 41 for the different mineralized zones at Chevrier East.

Statistics	Declustered Composites	Block Estimates
Average Gold Grade g/t	0.2275	0.2280
Difference	0.05%	

Table 41 - Average Gold Grade Comparison – Polygonal-Declustered Composites with Block Estimates – Chevrier East Zone

As seen in Table 41, the average gold grades between the declustered composites and the block estimates are quite similar and well within the limits of acceptability. It can thus be concluded that no significant global bias is present in the gold grade estimates.

14.2.8.3 Local Bias Test

A comparison of the grade from composites within a block with the estimated grade of that block provides an assessment of the estimation process close to measured data. Pairing of these grades on a scatterplot gives a statistical valuation of the estimates. It is anticipated that the estimated block grades should be similar to the composited grades within the block, however without being of exactly the same value. Thus, a high correlation coefficient will indicate satisfactory results in the interpolation process, while a medium to low correlation coefficient will be indicative of larger differences in the estimates and would suggest a further review of the interpolation process. Results from the pairing of composited and estimated grades within blocks pierced by a drill hole are presented in Table 42 for the mineralized zones at Chevrier East.

Data	Average Gold Grade g/t	Correlation Coefficient
Composites	0.682	0.805
Block Estimates	0.655	
Difference	-3.9%	

Table 42 - Gold Grade Comparison for Blocks Pierced by a Drill Hole – Paired Composite Grades with Block Grade Estimates – Chevrier East

As seen in Table 42, the block grade estimates are very similar to the composite grades within blocks pierced by a drill hole, with a high correlation coefficient, indicating satisfactory results from the estimation process.

14.2.8.4 Grade Profile Reproducibility

The comparison of the grade profiles of the declustered composites with that of the estimates allows for a visual verification of an over- or under-estimation of the block estimates at the global and local scales. A qualitative assessment of the smoothing/variability of the estimates can also be observed from the plots. The output

consists of three graphs displaying the average grade according to each of the coordinate axes (east, north, elevation). The ideal result is a grade profile from the estimates that follows that of the declustered composites along the three coordinate axes, in a way that the estimates have lower high-grade peaks than the composites, and higher low-grade peaks than the composites. A smoother grade profile for the estimates, from low to high grade areas, is also anticipated in order to reflect that these grades represent larger volumes than the composites. Gold grade profiles are presented in Figure 55 for all mineralized zones at Chevrier East.




Figure 55 - Gold Grade Profiles of Declustered Composites and Block Estimates – Chevrier East

From the plots of Figure 55, it can be seen that the grade profiles of the declustered composites are well reproduced by those of the estimates at Chevrier East.

14.2.9 Resource Classification

The mineral resource was classified as inferred at Chevrier East based on the limited amount of drilling available.

14.2.10 Mineral Resource Calculation

14.2.10.1 Topographic Surface

The topographic surface was utilized to edit the block model in a way that all blocks above this surface were discounted from the resource calculations.

14.2.10.2 Overburden

The overburden model was utilized in the calculation of the mineral resources by assigning a lower specific gravity value to the blocks within this unit.

14.2.10.3 Specific Gravity

A specific gravity (SG) value was utilized for each mineralized zones. Based on statistics on SG measurements, the average SG value was assigned to the block model as presented in Table 43. The proportion of the blocks inside the mineralized zones was utilized in the tonnage calculation.

Zone	Specific Gravity
Main	2.98
Main A	2.98
Main A1	2.98
Main A2	2.98
Main B	2.98
Main C	2.98
overburden	2.00
other	2.98

Table 43 - Specific Gravity – Chevrier East

14.2.10.4 Mineral Resource Constraint

With the objective to satisfy the NI 43-101 requirement of reporting a mineral resource that provides “reasonable prospects for economic extraction”, a pit shell was optimized to constrain the close to surface portion of the resources, as well as the application of an elevated cut-off grade to represent the underground portion of the resource. A summary of the resource pit and underground constraining parameters is shown in

Table 44. The constraining pit shell optimized with a Lerchs-Grossman algorithm is presented in Figure 56 for Chevrier East.

Parameters*	Open Pit	Underground
Gold Price	\$1,400/oz	\$1,400/oz
Mining Cost	\$2.20/t	\$7.50/t
Processing Cost	\$12.00/t	\$12.00/t
G&A Cost	\$2.50/t	\$2.50/t
Mill Recovery	95%	95%
Pit Slopes	50°	

*All dollar amounts in USD

Table 44 - Mineral Resource Constraining Parameters – Chevrier East




Figure 56 - Optimized Constraining Pit Shell at Chevrier East – Plan View

The estimated inferred mineral resources for the Chevrier East deposit are presented in Table 45. The pit constrained portion of the Chevrier East inferred mineral resource at a 0.3 g/t gold cut-off is 0.399 M tonnes at an average gold grade of 1.28 g/t, for a total of

0.016 M oz of gold. The underground portion of the Chevrier East inferred mineral resource at a 0.95 g/t gold cut-off is 0.732 M tonnes at an average gold grade of 1.19 g/t, for a total of 0.028 M oz of gold. Thus, the combined pit constrained and underground portions of the Chevrier East inferred mineral resources are 1.131 M tonnes at an average gold grade of 1.22 g/t, for a total of 0.044 M oz of gold.

It should be noted that mineral resources are not mineral reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability. There is no certainty that all or any part of the mineral resources estimated will be converted into mineral reserves. The estimate of mineral resources may be materially affected by environmental, permitting, legal, title, taxation, socio-political, marketing, or other relevant issues.

The CIM definitions were followed for the classification of inferred mineral resources. The quantity and grade of reported inferred mineral resources in this estimation are uncertain in nature and there has been insufficient exploration to define these inferred mineral resources as an indicated mineral resource and it is uncertain if further exploration will result in upgrading them to an indicated mineral resource category.

	Cut-Off Grade Au g/t	Tonnage tonnes	Average Au Grade g/t	Contained Au ounces
Pit Constrained	0.3	399,000	1.28	16,000
	0.4	348,000	1.42	16,000
	0.5	311,000	1.53	15,000
Underground	0.9	783,000	1.17	29,000
	0.95	732,000	1.19	28,000
	1.0	633,000	1.22	25,000
Pit Constrained + Underground	0.3 PC, 0.9 UG	1,183,000	1.21	46,000
	0.3 PC, 0.95 UG	1,131,000	1.22	44,000
	0.3 PC, 1.0 UG	1,032,000	1.24	41,000

Tonnage and contained gold have been rounded to the nearest thousand

Table 45 - Inferred Mineral Resources – Chevrier East
Effective February 4, 2019

14.2.11 Discussion, Recommendations, Conclusion

The mineral resources of the Chevrier East zone were classified as inferred stemming from the fewer holes in the area. Thus the deposit would benefit from additional drilling to increase the confidence in the mineral resource, improve the delineation of gold mineralization, and help in the assessment of the gold grade continuity. Currently only few higher gold grade intercepts carry a large portion of the metal content.

The gold mineralization is open along strike and down-dip of each modeled mineralized zone. Areas of further exploration interest include the sub-surface region between the Main A and Main A1 zones, where surface channels indicate gold mineralization. As well, a follow-up on holes LC-32, LC-10, and LC-01A, where higher gold grade mineralization was intersected, is suggested.

As for Chevrier Main, additional SG measurement in the area would provide improved confidence in the determination of the SG particular to this deposit (see discussion in section 14.1.10).

The cost parameters and cut-off grade utilized for the underground portion of the mineral resource was based on the Goldex underground mine operating in the region, where an economic cut-off grade of 1.0 g/t Au is achieved.

The results of the independent sampling undertaken by Ginto Consulting Inc. have only partially met the objective of confirming gold mineralization. Due to the few samples taken (10), it is recommended that a larger number of independent samples (100) be selected to allow for a firmer conclusion.

Based on the good results from the validation tests, it is believed that the gold grade estimates of the Chevrier East deposit are an adequate representation of the mineral resources based on the available data and current geologic understanding.

14.3 CHEVRIER MAIN and EAST ZONES

14.3.1 Mineral Resources

The mineral resources for the Chevrier Main and Chevrier East Zones were combined and reported in Table 48 for the indicated category and in Table 46 for the inferred category.

The pit constrained portion of the Chevrier Main and East Zones indicated mineral resource at a 0.3 g/t gold cut-off is 8.903 M tonnes at an average gold grade of 1.13 g/t, for a total of 0.323 M oz of gold. The underground portion of the Chevrier Main and East Zones indicated mineral resource at a 0.95 g/t gold cut-off is 1.890 M tonnes at an average gold grade of 1.64 g/t, for a total of 0.100 M oz of gold. Thus, the combined pit constrained and underground portions of the Chevrier Main and East Zones indicated mineral resources are 10.793 M tonnes at an average gold grade of 1.22 g/t, for a total of 0.423 M oz of gold. The pit constrained portion of the Chevrier Main and East Zones inferred mineral resources at a 0.3 g/t gold cut-off is 2.083 M tonnes at an average gold grade of 1.15 g/t, for a total of 0.077 M oz of gold. The underground portion of the Chevrier Main and East Zones inferred mineral resources at a 0.95 g/t gold cut-off is

5.354 M tonnes at an average gold grade of 1.31 g/t, for a total of 0.226 M oz of gold. Thus, the combined pit constrained and underground portions of the Chevrier Main and East Zones inferred mineral resources are 7.438 M tonnes at an average gold grade of 1.27 g/t, for a total of 0.303 M oz of gold.

It should be noted that mineral resources are not mineral reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability. There is no certainty that all or any part of the mineral resources estimated will be converted into mineral reserves. The estimate of mineral resources may be materially affected by environmental, permitting, legal, title, taxation, socio-political, marketing, or other relevant issues.

The CIM definitions were followed for the classification of indicated and inferred mineral resources. The quantity and grade of reported inferred mineral resources in this estimation are uncertain in nature and there has been insufficient exploration to define these inferred mineral resources as an indicated mineral resource and it is uncertain if further exploration will result in upgrading them to an indicated mineral resource category.

	Cut-Off Grade Au g/t	Tonnage tonnes	Average Au Grade g/t	Contained Au ounces
Pit Constrained	0.3	8,903,000	1.13	323,000
	0.4	7,684,000	1.26	311,000
	0.5	6,602,000	1.39	295,000
Underground	0.9	2,133,000	1.56	107,000
	0.95	1,890,000	1.64	100,000
	1.0	1,682,000	1.72	93,000
Pit Constrained + Underground	0.3 PC, 0.9 UG	11,036,000	1.21	430,000
	0.3 PC, 0.95 UG	10,793,000	1.22	423,000
	0.3 PC, 1.0 UG	10,585,000	1.22	416,000

Tonnage and contained gold have been rounded to the nearest thousand

Table 46 - Indicated Mineral Resources – Chevrier Property
Effective February 4, 2019

	Cut-Off Grade Au g/t	Tonnage tonnes	Average Au Grade g/t	Contained Au ounces
Pit Constrained	0.3	2,083,000	1.15	77,000
	0.4	1,799,000	1.28	74,000
	0.5	1,605,000	1.38	71,000
Underground	0.9	5,695,000	1.29	236,000
	0.95	5,354,000	1.31	226,000
	1.0	4,687,000	1.36	205,000
Pit Constrained + Underground	0.3 PC, 0.9 UG	7,778,000	1.25	313,000
	0.3 PC, 0.95 UG	7,438,000	1.27	303,000
	0.3 PC, 1.0 UG	6,770,000	1.29	282,000

Tonnage and contained gold have been rounded to the nearest thousand

Table 47 - Inferred Mineral Resources – Chevrier Property
Effective February 4, 2019

14.3.2 Discussion, Recommendations, Conclusion

Overall, the current estimation of the mineral resources of the Chevrier Main and East Zones represents an evolution of the understanding of the geological controls on gold mineralization. With the additional holes drilled by Genesis in 2017 it was possible to confirm the historical data and to augment the geological knowledge leading to the modelling of consistent mineralized zones.

Additional exploration targets were suggested for each zone in the discussions of sections 14.1.10 and 14.2.10, which have the potential to increase the mineral resources with further drilling in the vicinity of the Chevrier Main and East Zones.

The tonnage calculation of the mineral resources is based on a single SG value which represents the average of few measurements. For such, it is recommended that additional SG measurements be undertaken at Chevrier to ascertain the SG values of the mineralized zones and surrounding host rock.

Additional drilling is recommended at Chevrier East Zone to allow for mineral resources of higher confidence. As well, a more extensive independent sampling program is recommended at Chevrier East Zone to better confirm the presence of gold mineralization from the historic drill hole data.

Based on the satisfactory validation results obtained for both deposits, it is believed that the gold grade estimates are a reasonable representation of the mineral resources of

Chevrier Main and East Zones, considering the available information and current geologic understanding.

15.0 ADJACENT PROPERTIES

Resource estimates, herebelow, are compliant with the new version of 43-101. However, the qualified persons have been unable to verify the information and the information is not necessarily indicative of the mineralization on the Property that is the subject of the technical report. The authors believe that this information gives a conceptual indication of the potential of the area and that it is pertinent to this report.

1) Alexandria Minerals Corporation

The Fancamp Property consists of 37 mining claims covering an area of 1895 hectares located at the southwest border of the Chevrier Property. The project is 100% owned by Alexandria Minerals Corp. The Fancamp Property is underlain by NE-striking mafic to intermediate/felsic volcanic rocks that are flanked to the east by the syntectonic Verneuil Pluton (granodiorite/tonalite) and to the west by the smaller Chico Stock. The Property covers a six kilometers strike length on the Fancamp Deformation Zone (FDZ) and subsidiary shear zones. Several Zones were identified as A to E Zones with several best gold trench and drill hole intersections: 10.6 g/t Au over 12.2 m; 45.3 g/t Au over 6.1 m; 9.3 g/t Au over 8.2 m; 8.2 g/t Au over 6.1 m; and a Zinc Horizon with 2.2% Zn over 1.5 m.

2) Others

Other important gold deposits in the area include the Joe Mann Mine (Past Production: 4.75 Mt @ 8.54 g/t Au \approx 1.3 M oz Au), located 12 kilometers to the southeast of the Chevrier Property. Mineral Reserves and Resources (January 1st, 2006) are 103,442 tonnes at 0.23 oz/t Au and 270,041 tonnes at 0.24 oz/t Au respectively. The Joe Mann Project includes Lac Meston and Currie-Mills Deposits with a resource estimate (January 1st, 2006) of 1,887,270 tonnes at 0.16 oz/t Au.

The Property is also adjacent to the eastern edge of the Monster Lake Property (TomaGold Corporation joint-venture IAMGold Corporation) which shows high potential with over 20 drill holes intersections returning grades ranging from 10 to 237.6 g/t. A recent resource estimate has revealed 1,109,700 tons of inferred resources averaging 12.14 g/t Au for 433,300 ounces of gold (Press Release – March 8, 2018).

Several junior companies and/or prospectors own claim blocks all around Chevrier Property:

- Multi-Ressources Boréal, Kode Mineral Exploration Ltd., Vorenius Metal Corp., Exploration Carat Inc., G.L. Géoservices, etc. also hold claim blocks nearby the Chevrier Property but no public information has been found.




Figure 57 - Surrounding Active Mining Exploration Properties

16.0 OTHER DATA AND PERTINENT INFORMATIONS

To the best of Geologica's knowledge there is no other relevant data or information that pertains to this report on the Property.

17.0 INTERPRETATION AND CONCLUSIONS

The Property is dominantly underlain by basalt, concordant and discordant bodies of gabbro, and felsic to intermediate pyroclastic rocks. All the units are cut by felsic quartz-feldspar porphyry dykes. The mafic rocks of the region belong to the Obatogamau formation, while the pyroclastic rocks are part of the Waconichi formation. The Property lies between the Muscocho pluton to the west, the Verneuil Pluton to the south and the La Dauversière Pluton to the east. The first is a post-tectonic intrusive with a dioritic to tonalitic composition while the last two are syntectonic granodioritic bodies.

Several showings and deposits are present on the Property indicating an important potential for gold, silver and base metals mineralizations outside of the known Chevrier Main and Chevrier South Zones.

The Chevrier deposits are located within the Chevrier volcanic centre, where it is spatially associated with the uppermost felsic unit. Crosscutting relationships between gold mineralization and synvolcanic felsic dykes, and D2 and D3 deformation events indicate a 2730-Ma mineralizing event synchronous with the formation of the submarine volcanic centre. Characteristics of the Main and South Zones suggest they form parts of a single hydrothermal system where the South Zone is a low-grade, concordant, disseminated mineralization above high-grade, discordant, quartz-ankerite veins of the Main Zone.

Synvolcanic alteration allowed preferential development of foliation and folds during deformation events, such that superposition of the two events has produced a complex deformation corridor (Fancamp Deformation Zone), and this complexity has hindered exploration and interpretation of the Chevrier deposit in the past. The Chevrier Deposits represent a rare example of synvolcanic, submarine gold mineralization associated with the formation of a felsic volcanic centre and is classified as a non-carbonate hosted stockwork and disseminated deposit. It shares many characteristics with strata-bound gold deposits of the Andacollo mining district of Chile and clearly illustrates how synvolcanic mineralization can be misinterpreted as orogenic gold deposit.

In many aspects, characteristics of Chevrier Deposits (especially the Main Zone) are very similar to those of orogenic gold deposits (Legault, M. & Daigneault, R., 2006). In fact, only the crosscutting relationships of the gold mineralization by synvolcanic felsic dykes and D2 deformation allow distinction between the two types of Zones (Chevrier Main and Chevrier South). The model suggested by Legault & Daigneault, is an

epizonal depth of emplacement and a lithological control for the South Zone and a mesozonal depth of emplacement and a structural control for the Main Zone types of deposits.

The Fancamp Deformation Zone is host of a unique volcanic center which is associated with felsic dykes, auriferous mineralization themselves put in place along a temporal variation and a complex structural style which is associated to three different deformation episodes at the regional scale. The corridor was an active structure when volcanism occurred and was reactivated during subsequent events. The synvolcanic system of the Fancamp conjugate fault network would have served as magmatic hydrothermal conducts during the volcanic arc volcanism (~2730 Ma). These intensely altered synvolcanic faults are spatially associated with auriferous mineralizations which would have been reactivated during the D₂ deformation event, producing rich phyllosilicate (chlorite and sericite) synvolcanic alteration and recycling synvolcanic gold. The Fancamp Deformation Corridor strikes NE and is distinct at the Abitibi scale. These anomalous deformation corridors (Fancamp) could represent a volcanic inheritance expression and should be closely studied by explorers.

The recent updated resource calculation was completed by Ginto Consulting Inc. At cut-off grades of 0.3 g/t Au for the pit constrained portion and 0.95 g/t Au for the underground portion, the Indicated Resource for the Main Zone is estimated at 10,793,000 metric tonnes at a grade of 1.22 g/t of gold for a total of 423,000 ounces of gold, while at the same Au cut-off grades, the Inferred Resource for the Main Zone is estimated at 6,306,000 metric tonnes at a grade of 1.27 g/t of gold for a total of 259,000 ounces of gold. At cut-off grades of 0.3 g/t Au for the pit constrained portion and 0.95 g/t Au for the underground portion, the Inferred Resource for the East Zone is estimated at 1,131,000 metric tonnes at a grade of 1.22 g/t of gold for a total of 44,000 ounces of gold. Ginto is of the opinion that the current Mineral Resource Estimate is representative of what is currently known for these Zones. This estimate is compliant with CIM standards and guidelines for reporting mineral resources and reserves.

18.0 WORK RECOMMENDATIONS AND BUDGET

Following compilation and modeling of the mineralized zones by Geologica, it appears that areas of enrichments of gold mineralization occur at or nearby intersections of the NE oriented mineralized structures and E-W faults resulting in SW dipping ore shoots. A detail 3D compilation with emphasis on structural patterns of these enrichment zones will be required to enhance their understanding.

Based on the recent encouraging exploration efforts (prospection, geophysics, stripping/trenching, mapping, channel sampling and diamond drilling), the authors recommend follow up exploration work with prospection, stripping, mapping, sampling and drilling to permit a better knowledge of the mineralizations on the Property and a

special effort on the Main, South, East and the Malartic Hygrade Zones to upgrade the resource. Moreover, prioritized exploration anomalies and targets should be verified with drilling.

PHASE 1 – Relogging, prospection, stripping/trenching, sampling and drilling

- 3D Structural Compilation: 15 days at 1,000\$/day 15,000 \$
- Core relogging and resampling of the 1988 DDH LC series in the East Zone
1 geologist & 1 assistant
10 days at 1,500\$/day 15,000 \$
- Prospection, mapping and sampling, 2 geologists & 2 assistants
45 days at 3,000\$/day 135,000 \$
- Manual and mechanical Stripping, mapping and sampling, with
1 geologist & 1 assistant (20 days at 4,000\$/day all included) 80,000 \$
- Laboratory Analysis (500 samples at 30\$/sample) 15,000 \$
- Definition Diamond Drilling on lateral and depth extensions
on the Chevrier Main Zone: 10,000 meters at 200\$/m (all included) 2,000,000 \$

Subtotal Phase 1: **2,260,000 \$**

PHASE 2 –Exploration and definition drilling (if warranted in Phase 1)

- Complementary Exploration Diamond Drilling on selected priority
surface targets generated by geological, Mag, IP and prospection
5,000 meters at 200\$/meter (all included) 1,000,000 \$
- Complementary Definition Diamond Drilling on extensions
of known mineralized zones (mainly Malartic Hygrade, East Zone
and Chevrier South Zone)
10,000 meters at 200\$/meter (all included) 2,000,000 \$

Sub-total Phase 2: **3,000,000 \$**

Sub-total Phases 1 & 2: **5,260,000 \$**

Supervision, management and Contingencies (≈15%) 790,000 \$

Total Phase 1 & 2: **6,050,000 \$**

DDH	Azimuth	Dip	Length m)	Easting	Northing	Elevation
GM-19-A	130	-71	650	534600	5497351	371
GM-19-B	128	-78	675	534600	5497274	371
GM-19-C	310	-77	650	535015	5497149	371
GM-19-D	312	-64	450	535100	5497240	371
GM-19-E	133	-68	450	534745	5497349	371
GM-19-F	133	-64	450	534787	5497343	371
GM-19-G	314	-54	350	535018	5497216	371
GM-19-H	314	-53	400	535080	5497226	371
GM-19-I	312	-59	400	535150	5497297	371
GM-19-J	315	-65	350	535143	5497339	371
GM-19-K	313	-65	450	535202	5497351	371
GM-19-L	133	-71	600	535138	5497698	371
GM-19-M	311	-61	500	534792	5496912	371
GM-19-N	313	-67	400	535075	5497300	371
GM-19-O	312	-60	450	535238	5497456	371
GM-19-P	313	-60	350	535279	5497557	371
GM-19-Q	312	-58	450	535412	5497600	371
GM-19-R	312	-65	400	535430	5497688	371
GM-19-S	311	-64	475	535490	5497699	371
GM-19-T	311	-69	450	535478	5497782	371
GM-19-U	133	-75	650	535174	5497768	371

Table 48 - Technical Parameters of Proposed DDHs on the Chevrier Main Zone

19.0 REFERENCES

GEOLOGICA GROUPE-CONSEIL INC.

Beauregard A.J., Khobzi, A., 1987

Rapport d'évaluation de la propriété Brongniart. Explorations Deux-Montagnes inc. (32G10). GM-46202.

Beauregard A.J., Gaudreault D., 2003

Rapport de compilation et visite de terrain, propriétés McCorkill et Monexco, camp minier de Chibougamau (SNRC 32H13, 32I04, 32J01). 29p. GM-60607.

Beauregard A.J., Gaudreault D., 2005

NI 43-101 Technical Report on the Troilus-Mistassini Group of Properties. Beaufield Resources Inc.

Beauregard A.J., Gaudreault D., 2006

Compilation Géoscientifique de la propriété Fancamp pour Ressources Murgor Inc.

Beauregard A.J., Gaudreault D., 2008

Rapport technique NI-43-101 de la propriété Monexco, cantons McCorkill, région de Chibougamau Québec (32G16, 32H13, 32I04, 32J01), 38p. GM-64620.

Beauregard A.J., Gaudreault D., 2008

Rapport technique NI-43-101 de la propriété Monexco, cantons McCorkill, région de Chibougamau Québec (32G16, 32H13, 32I04, 32J01), 38p. GM-64620.

Beauregard A.J., Gaudreault D., 2010

Reconnaissance Survey on the Lac Doda Property. Paget Minerals Corporation.

Beauregard A.J., Gaudreault D., 2011

NI 43-101 Technical Evaluation Report on Urban-Barry and Barry Lake Properties. Bonterra Resources Inc.

Beauregard A.J., Gaudreault D., 2012

Rapport technique des travaux de forage 2011 sur la propriété Monexco, cantons McCorkill et Bignell, région de Chibougamau Québec, (32G16, 32H13, 32I04, 32J01). GM-67476.

Beauregard A.J., Gaudreault D., 2012

NI 43-101 Technical Report on the Joe Mann Mining Property for Legault Metal Inc..

Beauregard A.J., Gaudreault D., 2018

NI 43-101 Technical Report on the Lac Rouleau Block of the Urban Project. Beaufield Resources Inc.

Beauregard A.J., Gaudreault D., 2016

Geoscientific Compilation Report on the Chevrier Property. Chevrier Metals Corporation (Internal Report).

Beauregard A.J., Gaudreault D., Guérin-Tremblay, H., Banville, R., 2018

2016-2017 Fieldwork Report on the Chevrier Property. Chevrier Metals Corp. (Internal Report).

Gaudreault D., 1989

1989 Diamond drilling program on the Lada Project for Minefinders Corp. GM-49443.

Gaudreault D., 2003

Rapport Technique d'évaluation – Propriété Berrigan. Exploration Typhon Inc.

OTHER REFERENCES

Ayer, J., Amelin, Y., Corfu, F., Kamo, S., Ketchum, J.F., Kwok, K., and Trowell, N.F., 2002a

Evolution of the Abitibi greenstone belt based on U-Pb geochronology: Autochthonous volcanic construction followed by plutonism, regional deformation and sedimentation: Precambrian Research, v. 115, p. 63–95.

Ayer, J.A., Ketchum, J., and Trowell, N.F., 2002b

New geochronological and neodymium isotopic results from the Abitibi greenstone belt, with emphasis on the timing and the tectonic implications of Neoproterozoic sedimentation and volcanism: Ontario Geological Survey Open File Report 6100, p. 5-1–5-16.

Banville, R., 2018

QAQC Report – 2016 Resampling Programs and Trenching; and 2017 trenching and Diamond Drill Programs (Internal Report).

Bateman, R., Ayer, J.A., and Dubé, B., 2008

The Timmins-Porcupine gold camp, Ontario: Anatomy of an Archean greenstone belt and ontogeny of gold mineralizations. *Economic Geology*, v. 103, p. 1285–1308.

Benn, K., Miles, W., Ghassemi, M. R., Gillet, J., 1994

Crustal structure and kinematic framework of the north-western Pontiac Subprovince, Québec: an integrated structural and geophysical study. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, Vol. 31, pages 271-281.

Buro, Y. & Jean, R., 2010

NI 43-101 Technical Report on the Mineral Resource of the Chevrier Gold Project, Chibougamau, Quebec – Canada by Met-Chem Project Number 29067-2, for Tawsho Mining Inc.

Chown, E. H., Daigneault, R., Mueller, W., and Mortensen, J., 1992

Tectonic evolution of the Northern Volcanic Zone of Abitibi Belt. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, v. 29, pp. 2211-2225.

Daigneault, R., Mueller, W.U., Chown, E. H., 2002

Oblique Archean subduction: accretion and exhumation of an oceanic arc during dextral transpression, Southern Volcanic Zone, Abitibi Subprovince, Canada. *Precambrian Research* 115: 261–290.

Daigneault, R., Mueller, W.U., Chown, E.H., 2004

Abitibi greenstone belt plate tectonics: the diachronous history of arc development, accretion and collision. In Eriksson, P.G., Altermann, W., Nelson, D.R., Mueller, W.U., Catuneanu, O. (Eds.). *The Precambrian Earth: Tempos and Events*, Series: *Developments in Precambrian geology*, vol. 12, Elsevier, pages. 88–103.

Davis, W.J., Machado, N., Gariépy, C., Sawyer, E.W., and Benn, K., 1995

U-Pb geochronology of the Opatoca tonalite-gneiss belt and its relationship to the Abitibi greenstone belt, Superior Province, Québec. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 32: 113-127.

De Corta, H., 1998

The Chevrier Zone, an important mineral resource for the Chibougamau Region. *In Geology and Metallogeny of the Chapais-Chibougamau mining district*, Pilotte, P., ed, p. 103-107.

De Corta, H. (GéoNova Explorations Inc.), 1998

Campagne de sondages automne 1997, Blocs Diana-Obatogamau, Projet Chevrier.

Dimroth, E, Imrech, L., Rocheleau, M., Goulet, N., 1982

Evolution of the south-central part of the Archean Abitibi Belt, Quebec. Part I: stratigraphy and paleostratigraphic model. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, Vol. 19, pages 1729-1758.

Dimroth, E, Imrech, L., Rocheleau, M., Goulet, N., 1983

Evolution of the south-central part of the Archean Abitibi Belt, Quebec. Part III: plutonic and metamorphic evolution and geotectonic model. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, Vol. 20, pages 1374-1388.

Dion, C. and Simard, A., 1998

Geological and Metallogenic Compilation of the Caopatina Segment. *In* *Geology and Metallogeny of the Chapais-Chibougamau Mining District: a New Vision of the Discovery Potential*. Proceedings of the Chapais-Chibougamau 1998 Symposium. Editor: P. Pilote. pp. 51-53. **DV 98-04**.

Dion, C. and Simard, A., 1999

Compilation et synthèse géologique et métallogénique du Segment de Caopatina, région de Chibougamau. Ministère des Ressources naturelles. 80 pages. **MB 99-33**.

Dubé, B., and Gosselin, P., 2007

Greenstone-hosted quartz-carbonate vein deposits, in Goodfellow, W.D., ed., *Mineral Deposits of Canada: A Synthesis of Major Deposit-Types, District Metallogeny, the Evolution of Geological Provinces, and Exploration Methods*: Geological Association of Canada, Mineral Deposits Division, Special Publication No. 5, p. 49-73.

Faure, S., 2012

Réévaluation de la géologie et des modèles d'exploration pour l'or dans le segment Caopatina-Desmaraisville, sud de Chibougamau. Consorom Projet 2012-02.

Gagnon, F., 2010

Diamond drilling campaign report on the Chevrier Property, Tawsho Mining Inc.

Goutier, J., and Melançon, M., 2007

Compilation géologique de la Sous-province de l'Abitibi (version préliminaire): Ministère des Ressources naturelles et de la Faune du Québec.

Houle, P. (Resident Geologist at Chibougamau),

Personal communication during a field visit and review of the rock types and metallotect on October 2016.

Itasca Consulting Canada Inc., 2009

Structural Characterization of the Chevrier gold deposit, Chibougamau region, Quebec.

Jolly, W. T., 1978

Metamorphic history of the Archean Abitibi Belt. In *Metamorphism in the Canadian Shield*. Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 78-10, pp. 63-78.

Leclerc, F., Roy, P., Houle, P., Pilote, P., Bedard, J. H., Harris, L. B., McNichol, V. J., Van Breemen, O., David, J. et Goulet, N., 2017

Géologie de la région de Chibougamau. MERN, GSC, INRS, UQAM..

Legault, M., 2003

Environnement métallogénique du couloir de Fancamp avec emphase sur les gisements aurifères de Chevrier, Région de Chibougamau, Québec. Pd. D Thesis, University of Quebec, 361p.

Legault, M.I., Daigneault, Couture, J.F., and Dion, C., 2000

Contexte structural et métallogénique des gisements Chevrier et Chevrier sud (Région de Chibougamau). Report ET 99-02, Géologie Québec, 59p.

Legault, M. & Daigneault, R., 2006

Synvolcanic gold mineralization within a deformation zone: the Chevrier deposit, Chibougamau, Abitibi Subprovince, Canada. *Miner Deposita* (2006) 41: 203-228.

Lévesque, P. (Corporation minière Metall), 1994

Rapport de forages automne 1994, propriété Dolbo – Option Cambior.

Lévesque, P. (Corporation minière Metall), 1994

Rapport de forages hiver 1994, propriété Dolbo – Option Cambior.

Ludden, J.N., Hubert, C., and Gariépy, C., 1986

The tectonic evolution of the Abitibi greenstone belt of Canada: *Geological Magazine*, v. 123, p. 153-166.

McPhie J, Doyle M, Allen R., 1993

Volcanic textures: a guide to the interpretation of textures in volcanic rocks. Centre for Ore Deposit and Exploration Studies, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tasmania

MERQ, 1977

Levé EM (Input) – Région de La Dauversière. Flying and compilation by Questor Surveys Ltd. Ministère de l'Énergie et des Ressources du Québec. **DP-496**.

MERQ, 1989

Traitement des données géophysiques (aéromagnétiques) – Lac à l'Eau Jaune, 32G/10, Cartes 2100 A et B. Compilation par SIAL Géosciences Inc.. Ministère de l'Énergie et des Ressources du Québec. **DV 89-10.**

MERQ, 1993

Traitement des données géophysiques (aéromagnétiques) – Lac Verneuil, Parties des coupures SNRC : 32G/07, 08, 09, 10. Cartes 2200 A, B, C. Levé et compilation par Val-d'Or Géophysique Ltée.. Ministère de l'Énergie et des Ressources du Québec. **DV 93-24.**

MERQ-OGS, 1984

Lithostratigraphic map of the Abitibi subprovince: Ontario Geological Survey and Ministère de l'Énergie et des Ressources, Québec, Map 2484 and **DV 83-16.**

Milord, I. (GéoNova Explorations Inc.), 2003

Campagne de sondages automne 2002, Blocs Diana-Obatogamau, Projet Chevrier., Volume 1 de 2

Mueller, W. U., Daigneault, R., Mortensen, J, Chown, E. H., 1996a

Archean terrane docking: upper crust collision tectonics, Abitibi Greenstone Belt, Québec, Canada. *Tectonophysics* 265:127–150.

Poulsen, K. H., Robert, F., and Dubé, B., 2000

Geological classification of Canadian cold deposits. Geological Survey of Canada, Bulletin 540, 106 pages.

Powell, W. D., Carmichael, D. M., and Hodgon, C. J., 1993

Thermobarometry in a subgreenschist to greenschist transition in metabasite of the Abitibi greenstone belt, Superior Province, Canada. *Journal of Metamorphic Geology*, Vol. 11, pages 165-178.

Robert, F, Poulsen, K.H., and Dubé, B., 1997

Gold deposits and their geological classification. In: A.G. Gubins (ed.), *Proceedings of Exploration '97: Fourth Decennial International Conference on Mineral Exploration*, p. 209-220.

Robert, F., and Poulsen, K. H., 1997

World-class Archean gold deposits in Canada: An overview. *Australian Journal of Earth Sciences*, vol. 44, pages 329-351.

Robert, F., and Poulsen, K.H., 2001

Vein formation and deformation in greenstone gold deposits. In: Richards, J.P., and Tosdal, R.M. (eds.), Structural Controls on Ore Genesis. Society of Economic Geologists, Reviews in Economic Geology, vol. 14, p. 111-155.

Robert, F., Poulsen, K.H., and Dubé, B., 1994

Structural analysis of lode gold deposits in deformed terranes and its application: Geological Survey of Canada, Short course notes, Open File Report 2850, 140 pages.

Sawyer, E. W., and Benn, K., 1993

Structure of the high-grade Opatoca Belt and adjacent lowgrade Abitibi Subprovince, Canada: An Archean mountain front. Journal of Structural Geology, v.15, p. 1443-1458.

SIGEOM (MRNQ) 2016

Public data from statutory work published by Exploration Companies and the Ministry of Mines (sheets 32G09 and 32G10).

St-Hilaire, C., 2008

Technical and Interpretation Report. Heliborne Aeromagnetic Survey, Chevrier Project for Tawsho Mining Inc.

Tanguay, J., 2006

Estimation des réserves et des ressources minérales de la mine Joe Mann au 1 janvier 2006, Rapport technique préparé pour Les Ressources Meston Inc.

Thurston, P.C., and Chivers, K.M., 1990

Secular variation in greenstone sequence development emphasizing Superior province, Canada: Precambrian Research, v. 46, p. 21–58.

Thurston, P.C., Ayer, J.A., Goutier, J., and Hamilton, M.A., 2008

Depositional gaps in the Abitibi greenstone belt stratigraphy: A key to exploration for syngenetic mineralization. Economic Geology, v. 103, p. 1097–1134.

Tremblay, A. (GéoNova Explorations Inc.), 1996

Campagne de sondages été 1996, Blocs Diana-Obatogamau et Fancamp, Projet Chevrier.

Tremblay, A. (GéoNova Explorations Inc.), 1996

Campagne de sondages hiver 1996, Blocs Diana-Obatogamau, Fancamp et Haufan, Projet Chevrier.

Tremblay, A. (GéoNova Explorations Inc.), 1997

Campagne de sondages hiver 1997, Blocs Diana-Obatogamau, Haufan et Dolbo,
Projet Chevrier.

Appendix I - Statutory Works

GM 70500

TREMBLAY, C., BERNY, S. 2017. Rapport des travaux d'exploration, travaux 2016-2017 volume 2, Chibougamau High Mag, Silver High Grade, Queylus. 9148-5706 QUEBEC INC. (MULTI RESSOURCES BOREAL), CLAIMS RIVARD, CLAIMS ST-PIERRE, EXPLORATION CARAT INC, NORTHERN SUPERIOR RESOURCES INC. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 183 pages et 4 plans.

GM 68887

LAMOTHE, G. 2015. RAPPORT DE TRAVAUX D'EXPLORATION SIMPLIFIE, PROJET WIN-WIN. G.L. GEOSERVICE INC, CLAIMS BOUCHARD. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 20 pages.

GM 65340

JEAN, R., BURO, Y A., FERRON, C J., DYMOV, I. 2010. NI 43-101 TECHNICAL REPORT ON THE MINERAL RESOURCE OF THE CHEVRIER GOLD PROJECT. CORPORATION MINIERE INMET, TAWSHO MINING INC, GESTION IAMGOLD-QUEBEC INC. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 225 pages.

GM 65316

FEDOROWICH, J S. 2009. STRUCTURAL CHARACTERIZATION OF THE CHEVRIER GOLD DEPOSIT. TAWSHO MINING INC. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 83 pages et 4 plans.

GM 65164

CIFUENTES, C. 2009. INTERPRETATION REPORT, HELIBORNE MAGNETIC GRADIOMETER AND VLF-EM SURVEY, EMBRY GRID. RESSOURCES MURGOR INC. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 14 pages et 11 plans.

GM 65163

CHARBONNEAU, R. 2009. TILL SAMPLING 2009, EMBRY PROPERTY. RESSOURCES MURGOR INC. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 68 pages.

GM 63671

DUBOIS, M. 2008. LEVE DE RESISTIVITE / POLARISATION PROVOQUEE, RAPPORT D'INTERPRETATION, PROPRIETE HYGRADE. LES RESSOURCES TECTONIC INC. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 19 pages et 32 plans.

[GM 64048](#)

OUELLET, R. 2008. RAPPORT DES TRAVAUX D'EXPLORATION, PROJET HYGRADE. LES RESSOURCES TECTONIC INC. Rapport statuaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 84 pages et 6 plans.

[GM 64707](#)

DUBOIS, M. 2008. RAPPORT D'INTERPRETATION, LEVE INFINITEM DE SURFACE, PROJET CHEVRIER / CHEVRIER SUD. TAWSHO MINING INC. Rapport statuaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec, 14 pages et 29 plans.

[GM 65023](#)

ST-HILAIRE, C. 2008. TECHNICAL AND INTERPRETATION REPORT, HELIBORNE AEROMAGNETIC SURVEY, PROJECT CHEVRIER. TAWSHO MINING INC, GESTION IAMGOLD-QUEBEC INC. Rapport statuaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 30 pages et 15 plans.

[GM 62511](#)

LAFORREST, J. 2006. TRAVAUX DE DECAPAGE ET DE CARTOGRAPHIE DE DETAIL, PROPRIETE HYGRADE. LES RESSOURCES TECTONIC INC. Rapport statuaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 22 pages et 1 plan.

[GM 62599](#)

LAMBERT, G. 2006. LEVES AEROMAGNETOMETRIQUES ET ELECTROMAGNETIQUES DE TYPE AEROTEM II, PROJET LAC PALMER. CAMBIOR INC. Rapport statuaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec, 10 pages et 1 plan.

[GM 62146](#)

VIGNEAU, S., LAGUEUX, J F., GOBEIL, C. 2005. RAPPORT DE LA CAMPAGNE D'EXPLORATION, ETE 2005, PROJET LAC PALMER. CAMBIOR INC. Rapport statuaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 47 pages et 1 plan.

[GM 62600](#)

RUDD, J. 2005. LOGISTICS REPORT ON A HELICOPTER-BORNE AEROTEM II ELECTROMAGNETIC & MAGNETOMETER SURVEY, ANATACO, EASTMAIN & PALMER PROJECTS. CAMBIOR INC. Rapport statuaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 32 pages et 4 plans.

[GM 61263](#)

LAFORREST, J. 2004. RAPPORT DE CARTOGRAPHIE GEOLOGIQUE, PROPRIETE HYGRADE. LES RESSOURCES TECTONIC INC. Rapport statuaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 15 pages et 1 plan.

[GM 61588](#)

SALT, H. 2004. SIMPLIFIED EXPLORATION WORK REPORT. CLAIMS SALT. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 6 pages.

[GM 61171](#)

HAMILTON, W. 1981. EXPLORATION PROGRESS 1980, CHIBOUGAMAU EXPLORATION JOINT VENTURE. CAMPBELL CHIBOUGAMAU MINES LTD. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 49 pages et 28 plans.

[GM 60555](#)

MILORD, I. 2003. CAMPAGNE DE SONDAGES, AUTOMNE 2002, PROJET CHEVRIER, BLOCS DIANA-OBATOGAMAU, FANCAMP. CORPORATION MINIERE INMET. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 586 pages et 20 plans.

[GM 60252](#)

SIMARD, R. 2002. PROJET HB, SECTEUR LAC MERRILL SUD. CLAIMS BOUCHARD. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 39 pages.

[GM 58715](#)

MARTIN, L. 2001. REPORT ON THE 2000 DIAMOND DRILLING PROGRAM ON THE PLD PROPERTY. CORPORATION TECK. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 93 pages et 5 plans.

[GM 57959](#)

STEWART, R., MARTIN, L. 1999. REPORT ON THE 1999 EXPLORATION PROGRAM PLD PROPERTY. SOCIETE DE DEVELOPPEMENT DE LA BAIE JAMES, CORPORATION TECK. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 81 pages et 3 plans.

[GM 57960](#)

BERUBE, D. 1999. A REPORT ON GEOPHYSICAL SURVEYS PLD PROPERTY. SOCIETE DE DEVELOPPEMENT DE LA BAIE JAMES, CORPORATION TECK. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 11 pages et 6 plans. [GM 59211](#)

STEWART, R., MARTIN, L. 1999. REPORT ON THE 1999 EXPLORATION PROGRAM, PLD PROPERTY. TECK EXPLS LTD. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 93 pages et 10 plans.

[GM 55554](#)

GAULIN, R. 1998. RAPPORT GEOLOGIQUE, PROPRIETE QUEYLUS. AAA EXPL'OREMINES INC. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 9 pages et 1 plan.

[GM 56026](#)

DE CORTA, H., LEBLANC, M. 1998. CAMPAGNE DE SONDRAGE, PROJET CHEVRIER, BLOCS DIANA-OBATOGAMAU, FANCAMP. CORPORATION MINIERE INMET. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 310 pages et 16 plans.

[GM 58479](#)

GAUCHER, E. 1998. GEOLOGICAL REPORT ON THE CHEVRIER GOLD PROJECT. CLAIMS BOSUM. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 10 pages et 1 plan.

[GM 54966](#)

POTVIN, H. 1997. COMPTE RENDU TECHNIQUE SUR UN LEVE MAGNETOMETRIQUE, PROJET FANCAMP. CORPORATION MINIERE INMET. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 6 pages et 3 plans.

[GM 55795](#)

BEDARD, R. 1997. RAPPORT DE PROSPECTION, PROJET BEROY. CLAIMS BEDARD. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 5 pages.

[GM 55796](#)

LAMBERT, G. 1997. RAPPORT SOMMAIRE SUR DES TRAVAUX GEOPHYSIQUES: LEVES MAGNETIQUES AU SOL, PROPRIETE BEROY. CLAIMS BEDARD. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 9 pages et 3 plans.

[GM 55886](#)

TREMBLAY, A. 1997. CAMPAGNE DE SONDRAGES, ETE 1996, PROJET CHEVRIER. CORPORATION MINIERE INMET. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 601 pages et 40 plans. [GM 55941](#)

TREMBLAY, A. 1997. CAMPAGNE DE SONDRAGES, HIVER 1997, PROJET CHEVRIER. CORPORATION MINIERE INMET. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec, 426 pages et 24 plans.

[GM 56719](#)

THIBAUT, J. 1997. RAPPORT DE PROSPECTION, PROJET GOLDREEF-2. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 2 pages et 1 plan.

[GM 54357](#)

LAMBERT, G. 1996. RAPPORT SOMMAIRE SUR DES TRAVAUX GEOPHYSIQUES AU SOL: LEVES MAGNETIQUES ET EMH MAXMIN II, PROPRIETE GOLDREEF-1. NOREX ENR. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 8 pages et 5 plans.

[GM 54457](#)

LAMBERT, G. 1996. RAPPORT SOMMAIRE SUR DES TRAVAUX GEOPHYSIQUES AU SOL: LEVES MAGNETIQUES ET E.M.H. MAXMIN II, PROPRIETE MUSTOO-1. CLAIMS WAPACHEE. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 8 pages et 5 plans.

[GM 54798](#)

KHOBZI, A. 1996. RAPPORT D'EVALUATION GEOLOGIQUE DE LA PROPRIETE MERRILL. RESSOURCES OXFORD INC. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 34 pages et 2 plans.

[GM 54967](#)

SIMARD, J., LAPOINTE, D. 1996. RAPPORT SUR UN LEVE MAGNETOMETRIQUE, PROJET FANCAMP. RESSOURCES MESTON INC. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 6 pages et 6 plans.

[GM 55934](#)

TREMBLAY, A. 1996. CAMPAGNE DE SONDAGES, HIVER 1996, PROJET CHEVRIER. CORPORATION MINIERE INMET. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 416 pages et 26 plans.

[GM 56841](#)

LAMBERT, G. 1996. LEVES MAGNETIQUES ET EMH MAXMIN II, PROPRIETE GOLDREEF-1. CLAIMS THIBAULT. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 8 pages et 5 plans. [GM 53061](#)

BELLAVANCE, Y. 1995. CAMPAGNE D'EXPLORATION, ETE 1994, PROJET CORNER BAY " COPPER" 4018-9. RESSOURCES CORNER BAY INC. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 44 pages et 3 plans.

[GM 52754](#)

LAMBERT, G. 1994. LEVES DE POLARISATION PROVOQUEE, PROPRIETE CHEVRIER. CORPORATION MINIERE METALL. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 11 pages et 74 plans.

[GM 53960](#)

LEVESQUE, P. 1994. RAPPORT DE FORAGE HIVER 1994, PROPRIETE FANCAMP ET DIANA-OBATOGAMAU. CORPORATION MINIERE INMET. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 56 pages et 3 plans.

[GM 54035](#)

LEVESQUE, P. 1994. RAPPORT DE FORAGE, AUTOMNE 1994, PROPRIETE DOLBO - OPTION CAMBIOR. CORPORATION MINIERE INMET. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 254 pages et 8 plans.

[GM 54051](#)

LEVESQUE, P. 1994. RAPPORT DE FORAGE, HIVER 1994, PROPRIETE DOLBO - OPTION CAMBIOR. CORPORATION MINIERE INMET-DIVISION EXPLORATION. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 501 pages et 17 plans.

[GM 54052](#)

LEVESQUE, P. 1994. RAPPORT DE FORAGE, HIVER 1993-1994, PROPRIETE MURGOR. RESSOURCES MURGOR INC, CORPORATION MINIERE INMET. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 103 pages et 6 plans.

[GM 55029](#)

LEVESQUE, P. 1994. RAPPORT DE FORAGE, PROPRIETE DOLBO. CORPORATION MINIERE METALL, CAMBIOR INC. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 255 pages et 6 plans.

[GM 55031](#)

LEVESQUE, P. 1994. RAPPORT DE FORAGE HIVER 1994, PROPRIETE DOLBO - OPTION CAMBIOR. CORPORATION MINIERE METAL, CAMBIOR INC. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 673 pages et 17 plans. [GM 51778](#)

COOKE, C M. 1993. DIAMOND DRILLING LOGS, KAP FAULT PROJECT. RESSOURCES AUR INC. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 45 pages et 2 plans.

[GM 51864](#)

BOILEAU, P. 1993. REPORT ON MAGNETIC (TOTAL FIELD & VERTICAL GRADIENT) AND ELECTROMAGNETIC (V L F-NAA & NSS) SURVEYS, KAP FAULT PROPERTY. RESSOURCES AUR INC. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 12 pages et 8 plans.

[GM 52088](#)

VINCENT, R., LEGARE, S. 1993. RAPPORT DE FORAGE ETE 1990 - HIVER 1991, PROPRIETES DIANA-OBATOGAMAU, HAUFAN ET FANCAMP. MINNOVA INC. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 753 pages et 20 plans.

[GM 52099](#)

LEBLANC, E. 1993. RAPPORT DE CARTOGRAPHIE, ETE 1991 PROPRIETE HAUFAN. MINNOVA INC. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 35 pages et 3 plans.

[GM 52100](#)

LAMBERT, G. 1993. LEVES DE POLARISATION PROVOQUEE, PROPRIETE CHEVRIER. MINNOVA INC. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 11 pages et 109 plans.

[GM 52748](#)

ASSELIN, R. 1993. RAPPORT DE LA CARTOGRAPHIE GEOLOGIQUE, ETE 1993, PROPRIETE DOLBO. CORPORATION MINIERE METALL. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 65 pages et 4 plans.

[GM 53112](#)

SMITH, P H. 1993. REPORT ON CURRENT WORK, LA DAUVERSIERE PROPERTY. RESSOURCES FANCAMP LTEE. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 9 pages et 2 plans.

[GM 51718](#)

HOPSON, R N. 1992. REPORT ON EXPLORATION ACTIVITIES 1991, MURGOR PROPERTY. MINNOVA INC. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 89 pages et 22 plans. [GM 50533](#)

CAMPBELL, R A. 1991. REPORT ON THE TOTAL FIELD MAGNETIC, VERTICAL GRADIENT MAGNETIC, VERY LOW FREQUENCY - ELECTROMAGNETIC AND HORIZONTAL LOOP-ELECTROMAGNETIC SURVEYS ON THE PROPERTY OF HARRY FERDERBER. CLAIMS FERDERBER. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 20 pages et 6 plans.

[GM 49728](#)

BOILEAU, P. 1990. RESULTATS DES LEVES GEOPHYSIQUES AU SOL (EM-VLF ET MAG-GRADIENT), PROPRIETE FANCAMP A-B. SEREM QUEBEC INC. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 13 pages et 11 plans.

[GM 50095](#)

VINCENT, R., LEGARE, S. 1990. RAPPORT DE FORAGE HIVER 1990, PROPRIETE DIANA-OBATOGAMAU. MINNOVA INC. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 369 pages et 8 plans.

[GM 50103](#)

LORTIE, P. 1990. RAPPORT D'INTERPRETATION DES LEVES GEOPHYSIQUES AU SOL, PROJET HAUFAN. MINNOVA INC. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 16 pages et 16 plans.

[GM 50176](#)

RAYMOND, D. 1990 DIAMOND DRILLING REPORT, CHEVRIER LAKE PROJECT. EXPLORATIONS FAIRFIELD INC, DEJOUR MINES LTD. Rapport statuaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 71 pages et 6 plans.

[GM 50182](#)

BERTHELOT, P B. 1990. RAPPORT DES TRAVAUX EFFECTUES SUR LA PROPRIETE FANCAMP A-B. SEREM QUEBEC INC. Rapport statuaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 31 pages et 2 plans.

[GM 50189](#)

LORTIE, P. 1990. LEVES DE POLARISATION PROVOQUEE, PROJET DIANA/OBATOGAMAU. MINNOVA INC. Rapport statuaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 28 pages et 85 plans.

[GM 50699](#)

VACHON, A. 1979. COMPTE RENDU DES LEVES GEOLOGIQUES SUR LES GROUPES FANCAMP "A", "B" ET "C" ET OPTION SALT ET DES LEVES GEOPHYSIQUES HEM ET MAG SUR LE GROUPE FANCAMP "B". SEREM LTEE. Rapport statuaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 31 pages et 9 plans.

[GM 69653](#)

HAMILTON, W., ARSENEAU, V. 1979. EXPLORATION PROGRESS 1978, CHIBOUGAMAU EXPLORATION JOINT VENTURE. CAMPBELL CHIBOUGAMAU MINES LTD. Rapport statuaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 61 pages et 39 plans.

[GM 51015](#)

LEGARE, S. 1990. RAPPORT DE FORAGE, HIVER 1990, PROPRIETE FANCAMP. MINNOVA INC. Rapport statuaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 105 pages et 5 plans.

[GM 48555](#)

FISET, N. 1989. REPORT ON AN IP / RESISTIVITY SURVEY, LAC OBATOGAMAU AREA. MINNOVA INC. Rapport statuaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 3 pages et 4 plans.

[GM 49190](#)

MCRBERTS, S. 1989. REPORT ON THE RECONNAISSANCE AND DETAILED GEOLOGICAL MAPPING, CHEVRIER LAKE PROPERTY. EXPLORATIONS FAIRFIELD INC, DEJOUR MINES LTD. Rapport statuaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 35 pages et 2 plans.

[GM 49256](#)

MCRBERTS, S. 1989. REPORT ON THE RECONNAISSANCE AND DETAILED GEOLOGICAL MAPPING, FANCAMP PROPERTY. MINEFINDERS CORP LTD. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 19 pages et 1 plan.

[GM 49332](#)

LANTHIER, G., BRISSON, T. 1989. RAPPORT SOMMAIRE, PROPRIETE ISLAND. MINEFINDERS CORP LTD. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 10 pages et 1 plan.

[GM 49391](#)

VINCENT, R., LEGARE, S. 1989. RAPPORT SUR LES TRAVAUX D'EXPLORATION, PROPRIETE DIANA-OBATOGAMAU. MINNOVA INC. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 471 pages et 17 plans.

[GM 49437](#)

GAUDREAU, D. 1989. 1989 DIAMOND DRILLING PROGRAM, CHEVRIER LAKE PROJECT. EXPLORATIONS FAIRFIELD INC, DEJOUR MINES LTD. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 52 pages et 7 plans.

[GM 49442](#)

MCRBERTS, S. 1989. REPORT ON THE TRENCHING PROGRAM, CHEVRIER LAKE PROPERTY. FAIRFIELD EXPLS INC, DEJOUR MINES LTD. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 22 pages et 3 plans.

[GM 46240](#)

BATE, S J. 1988. REPORT ON MAGNETOMETER AND VLF-ELECTROMAGNETIC SURVEYS, LAC CHEVRIER/DIANA PROJECT. MINNOVA INC. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 39 pages et 4 plans.

[GM 46477](#)

MCCURDY, S E. 1988. A REPORT ON GEOPHYSICAL SURVEYS CONDUCTED IN FANCAMP TOWNSHIP. RESSOURCES MURGOR INC. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 14 pages et 1 plan.

[GM 47331](#)

LAMBERT, G. 1988. RAPPORT GEOPHYSIQUE, LEVE MAGNETIQUE AU SOL, PROPRIETE QUEYLUS. CLAIMS MAXWELL. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 9 pages et 3 plans.

[GM 47416](#)

NICHOLLS, P R J., DRURY, R., BETZ, J E., BUSSIERES, Y. 1988. SUMMARY OF EXPLORATION AND WORK PROPOSAL, HAUY CLAIMS. RESSOURCES WESTMIN LTEE. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 77 pages et 18 plans.

[GM 47467](#)

OUELLET, R., BRISSON, H. 1988. RAPPORT DE FORAGE, GROUPE DIANA. MINNOVA INC. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 325 pages et 51 plans.

[GM 47511](#)

BATE, S J. 1988. REPORT ON MAGNETOMETER AND VLF-ELECTROMAGNETIC SURVEYS, LAC CHEVRIER PROJECT PN-318. MINNOVA INC. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 55 pages et 4 plans.

[GM 47687](#)

PATENAUDE, C. 1988. PROPERTY EVALUATION REPORT, QUEYLUS PROPERTY. CLAIMS SORRANT. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 39 pages et 2 plans.

[GM 47710](#)

BATE, S. 1988. REPORT ON MAGNETOMETER AND VLF-ELECTROMAGNETIC SURVEYS, FANCAMP PROPERTY. RESSOURCES FANCAMP LTEE. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 30 pages et 4 plans.

[GM 47711](#)

MCCURDY, S E. 1988. A REPORT ON GEOPHYSICAL SURVEYS, FANCAMP TOWNSHIP. RESSOURCES MURGOR INC. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 30 pages et 6 plans.

[GM 47949](#)

LEGARE, S., OUELLET, R. 1988. RAPPORT GEOLOGIQUE, GROUPE DIANA. MINNOVA INC. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 27 pages et 24 plans.

[GM 48185](#)

BRASSARD, S D. 1988. RAPPORT GEOLOGIQUE, PROPRIETE QUEYLUS CLAIMS. MINNOVA INC. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 32 pages et 3 plans.

[GM 48374](#)

NICHOLLS, P R. 1988. REPORT ON GEOLOGY, SOIL GEOCHEMISTRY AND GEOPHYSICS COMPLETED IN 1988, HAUY CLAIMS. RESSOURCES WESTMIN LTEE. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 37 pages et 19 plans.

[GM 48495](#)

BUSSIERES, Y. 1988. DIAMOND DRILL CORE LOG, PROPERTY FANCAMP. RESSOURCES ACHATES LTEE. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 61 pages et 1 plan.

[GM 48552](#)

OUELLET, R., PERRY, C. 1988. RAPPORT SUR LA CAMPAGNE DE FORAGE 1988, GROUPE DIANA. MINNOVA INC. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 279 pages et 31 plans.

[GM 48556](#)

MINNOVA INC. 1988. RAPPORT GEOLOGIQUE 1988, PROPRIETE DIANA-OBATOGAMAU. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 36 pages et 2 plans.

[GM 45264](#)

OUELLET, R. 1987. RAPPORT SUR LA VISITE DE LA PROPRIETE DIANA OBATOGAMAU. RESSOURCES DIANE LTEE. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 11 pages.

[GM 45356](#)

BOUCHARD, G. 1987. LEVE D'HUMUS, PROPRIETE FANCAMP 1. EXPLORATIONS NORANDA LTEE. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 30 pages et 1 plan.

[GM 45724](#)

LAMOTHE, G. 1987. RAPPORT DE LEVES GEOPHYSIQUES, PROPRIETE FANCAMP. RESSOURCES ACHATES LTEE. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 12 pages et 5 plans.

[GM 46133](#)

WOOLHAM, R W. 1987. REPORT ON THE GEOPHYSICAL SURVEYS, HAUY PROJECT. FAIRFIELD EXPLS INC, DEJOUR MINES LTD. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 15 pages et 21 plans.

[GM 46296](#)

STOCH, J. 1987. GEOPHYSICAL SURVEYS IN HAUY TOWNSHIP. EXPLORATIONS FAIRFIELD INC. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 7 pages et 2 plans.

[GM 46815](#)

OWEN, J R., WOOLHAM, R W. 1987. REPORT ON 1987 GEOLOGICAL MAPPING AND VLF ELECTROMAGNETIC SURVEY, HAUY PROJECT. DEJOUR MINES LTD. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 48 pages et 4 plans.

[GM 42842](#)

PATENAUDE, C., CHARTRE, E. 1986. LEVES GEOPHYSIQUES, PROPRIETE CANTON FANCAMP. G J HINSE GEOLOGICAL SERVS LTD. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 8 pages et 9 plans.

[GM 42981](#)

OUELLET, R., BOUCHARD, D. 1986. RAPPORT SUR LES TRAVAUX, PROJET DIANA. CORPORATION FALCONBRIDGE CU. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 526 pages et 78 plans.

[GM 43012](#)

BERUBE, P. 1986. LEVES GEOPHYSIQUES AU SOL, REGION DU LAC CALMOR. CORPORATION FALCONBRIDGE CU. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 28 pages et 34 plans.

[GM 43024](#)

VACHON, A. 1986. CAMPAGNE D'EXPLORATION, PROJET FANCAMP 100993. SOQUEM. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 104 pages et 16 plans.

[GM 43072](#)

HUBERT, J M. 1986. RAPPORT PRELIMINAIRE DES LEVES ELECTROMAGNETIQUE T.B.F. & MAGNETOMETRIQUE, PROJET LA DAUVERSIERE (101008). SOQUEM. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 6 pages et 8 plans.

[GM 43369](#)

BUSSIÈRES, Y. 1986. CAMPAGNE D'EXPLORATION, PROJET LA DAUVERSIERE. SOQUEM. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 27 pages et 8 plans.

[GM 43473](#)

LARONDE, D. D., CHARTRE, E. 1986. GEOPHYSICAL SURVEYS, FANCAMP RESOURCES. RESSOURCES FANCAMP LTEE. Rapport statuaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 7 pages et 10 plans.

[GM 43531](#)

ROBINSON, S. D. 1986. REPORT ON THE 1985 RECONNAISSANCE GEOLOGICAL MAPPING PROGRAM, HAUY PROJECT. DEJOUR MINES LTD. Rapport statuaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 15 pages et 1 plan.

[GM 43790](#)

MICHAUD, Y. 1986. RAPPORT SUR LE LEVE GEOLOGIQUE, CLAIMS MAXWELL. CLAIMS MAXWELL. Rapport statuaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 9 pages.

[GM 43818](#)

LARONDE, D., CHARTRE, E. 1986. GEOPHYSICAL SURVEYS, FANCAMP PROPERTY. RESSOURCES DIANE LTEE. Rapport statuaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 6 pages et 2 plans.

[GM 43825](#)

LARONDE, D., CHARTRE, E. 1986. GEOPHYSICAL SURVEYS. RESSOURCES FANCAMP LTEE. Rapport statuaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 12 pages et 5 plans.

[GM 43854](#)

LARONDE, D., CHARTRE, E. 1986. GEOPHYSICAL SURVEYS, FANCAMP PROPERTY. FREEWEST GOLD CORP. Rapport statuaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 6 pages et 4 plans.

[GM 44552](#)

LAMBERT, G. 1986. REPORT ON AN INDUCED POLARIZATION SURVEY, QUEYLUS 1 CLAIM GROUP. EXPLORATIONS NORANDA LTEE. Rapport statuaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 9 pages et 31 plans.

[GM 44612](#)

TREMBLAY, L. 1986. RAPPORT GEOLOGIQUE QUEYLUS, GRILLAGE CENTRAL. EXPLORATIONS NORANDA LTEE. Rapport statuaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 25 pages et 1 plan.

[GM 42139](#)

MORDAUNT, P. 1985. DIAMOND DRILL RECORD, LAC MUSCOCHO PROPERTY. EXPLORATIONS MUSCOCHO LTEE. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 4 pages et 1 plan.

[GM 42248](#)

MORDAUNT, P. 1985. GEOPHYSICAL REPORT, MAX-MIN II HLEM SURVEY, LAC MUSCOCHO PROPERTY. EXPLORATIONS MUSCOCHO LTEE. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 9 pages et 2 plans.

[GM 42250](#)

MORDAUNT, P. 1985. GEOPHYSICAL REPORT, VLF-EM RADEM SURVEY, LAC MUSCOCHO PROPERTY. EXPLORATIONS MUSCOCHO LTEE. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 9 pages et 2 plans.

[GM 42391](#)

THERIAULT, G. 1985. RAPPORT DE LA CAMPAGNE DE PROSPECTION, PROJET ERATIX 100973. SOQUEM. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 87 pages et 2 plans.

[GM 42458](#)

SMITH, P H. 1985. NOTES, BASAL TILL SAMPLING PROGRAM, OBATOGAMAU PROJECT. RESSOURCES DIANE LTEE. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 161 pages et 1 plan.

[GM 42460](#)

WOOLHAM, R W. 1985. REPORT ON GEOPHYSICAL SURVEYS ON THE HAUY CLAIM GROUP. DEJOUR MINES LTD. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 14 pages et 5 plans.

[GM 42557](#)

TITTLE, H Z. 1985. LEVES MAGNETIQUE ET ELECTROMAGNETIQUE TBF, PROJET FANCAMP. SOQUEM. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 13 pages et 34 plans.

[GM 42559](#)

BERUBE, P. 1985. LEVES GEOPHYSIQUES AU SOL, OPTION LAC CALMOR. CORPORATION FALCONBRIDGE CU. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 18 pages et 24 plans.

[GM 42809](#)

MARLEAU, R A. 1985. GEOCHEMICAL PROSPECTING, QUEYLUS. CLAIMS FARRELL. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 11 pages et 1 plan.

[GM 43817](#)

SMITH, P. 1985. DIANA OBATOGAMAU PROPERTY. RESSOURCES DIANE LTEE. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 4 pages.

[GM 40489](#)

SMITH, P H. 1984. REPORT ON THE DIANA RESOURCES PROPERTY, QUEYLUS TOWNSHIP. RESSOURCES DIANE LTEE. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 25 pages.

[GM 41098](#)

BRUNELLE, S. 1984. APEX MAX-MIN II, HORIZONTAL LOOP EM SURVEY, MUSCOCHO LAKE PROPERTY. EXPLORATIONS MUSCOCHO LTEE. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 7 pages et 2 plans.

[GM 41361](#)

ARCHER, P., TREMBLAY, E. 1984. RECONNAISSANCE GEOLOGIQUE, DECAPAGE MECANIQUE ET CARTOGRAPHIE DE DETAIL, PROPRIETE FANCAMP 1. EXPLORATIONS NORANDA LTEE. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 17 pages et 3 plans.

[GM 41385](#)

YEE, R D C. 1984. REPORT ON COMBINED HELICOPTER-BORNE MAGNETIC AND ELECTROMAGNETIC SURVEY. CLAIMS DERRY. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 33 pages et 40 plans.

[GM 41494](#)

SMITH, P H. 1984. A REPORT ON THE OBATOGAMAU GROUP. CLAIMS ROBBINS. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 14 pages et 1 plan.

[GM 41503](#)

SMITH, P H., YEE, R D C. 1984. REPORT ON COMBINED HELICOPTER-BORNE, MAGNETIC AND ELECTROMAGNETIC SURVEYS. RESSOURCES DIANE LTEE. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 37 pages et 4 plans.

[GM 41553](#)

SMITH, P H. 1984. A REPORT ON THE N.W. FANCAMP PROPERTY. RESSOURCES ACHATES LTEE. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 13 pages et 1 plan.

[GM 41782](#)

COUTURE, B. 1984. RAPPORT D'ACTIVITES, GEOLOGIE ET GEOPHYSIQUE, PROJET SOUTH BELT, PROPRIETE HAUY-1. MINES CAMCHIB INC. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 32 pages et 19 plans.

[GM 41999](#)

FOURNIER, G., ARCHER, P. 1984. RAPPORT GEOLOGIQUE, QUEYLUS 1, GRILLAGE CENTRAL. EXPLORATIONS NORANDA LTEE. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 22 pages et 1 plan.

[GM 40083](#)

BRUNELLE, S. 1983. APEX MAX-MIN II, HORIZONTAL LOOP E-M SURVEY, HAUY TOWNSHIP. EXPLORATIONS MUSCOCHO LTEE. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 5 pages et 2 plans.

[GM 40599](#)

MARLEAU, R A. 1983. MAGNETIC AND ELECTROMAGNETIC SURVEYS, QUEYLUS PROPERTY. RAPHAEL RESOURCES LTD, CLAIMS ROBINETTE. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 12 pages et 2 plans.

[GM 38637](#)

BRUNELLE, S. 1982. APEX MAX MIN II HORIZONTAL LOOP E-M SURVEY. EXPLORATIONS NORANDA LTEE. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 5 pages et 2 plans.

[GM 39553](#)

MARLEAU, R A. 1982. REPORT ON A VLF SURVEY AND DETAILED PROSPECTING. RESSOURCES VILLEBON LTEE, CLAIMS ROBBINS. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 3 pages et 1 plan.

[GM 37219](#)

DUQUETTE, A., VACHON, A. 1981. RESULTAT DES LEVES HEM ET MAG EFFECTUES AU COURS DE L'HIVER 1980 SUR LA PROPRIETE FANCAMP A-B, PROJET NW QUEBECOIS. SEREM LTEE. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 17 pages et 7 plans.

[GM 36024](#)

DESCARREAUX, J. 1980. RAPPORT SUR LA PROPRIETE TALBOT-TREMBLAY. CLAIMS TREMBLAY, CLAIMS TALBOT. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 18 pages.

[GM 36586](#)

SCHRIJVER, K. 1980. PROGRESS REPORT, SOUTH BELL PROJECT, QUEYLUS 4 GROUP. CAMPBELL CHIBOUGAMAU MINES LTD. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 10 pages et 2 plans.

[GM 38173](#)

SAUVE, P., CAUSSE, J L., SALAMIS, C. 1980. GEOPHYSIQUE ET FORAGE SUR UN GROUPE DE CLAIMS. S D B J. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 17 pages et 2 plans.

[GM 39075](#)

CASTONGUAY, J. 1980. PROGRESS REPORT AND DIAMOND DRILLING REPORT, CHIBOUGAMAU PROJECT. SHELL CANADA LTEE. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 68 pages et 13 plans.

[GM 34804](#)

VACHON, A. 1979. COMPTE RENDU DU LEVE GEOLOGIQUE SUR LE GROUPE FANCAMP A, PROJET NW QUEBECOIS. SEREM LTEE. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 8 pages et 1 plan.

[GM 34865](#)

HAMILTON, W. 1979. PROGRESS REPORT, SOUTH BELT PROJECT, QUEYLUS 4 GROUP. CAMPBELL CHIBOUGAMAU MINES LTD. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 6 pages et 6 plans.

[GM 35568](#)

BOILEAU, P. 1979. LEVES GEOPHYSIQUES AU SOL SUR LA PROPRIETE FANCAMP B, PROJET NW QUEBECOIS, SECTEUR DE CHIBOUGAMAU. SEREM LTEE. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 10 pages et 2 plans.

[GM 36073](#)

DUQUETTE, A., VACHON, A. 1979. COMPTE RENDU DES LEVES GEOLOGIQUES, GEOPHYSIQUES ET GEOCHIMIQUES DURANT LA PERIODE D'AVRIL A DECEMBRE 1979 SUR LES GROUPEES FANCAMP A-B ET C, PROJET NW QUEBECOIS, SECTEUR CHIBOUGAMAU. SEREM LTEE. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 29 pages et 11 plans.

[GM 36074](#)

VACHON, A. 1979. COMPTE RENDU DES SONDAGES SUR LES GROUPES FANCAMP A ET C, PROJET NW QUEBECOIS, SECTEUR DE CHIBOUGAMAU. SEREM LTEE. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 84 pages et 3 plans.

[GM 34333](#)

VACHON, A. 1978. LEVE GEOLOGIQUE DETAILLE DU GROUPE FANCAMP B, PROJET NW QUEBECOIS. SEREM LTEE. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 8 pages.

[GM 34164](#)

WATSON, R K. 1977. HELICOPTER GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY. S D B J. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 44 pages et 6 plans.

[GM 33913](#)

FORD, G. 1978. PROGRESS REPORT, QUEYLUS 3 AND 4 GROUPS. CAMPBELL CHIBOUGAMAU MINES LTD. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 4 pages et 16 plans.

[GM 33833](#)

MURDY, A. 1978. REPORT ON FANCAMP TOWNSHIP EXPLORATION PROGRAMME. PATINO MINES [QUEBEC] LTD. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 9 pages et 10 plans.

[GM 33746](#)

MCADAM, J. 1978. REPORT ON ELECTROMAGNETIC SURVEY. MUSCOCHO EXPLS LTD. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 4 pages et 1 plan.

[GM 33726](#)

BOILEAU, P. 1978. LEVES ELECTROMAGNETIQUE ET MAGNETOMETRIQUE SUR LA PROPRIETE FANCAMP A, PROJET NW QUEBECOIS. SEREM LTEE. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 13 pages et 2 plans.

[GM 33660](#)

MACTAVISH, R O. 1978. ELECTROMAGNETIC SURVEY OF GROUP X, CHIBOUGAMAU PROJECT. HUDSON BAY EXPL & DEV CO LTD. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 3 pages et 1 plan.

[GM 33658](#)

CLOUTIER, J P. 1978. DIAMOND DRILLING REPORT. FALCONBRIDGE NICKEL MINES LTD. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 30 pages.

[GM 33462](#)

BURTON, G B., ROBERTSHAW, P. 1978. REPORT ON GEOPHYSICAL SURVEYS PERFORMED DURING 1977, WINDY PROJECT, LAC DES VENTS. COMINCO LTEE. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 8 pages et 13 plans.

[GM 33352](#)

LAVOIE, C. 1977. REPORT ON GEOPHYSICAL SURVEYS, HAUY PROJECT. FALCONBRIDGE NICKEL MINES LTD, CLAIMS CAUSSE. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 12 pages et 7 plans.

[GM 32716](#)

BONNEAU, J. 1976. CAMPAGNE DE FORAGE 1975 ET COMPREHENSION GEOLOGIQUE, PROJET SEPT LIEUX 11-490. SOQUEM, MINES QUEYLUS LTEE. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 174 pages et 11 plans.

[GM 32714](#)

THERIAULT, G. 1976. RAPPORT GEOPHYSIQUE, LEVE DE POLARISATION PROVOQUEE, PROJET SEPT-LIEUX 11-490. SOQUEM, MINES QUEYLUS LTEE. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 4 pages et 2 plans.

[GM 31137](#)

LAVOIE, C. 1975. RAPPORT GEOPHYSIQUE DE POLARISATION PROVOQUEE, PROJET SEPT-LIEUX 11-490. SOQUEM. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 11 pages et 12 plans.

[GM 31000](#)

NIELS, R. 1974. DIAMOND DRILL RECORD, BROWN DINGO PROPERTY. PATINO MINES [QUEBEC] LTD. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 7 pages et 1 plan.

[GM 30684](#)

GILMAN, W. 1974. GEOLOGICAL REPORT, QUEYLUS GOLD GROUP. CHIBOUGAMAU MNG & SMTG CO INC. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 5 pages et 1 plan.

[GM 30673](#)

BARTON, E S., BERTHAULT, B., L'ECUYER, R., LACASSE, J., RICHARD, M. 1975. RAPPORT SOMMAIRE, CAMPAGNE D'EXPLORATION JUIN A OCTOBRE 1974, PROJET SEPT-LIEUX 11-490. SOQUEM. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 151 pages et 42 plans.

[GM 30607](#)

BLACK, A., BRANDER, J M. 1975. ASSESSMENT REPORT, A-B CLAIM GROUP. SHELL CANADA LTD. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 49 pages et 6 plans.

[GM 30592](#)

BERGMANN, H J. 1974. REPORT ON ELECTROMAGNETIC SURVEYS. HUDSON BAY EXPL & DEV CO LTD. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 9 pages et 6 plans.

[GM 30074](#)

BARTON, E S. 1974. RAPPORT SUR LA CAMPAGNE DE GEOLOGIE ET ECHANTILLONNAGE, PROJET SEPT-LIEUX (11-490). SOQUEM. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 26 pages et 4 plans.

[GM 29931](#)

BARTON, E S., RICHARD, M. 1974. RAPPORT SUR LA CAMPAGNE DE FORAGE DE MORT-TERRAIN, PROJET SEPT LIEUX 11-490. SOQUEM. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 7 pages.

[GM 29924](#)

CLAIMS BOULANGER. 1972. JOURNAL DE FORAGE. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 2 pages.

[GM 29855](#)

LORD, C C., PATEL, J. 1974. EXPLORATION PROGRAMME, PROPERTY BROWN DINGO. PATINO MINES [QUEBEC] LTD. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 9 pages et 2 plans.

[GM 28936](#)

PATEL, J. 1973. EXPLORATION PROGRAM, PROPERTY V-13. PATINO MINING CORP. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 6 pages et 2 plans.

[GM 28907](#)

KOSKITALO, L O., SIDDELEY, G. 1973. SUMMARY OF REPORTS. CHIBOUGAMAU MNG & SMTG CO INC, BLOUIN LAKE GOLD MINES LTD. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 19 pages et 13 plans.

[GM 27758](#)

HAMILTON, E C. 1971. GEOLOGICAL REPORT, GOUDREAU LAKE AREA CLAIM GROUP. CHIBOUGAMAU MNG & SMTG CO INC. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 7 pages et 3 plans.

[GM 23305](#)

KRAUSE, C A. 1968. DIAMOND DRILL RECORD. CLAIMS GODIN, CAMPBELL CHIBOUGAMAU MINES LTD. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 6 pages.

[GM 22263](#)

BRITTON, J W. 1968. GEOPHYSICAL SURVEYS ON AUDET OPTION. NORANDA EXPL CO LTD, CLAIMS AUDET. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 3 pages et 2 plans.

[GM 22262](#)

MACFARLANE, R L. 1968. GEOLOGICAL REPORT ON AUDET OPTION. NORANDA EXPL CO LTD, CLAIMS AUDET. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 5 pages et 1 plan.

[GM 18407](#)

BIBEAU, N. 1966. 1 CROQUIS DE LOCALISATION DES TRAVAUX DE SURFACE. CLAIMS RONDEAU, CLAIMS BIBEAU. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 1 page.

[GM 14330](#)

PUDIFIN, A D. 1964. REPORT ON MINING PROPERTIES. MUSCOCHO EXPLS LTD. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 10 pages et 4 plans.

[GM 13301](#)

SOC EXPL MIN BELANGER-OWENS. 1963. LOCATION MAP OF SURFACE WORKS. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 1 plan.

[GM 13447](#)

MCADAM, J. 1963. MAGNETOMETER SURVEY. SOC EXPL MIN BELANGER-OWENS, MERRILL ISLAND MINING CORP LTD. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 4 pages et 1 plan.

[GM 13660](#)

PUDIFIN, A D. 1965. GEOLOGICAL REPORT. MUSCOCHO EXPLS LTD. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 15 pages et 3 plans.

[GM 12420](#)

PUDIFIN, A D. 1963. GEOLOGIST'S REPORT. MUSCOCHO EXPLS LTD. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 10 pages.

[GM 12988](#)

MCADAM, J. 1963. DIAMOND DRILL RECORD. MUSCOCHO EXPLS LTD. Rapport statuaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 3 pages et 1 plan.

[GM 08950](#)

ASSAD, J R. 1959. REPORT ON THE PROPERTY. VALCO MINES CO. Rapport statuaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 3 pages.

[GM 08904](#)

LACAILLE, G E. 1959. REPORT ON ELECTROMAGNETIC SURVEY. VALCO MINES CO. Rapport statuaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 5 pages et 1 plan.

[GM 07296](#)

HOGAN, H R., MCCUAIG, J A. 1958. REPORT ON MINING PROPERTIES. DADSON LAKE CHIBOUGAMAU M L. Rapport statuaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 26 pages et 1 plan.

[GM 06345-A](#)

WAY, H G. 1956. GEOLOGICAL REPORT. EMPIRE OIL & MINERALS INC. Rapport statuaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 5 pages.

[GM 06345-B](#)

CUNNINGHAM, R C. 1957. RECONNAISSANCE OF THE HAUY TOWNSHIP CLAIMS. EMPIRE OIL & MINERALS INC. Rapport statuaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 4 pages.

[GM 05303](#)

LECLERC, A., ST-PIERRE, P E. 1957. REPORT ON MAGNETIC AND ELECTROMAGNETIC SURVEYS. CLAIMS MURDOCH. Rapport statuaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 5 pages et 2 plans.

[GM 04827](#)

DAVIES, J F B. 1956. GEOLOGICAL REPORT. ENTERPRISE MINING CO LTD. Rapport statuaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 1 page.

[GM 04681](#)

FLANAGAN, J T., MCADAM, J. 1956. REPORT ON MAGNETIC SURVEY. CROWN CHIBOUGAMAU MINES LTD, CLAIMS DURANLEAU. Rapport statuaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 4 pages et 1 plan.

[GM 04108](#)

MORGAN, J H. 1956. GEOLOGICAL REPORT. QUEEN CHIBOUGAMAU MINES LTD. Rapport statuaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 4 pages.

[GM 04074](#)

HINSE, R. 1956. REPORT ON MAGNETIC SURVEY INCLUDING LINE CUTTING AND SURFACE MAPPING. CLAIMS LORTIE, CLAIMS CANTIN. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 2 pages et 3 plans.

[GM 03438-A](#)

MORGAN, J H. 1955. WORKS PERFORMED ON 4 PROPERTIES. BURREX MINES LTD. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 10 pages.

[GM 03438-B](#)

BURR, S V. 1955. 5 DDH LOGS. BURREX MINES LTD. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 5 pages.

[GM 02918-A](#)

WRIGHT, J D. 1953. GEOLOGT OF HAUY TOWNSHIP CLAIMS GROUP I. DOMINION GULF CO. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 5 pages et 1 plan.

[GM 02918-B](#)

WRIGHT, J D. 1954. DIAMOND DRILL RECORD, HAUY I CLAIM GROUP PROPERTY. DOMINION GULF CO. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 10 pages.

[GM 02407](#)

RATCLIFFE, J H. 1953. INTERPRETATION OF GROUND MAGNETOMETER SURVEY DATA, HAUY I. DOMINION GULF CO. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. 7 pages et 1 plan.

ges et 2 plans.

[GM 02341](#)

CAMERON, D E. 1952. SUMMARY REPORT, REPORT A. COMMONWEALTH EXPL LTD. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 10 pages.

[GM 02033](#)

GAMEY, C E. 1952. MUSCOCHO LAKE. NORANDA MINES LTD. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 5 pa

[GM 01841](#)

CAMERON, D E. 1952. THE LIPSETT GROUP. COMMONWEALTH EXPL LTD. Rapport statutaire soumis au gouvernement du Québec. , 5 pages.

Appendix II - Laboratory Assay Results for corroboration



ALS Canada Ltd.
2103 Dollarton Hwy
North Vancouver BC V7H 0A7
Phone: +1 (604) 984 0221 Fax: +1 (604) 984 0218
www.alsglobal.com/geochemistry

To: CHEVRIER METALS CORPORATION
409 GRANVILLE STREET, SUITE 500
VANCOUVER BC V6C 1T2

Page: 1
Total # Pages: 3 (A)
Plus Appendix Pages
Finalized Date: 11- JAN- 2019
Account: CMHEET

CERTIFICATE VO18313502

Project: Chevrier

This report is for 75 Drill Core samples submitted to our lab in Val d'Or, QC, Canada on 6- DEC- 2018.

The following have access to data associated with this certificate:

MARC JUTRAS

ANDRÉ LIBOIRON

SAMPLE PREPARATION

ALS CODE	DESCRIPTION
WEI- 21	Received Sample Weight
LOG- 24	Pulp Login - Rcd w/o Barcode
CRU- QC	Crushing QC Test
LOG- QC	QC Test on Received Samples
PUL- QC	Pulverizing QC Test
LOG- 22	Sample login - Rcd w/o BarCode
CRU- 31	Fine crushing - 70% <2mm
SPL- 21	Split sample - riffle splitter
PUL- 31	Pulverize split to 85% <75 um

ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

ALS CODE	DESCRIPTION	INSTRUMENT
Au- ICP22	Au 50g FA ICP- AES finish	ICP- AES
Au- GRA22	Au 50 g FA- GRAV finish	WST- SIM

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this certificate number. Results apply to samples as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

***** See Appendix Page for comments regarding this certificate *****

Signature:

Colin Ramshaw, Vancouver Laboratory Manager



ALS Canada Ltd.
 2103 Dollarton Hwy
 North Vancouver BC V7H 0A7
 Phone: +1 (604) 984 0221 Fax: +1 (604) 984 0218
 www.alsglobal.com/geochemistry

To: CHEVRIER METALS CORPORATION
 409 GRANVILLE STREET, SUITE 500
 VANCOUVER BC V6C 1T2

Page: 2 - A
 Total # Pages: 3 (A)
 Plus Appendix Pages
 Finalized Date: 11-JAN-2019
 Account: CMHEET

Project: Chevrier

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS VO18313502

Sample Description	Method Analyte Units LOD	WEI- 21	Au- ICP22	Au- GRA22
		Recvd Wt. kg	Au ppm	Au ppm
		0.02	0.001	0.05
675051		0.87	0.005	
675052		0.61	>10.0	16.55
675053		0.93	2.29	
675054		0.88	3.55	
675055		0.59	7.41	
675056		0.50	2.31	
675057		0.69	5.18	
675058		1.12	5.20	
675059		0.89	1.725	
675060		0.59	0.965	
675061		0.87	0.020	
675062		0.62	0.807	
675063		0.44	6.07	
675064		0.48	0.921	
675065		0.40	2.78	
675066		0.40	2.17	
675067		0.55	5.85	
675068		0.11	5.11	
675069		0.49	1.805	
675070		0.96	1.200	
675071		1.05	1.155	
675072		1.35	0.380	
675073		1.10	>10.0	15.65
675074		1.88	2.74	
675075		1.75	3.57	
675076		1.32	6.58	
675077		0.90	3.10	
675078		1.19	6.24	
675079		1.92	3.25	
675080		1.61	1.390	
675081		1.02	1.265	
675082		1.70	0.002	
675083		0.52	9.60	
675084		0.86	3.58	
675085		1.00	1.615	
675086		0.66	4.72	
675087		0.57	4.38	
675088		0.89	8.03	
675089		0.11	5.23	
675090		0.67	1.995	



ALS Canada Ltd.
 2103 Dollarton Hwy
 North Vancouver BC V7H 0A7
 Phone: +1 (604) 984 0221 Fax: +1 (604) 984 0218
 www.alsglobal.com/geochemistry

To: CHEVRIER METALS CORPORATION
 409 GRANVILLE STREET, SUITE 500
 VANCOUVER BC V6C 1T2

Page: 3 - A
 Total # Pages: 3 (A)
 Plus Appendix Pages
 Finalized Date: 11-JAN-2019
 Account: CMHEET

Project: Chevrier

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS VO18313502

Sample Description	Method Analyte Units LOD	WEI- 21	Au- ICP22	Au- GRA22
		Recvd Wt. kg	Au ppm	Au ppm
		0.02	0.001	0.05
675091		1.29	1.890	
675092		1.59	1.575	
675093		2.16	0.063	
675094		1.44	0.033	
675095		0.52	4.92	
675096		0.37	2.10	
675097		0.38	5.63	
675098		0.51	1.665	
675099		0.64	9.26	
675100		0.56	2.47	
675101		0.31	2.32	
675102		0.53	1.150	
675103		0.95	6.19	
675104		0.88	0.950	
675105		0.39	0.513	
675106		0.44	2.78	
675107		0.41	1.125	
675108		0.96	2.77	
675109		0.48	3.13	
675110		0.93	2.02	
675111		0.84	1.435	
675112		1.00	2.39	
675113		1.06	1.115	
675114		0.98	1.615	
675115		0.11	1.190	
675116		0.91	0.018	
675117		1.93	0.195	
675118		1.71	0.473	
675119		1.22	0.297	
675120		1.28	1.715	
675121		0.70	0.043	
675122		1.37	0.835	
675123		1.39	0.603	
675124		1.21	0.076	
675125		Not Recvd		



ALS Canada Ltd.
2103 Dollarton Hwy
North Vancouver BC V7H 0A7
Phone: +1 (604) 984 0221 Fax: +1 (604) 984 0218
www.alsglobal.com/geochemistry

To: CHEVRIER METALS CORPORATION
409 GRANVILLE STREET, SUITE 500
VANCOUVER BC V6C 1T2

Page: Appendix 1
Total # Appendix Pages: 1
Finalized Date: 11-JAN-2019
Account: CMHEET

Project: Chevrier

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS VO18313502

CERTIFICATE COMMENTS

LABORATORY ADDRESSES

Applies to Method:	Processed at ALS Val d'Or located at 1324 Rue Turcotte, Val d'Or, QC, Canada.			
	CRU- 31	CRU- QC	LOG- 22	LOG- 24
	LOG- QC	PUL- 31	PUL- QC	SPL- 21
	WEI- 21			
Applies to Method:	Processed at ALS Vancouver located at 2103 Dollarton Hwy, North Vancouver, BC, Canada.			
	Au- GRA22	Au- ICP22		



ALS Canada Ltd.
 2103 Dollarton Hwy
 North Vancouver BC V7H 0A7
 Phone: +1 (604) 984 0221 Fax: +1 (604) 984 0218
 www.alsglobal.com/geochemistry

To: CHEVRIER METALS CORPORATION
 409 GRANVILLE STREET, SUITE 500
 VANCOUVER BC V6C 1T2

Page: 1
 Total # Pages: 4 (A)
 Plus Appendix Pages
 Finalized Date: 11- JAN- 2019
 Account: CMHEET

QC CERTIFICATE VO18313502

Project: Chevrier

This report is for 75 Drill Core samples submitted to our lab in Val d'Or, QC, Canada on 6- DEC- 2018.

The following have access to data associated with this certificate:

MARC JUTRAS	ANDRÉ LIBOIRON
-------------	----------------

SAMPLE PREPARATION	
ALS CODE	DESCRIPTION
WEI- 21	Received Sample Weight
LOG- 24	Pulp Login - Rcd w/o Barcode
CRU- QC	Crushing QC Test
LOG- QC	QC Test on Received Samples
PUL- QC	Pulverizing QC Test
LOG- 22	Sample login - Rcd w/o BarCode
CRU- 31	Fine crushing - 70% <2mm
SPL- 21	Split sample - riffle splitter
PUL- 31	Pulverize split to 85% <75 um

ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES		
ALS CODE	DESCRIPTION	INSTRUMENT
Au- ICP22	Au 50g FA ICP- AES finish	ICP- AES
Au- GRA22	Au 50 g FA- GRAV finish	WST- SIM

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this certificate number. Results apply to samples as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

***** See Appendix Page for comments regarding this certificate *****

Signature: 
 Colin Ramshaw, Vancouver Laboratory Manager



ALS Canada Ltd.
 2103 Dollarton Hwy
 North Vancouver BC V7H 0A7
 Phone: +1 (604) 984 0221 Fax: +1 (604) 984 0218
 www.alsglobal.com/geochemistry

To: CHEVRIER METALS CORPORATION
 409 GRANVILLE STREET, SUITE 500
 VANCOUVER BC V6C 1T2

Page: 2 - A
 Total # Pages: 4 (A)
 Plus Appendix Pages
 Finalized Date: 11-JAN- 2019
 Account: CMHEET

Project: Chevrier

QC CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS VO18313502

Sample Description	Method Analyte Units LOD	Au- ICP22 Au ppm 0.001	Au- GRA22 Au ppm 0.05
STANDARDS			
G915- 10			49.1
Target Range - Lower Bound			45.7
Upper Bound			51.7
JK- 17			1.94
Target Range - Lower Bound			1.83
Upper Bound			2.17
JK- 17		1.920	
JK- 17		1.915	
JK- 17		1.890	
JK- 17		1.850	
JK- 17		1.895	
JK- 17		1.935	
Target Range - Lower Bound		1.875	
Upper Bound		2.12	
KLEN- 74593		0.086	
KLEN- 74593		0.082	
KLEN- 74593		0.089	
Target Range - Lower Bound		0.085	
Upper Bound		0.099	
OREAS- 218		0.517	
OREAS- 218		0.536	
OREAS- 218		0.509	
OREAS- 218		0.523	
Target Range - Lower Bound		0.498	
Upper Bound		0.564	
PMP- 18		0.298	
PMP- 18		0.300	
Target Range - Lower Bound		0.281	
Upper Bound		0.319	

***** See Appendix Page for comments regarding this certificate *****



ALS Canada Ltd.
 2103 Dollarton Hwy
 North Vancouver BC V7H 0A7
 Phone: +1 (604) 984 0221 Fax: +1 (604) 984 0218
 www.alsglobal.com/geochemistry

To: CHEVRIER METALS CORPORATION
 409 GRANVILLE STREET, SUITE 500
 VANCOUVER BC V6C 1T2

Page: 3 - A
 Total # Pages: 4 (A)
 Plus Appendix Pages
 Finalized Date: 11-JAN-2019
 Account: CMHEET

Project: Chevrier

QC CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS VO18313502

Sample Description	Method Analyte Units LOD	Au- ICP22	Au- GRA22
		Au ppm	Au ppm
		0.001	0.05
BLANKS			
BLANK			<0.05
Target Range - Lower Bound			<0.05
Upper Bound			0.10
BLANK		<0.001	
BLANK		<0.001	
BLANK		<0.001	
BLANK		<0.001	
BLANK		<0.001	
BLANK		0.001	
Target Range - Lower Bound		<0.001	
Upper Bound		0.002	
DUPLICATES			
ORIGINAL		<0.001	
DUP		<0.001	
Target Range - Lower Bound		<0.001	
Upper Bound		0.002	
ORIGINAL		<0.001	
DUP		<0.001	
Target Range - Lower Bound		<0.001	
Upper Bound		0.002	
ORIGINAL		0.001	
DUP		0.001	
Target Range - Lower Bound		<0.001	
Upper Bound		0.002	
ORIGINAL		<0.001	
DUP		<0.001	
Target Range - Lower Bound		<0.001	
Upper Bound		0.002	
ORIGINAL		<0.001	
DUP		<0.001	
Target Range - Lower Bound		<0.001	
Upper Bound		0.002	



ALS Canada Ltd.
 2103 Dollarton Hwy
 North Vancouver BC V7H 0A7
 Phone: +1 (604) 984 0221 Fax: +1 (604) 984 0218
 www.alsglobal.com/geochemistry

To: CHEVRIER METALS CORPORATION
 409 GRANVILLE STREET, SUITE 500
 VANCOUVER BC V6C 1T2

Page: 4 - A
 Total # Pages: 4 (A)
 Plus Appendix Pages
 Finalized Date: 11-JAN- 2019
 Account: CMHEET

Project: Chevrier

QC CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS VO18313502
--

Sample Description	Method Analyte Units LOD	Au- ICP22 Au ppm 0.001	Au- GRA22 Au ppm 0.05
DUPLICATES			
ORIGINAL DUP Target Range - Lower Bound Upper Bound		<0.001 <0.001 <0.001 0.002	
ORIGINAL DUP Target Range - Lower Bound Upper Bound		0.006 <0.001 0.002 0.005	
ORIGINAL DUP Target Range - Lower Bound Upper Bound			20.5 21.7 20.00 22.2
675072 DUP Target Range - Lower Bound Upper Bound		0.380 0.460 0.398 0.442	
675092 DUP Target Range - Lower Bound Upper Bound		1.575 1.720 1.565 1.730	
675093 DUP Target Range - Lower Bound Upper Bound		0.063 0.084 0.069 0.078	
675112 DUP Target Range - Lower Bound Upper Bound		2.39 2.24 2.20 2.43	



ALS Canada Ltd.
2103 Dollarton Hwy
North Vancouver BC V7H 0A7
Phone: +1 (604) 984 0221 Fax: +1 (604) 984 0218
www.alsglobal.com/geochemistry

To: CHEVRIER METALS CORPORATION
409 GRANVILLE STREET, SUITE 500
VANCOUVER BC V6C 1T2

Page: Appendix 1
Total # Appendix Pages: 1
Finalized Date: 11-JAN-2019
Account: CMHEET

Project: Chevrier

QC CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS VO18313502

CERTIFICATE COMMENTS

LABORATORY ADDRESSES

Applies to Method:	Processed at ALS Val d'Or located at 1324 Rue Turcotte, Val d'Or, QC, Canada.			
	CRU- 31	CRU- QC	LOG- 22	LOG- 24
	LOG- QC	PUL- 31	PUL- QC	SPL- 21
	WEI- 21			
Applies to Method:	Processed at ALS Vancouver located at 2103 Dollarton Hwy, North Vancouver, BC, Canada.			
	Au- GRA22	Au- ICP22		