GM 58115

HIGH SENSITIVITY AEROMAGNETIC, GRADIOMETRIC AND VLF SURVEY, TORNGAT MOUNTAINS, SURVEY REPORT, PROJECT REF. 99A08-20



Cette première page a été ajoutée au document et ne fait pas partie du rapport tel que soumis par les auteurs.



TWIN GOLD CORPORATION

HIGH SENSITIVITY AEROMAGNETIC, GRADIOMETRIC AND VLF SURVEY

Torngat Mountains, Ungava Bay

NTS Maps: 24P/06-07-10-11

SURVEY REPORT

Project Ref. 99A08-20

MRN-GÉOINFORMATION 2000

GM 58115

Ressources Association Secteur mines

07 JUIN 2000

Bureau Régional Val-d'Cr

By

SIAL GEOSCIENCES INC.

March 2000

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
	2.0 SCHEDULE	3
	3.0 PERSONNEL	3
-	4.0 EQUIPMENT USED	3
1000	 4.1 AIRCRAFT	3 4 <i>4</i>
	 4.2.2 Compensator	4 5 5
-	4.4 POSITIONING SYSTEM 4.4.1 GPS Receiver 4.4.2 Altimeters 4.4.3 Video Camera	6 6 6 6
	4.5 ACQUISITION SYSTEM	6 7
	 5.1 FLIGHT PATH 5.2 MAGNETIC DATA PROCESSING	7 7 8
	6.0 SURVEY PRODUCTS	8
	6.1 MAPS 6.2 DIGITAL DATA 6.3 OTHER PRODUCTS	8 9 9
planning.	7.0 GEOLOGY	0
frage.	7.1 KIMBERLITES AND DIAMONDS IN NORTHERN QUEBEC 1 7.2 REGIONAL GEOLOGY 1	0 1
	8.0 RESULTS AND CONCLUSION 1	2
	8.1 MAGNETIC RESULTS	2 3

-

,r. 1996

Appendix A: CONTENTS OF CD-ROMS



1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report describes the data acquisition and processing of a high sensitivity aeromagnetic, gradiometric and VLF airborne survey carried out for **TWIN GOLD CORPORATION** by **SIAL Géosciences Inc.**

The survey area consisted of one block located in the Torngat Mountains, Ungava Bay. This location, at 59° North latitude, is within the Nunavik territory of Northern Québec. The base of operations was installed at Kangiqsualujjuaq (George River).

Survey flying commenced December 20, 1999 and was completed January 24, 2000. Preliminary maps were delivered to **TWIN GOLD CORPORATION** on February 15, 2000. Final processed data on CD-ROM were delivered on March 2000.

A total of 5 000 line-km of airborne survey was flown on traverse lines oriented 315° (in the northern part of the survey area) and 295° (in the southern part of the survey area) at nominal line spacing of 100 metres and aircraft ground clearance of 90 metres. The spacing between traverse lines never exceeded 1.5 times the nominal line spacing at any point, or 1.25 times the ideal spacing over a distance of 2 km. Tie-lines, 1 000 metres spaced, were flown in a direction perpendicular to traverse lines.

The purpose of the survey was to map elongated or round-shaped magnetic anomalies that could be related to kimberlite intrusive bodies





3

2.0 SCHEDULE

Mobilisation of the personnel and equipment was done from LG-2 to Kangiqsualujjuaq on December 7, 1999. Survey started on December 20, 1999, and ended January 24, 2000. Demobilisation from Kangiqsualujjuaq to Montreal was done on January 25, 2000. No field works were done between December 22, 1999, and January 6, 2000.

1:2:316

3.0 PERSONNEL

The survey crew consisted of:

Olivier Ayotte:

An experienced operator/electronic technician, who operated the geophysical instruments, assisted with aircraft navigation and compiled and checked the data with the in-field workstation.

Eric Picaud:

A professional pilot who flew the geophysical instrumentation safely and within survey specifications.

Final data evaluation and processing was carried out at **SIAL**'s office in Montreal by experienced **SIAL**'s data processors.

4.0 EQUIPMENT USED

4.1 Aircraft

The survey aircraft was a Piper Navajo PA31-310, registration C-GAKM. The aircraft was equipped with a magnetometer stinger and a VLF electromagnetic receiver.

Aircraft survey-speed was approximately 240 km/h. At this speed, the distance between samples along survey lines was typically 6 metres for the magnetic field data, and 30 metres for the VLF-EM data.

4.2 Magnetometers

4.2.1 Airborne magnetometer-gradiometer

The airborne magnetometer-gradiometer system consisted of two Geometrics G822A cesium-vapour, split-beam, total field sensors mounted at the aft of a non-magnetic tail-stinger gradiometer. The vertical separation between sensors was 2.18 metres. Sensors specifications were:

Sensor static resolution: better than 0.1 nT In-flight sensitivity: ±0.001 nT **Resolution:** +0.005 nT Absolute accuracy: +10 nT 20 000 - 100 000 nT Dynamic range: < 0.5 nT In-flight noise envelope: Sampling rate: ten readings per second or approximately 7 metres < 0.25 nT Heading error: 10 000 nT/m Gradient tolerance:

4.2.2 Compensator

The aircraft generated magnetic field was compensated with an Automatic Aeromagnetic Digital Compensator unit (AADCII) yielding digital signal correction of 18 to 30 terms based on the vector field components and their derivatives as measured by a 3-axis fluxgate sensor.



4.2.3 Ground magnetometer

A GEM GSM-19 overhausser base station magnetometer located at the base camp was used to monitor the fluctuations in the earth's magnetic field. The earth's magnetic field was measured every 3 seconds to record the diurnal activity. The base station was located in an area of low magnetic gradient and free of cultural interference.

Data were recovered daily and the diurnal corrections computed and applied to the survey data in order to produce preliminary maps for quality control. The airborne
 magnetometer and the base station were synchronised with accuracy better than 1.0 second. The technical specifications of the base station are:

Base station magnetometer:	GEM GSM-19 overhausser
Sensor static resolution:	better than 0.1 nT
Sensitivity:	_± 0.001 nT
Dynamic range:	20,000 - 95,000 nT
Noise envelope:	less than 0.1 nT
Recording interval:	3 seconds

4.3 VLF Electromagnetic System

The VLF-EM system uses 3 orthogonal coils to measure the total field and quadrature component of the VLF field. The NAA (frequency: 24 kHz) VLF-station-transmitter located at Cuttler, Maine, was selected. System specifications are:

Manufacturer:	HERTZ
Model:	Totem 2A
Accuracy:	1%
Sampling interval:	0.5 second

The VLF sensor was located in a stinger fixed to the aircraft nose. The availability & signal quality of the VLF stations were accepted as encountered during the survey



flights and were not a factor in limiting flying.

4.4 Positioning System

4.4.1 GPS Receiver

In flight positioning was sampled at a rate of 1 hertz using a TRIMBLE-4000SE realtime differential GPS receiver system, in conjunction with a Land-Star satellite-link and a PICODAS PNAV-4001 navigation console. The system enables data to be positioned to an absolute accuracy better than 5 metres. At least, 4 satellites were monitored at all times during the survey.

4.4.2 Altimeters

Terrain clearance was sampled each 0.2 second, using a KING KRA-10 radar altimeter. The radar altimeter recorded the ground clearance to an accuracy of 1 metre. Recordings were in both digital and analog form.

4.4.3 Video Camera

A vertically mounted continuous recording ELMO TSN272 colour video camera with a wide-angle lens recorded at all times the flight path terrain beneath the aircraft. The video camera recorded, in the top portion of each frame, the flight line number, fiducial, time and GPS generated X-Y UTM co-ordinates.

4.5 Acquisition System

- A RMS DGR-33 data logging system and an on-board HDS60 graphical display dataacquisition system were used. These systems:
 - Accepted digital data from the magnetometer, VLF receiver, radar



	7
-	altimeter, time and raw GPS positions
_	 Produced a hard-copy graphic record (analog) of both coarse and fine scales data from the magnetometer, VLF receiver, radar and barometric altimeters data, fiducial date and time
-	 Produced a digital machine-readable record of raw data on an external tape-drive
	The analog records were of sufficient resolution to enable visual checks to be made of system performance. Two-second intervals were indicated on the analog by
	means of short tics and fiducial numbers printed at 10-seconds intervals.
	The data acquisition system was synchronised to GPS time through a one-second
	GPS pulse. Synchronisation was checked at the end of each day of survey.
	5.0 DATA PROCESSING
	5.1 Flight path
	Flight path was recovered from the differential GPS X and Y data. It was verified
,ettem	daily to enable reflights to be called where needed.
-	5.2 Magnetic data processing

The aeromagnetic data was quality controlled using the fourth difference, as well as the difference (gradient) between the two sensors, and edited as necessary. The base station magnetometer variations, lag and heading errors were removed from the entire data set. The resulting data were further levelled using tie lines and gridded without any filtering using the Random gridding algorithm supplied in the GEOSOFT software. The grid-cell size was 25 metres (1/4 of the line spacing). The International



Geomagnetic Reference Field was not removed from the total magnetic field but a reduction-to-the-pole filter was applied on the data and maps plotted.

No special processing were applied to the vertical gradient data.

5.3 VLF-EM data processing

In-phase and total field VLF data were filtered using a Triangular filter (length of 61 points, or 6 seconds) to reduce high frequency noise and background shifts. On Monday, January 10, the NAA VLF station was close and only electromagnetic noises were registered (Flight 5, flight lines 17301 to 21301)

6.0 SURVEY PRODUCTS

6.1 Maps

Each final map displays a geophysical parameter, the flight path, topographic features and UTM registration (NAD 27). Three black and white and three colour paper-prints of the following final contour maps, at a scale of 1:20 000, were delivered to **TWIN GOLD CORPORATION** at the end of March, 2000:

- Total-Magnetic-Field
- Reduction to pole
- Measured Vertical-Magnetic-Gradient
- Digital topographic elevations
- VLF-EM Total Field Profiles (black & white only)

Two copies of the same maps, at a scale of 1:50 000, were also delivered along with Calculated Second Derivative of the Total Magnetic Field and the VLF-EM Total Field contours.



6.2 Digital Data

Two copies of a CD-ROM containing the digital data archives of XYZ line data and grids in ASCII format compatible with GEOSOFT data processing software were delivered (see appendix A). The archived information contain raw and processed information separated on a line basis, including the magnetic base station channel and all channels required to produce the final map products.

- All digital data were georeferenced to the standard UTM-system for the area (NAD27).
 - 6.3 Other Products

Three paper-copies of an INTERPRETATION MAP at a scale of 1:50 000 with three copies of this report have been finally produced and delivered to **TWIN GOLD CORPORATION**, accompanied with videotapes, analogs, and all information recorded during the course of the survey.



64-0%

7.0 GEOLOGY

7.1 Kimberlites and Diamonds in Northern Quebec¹

Four kimberlite fields are located in Quebec: Temiscamingue, Desmaraisville, Otish and Torngat fields.

- The Temiscamingue field is located in the Pontiac and Abitibi Sub-Provinces near the Quebec/Ontario border. The portion of the field located in Quebec contains 3 weakly diamondiferous diatreme and hypabyssal facies kimberlite pipes.
- The Desmaraisville field is located in the north-central portion of the Abitibi Sub-Province. It contains 5 weakly diamondiferous hypabyssal facies kimberlite pipes and numerous dykes.
- The Otish field is located in the NE portion of the Opatica Sub-Province near the northern margin of the early Proterozoic Otish basin. It contains one weakly diamondiferous hypabyssal facies pipe and an adjacent dyke swarn.
- Diamondiferous kimberlite dykes have recently been identified in the Abloviak Fjord area of the Torngat Mountains, to the West of the Nain craton. During the fall of 1999, TWIN GOLD CORPORATION recovered more than 250 diamonds from 3 kimberlite dykes. The northern portion of the Superior Province in Quebec represents an attractive area for diamond exploration. Noteworthy features would include the presence of large-scale brittle fault zones, locally hosting alkaline intrusions, and the NE projection of the Kapuskasing structural zone into northern Quebec. This

From Moorhead, J, Perreault, S, Berclaz, A, Sharma, K N M, Beaumier, M, Cadieux, A-M, 2000; Kimberlites and Diamonds in Northern Quebec. Ministère des Ressources Naturelles du Québec, 10 p., PRO 2000-05

projection corresponds to a zone where orientations of the main lineaments change from a WSW direction, in the central part of the craton (i.e. the Saindon-Cambrian Zone), to a NW direction on its western margin (i.e. the Richmond Gulf Zone). It also encompasses a kimberlite indicator dispersion train near the village of Wemindji, on the eastern shore of James Bay, and a recently discovered alkaline dyke field further to the NE, adjacent to the Labrador Trough. Curiously, the NE projection of the Kapuskasing tectonic zone also intersects the diamondiferous kimberlite dykes located in Abloviak Fjord, adjacent to the Torngat Mountains.

7.2 Regional Geology²

The surveyed block lies within the geologic Torngat Mountains Province and covers a complex geological environment. The predominant rock type is the Tasiuyak Gneiss, a Northwest trending belt of gneisses extending southwards into Labrador.

Within the property, the rocks of the Torngat Mountain Province strike Northwest-Southeast. Cross-cutting the gneisses, there are a number of kimberlite dykes. The first dykes discovered are located on the northern shore of the Alluviaq Fjord. These dykes strike Northeast and are nearly vertical. They are exposed on the steep side of the fjord, typically in crevices where the kimberlite has been eroded preferentially and the debris from weathering flushed from the crevice by water flowing over the fjord wall. **TWIN GOLD CORPORATION** sampled three dykes in this area. The largest, Torngat 1, has been traced for about 1.5 km across the plateau.

- Near the Baufremont River, in an area named by **TWIN GOLD CORPORATION** "Torngat South", other kimberlite dykes were discovered. A small sample of finegrained float (AD 10), overlying a dyke estimated to be about 3 metres wide confirmed the presence of diamonds.
 - ² From TWIN GOLD CORPORATION annual report, 1999



8.0 RESULTS AND CONCLUSION

Brief comments on the geophysical results are offered below. With the aim of compiling all the relevant data arising from the interpretation of the geophysical survey, and comparing these data to the available geological information, an INTERPRETATION MAP has been produced at a scale of 1:50 000. In the following sections, to fully understand the text, the reader is asked to refer to this map.

8.1 Magnetic Results

Magnetic anomalies can be produced by a number of causative features such as lithology changes, variations in the thickness of magnetic units, faulting, folding, and topographic relief. A significant amount of information can be obtained from a qualitative review of the total-field magnetic, gradiometric and second derivative maps. At its simplest, the aeromagnetic/gradiometric/second derivative maps, when interpreted, gives information comparable to that provided by a geologist. The maps show magnetic and non-magnetic units, folds and faults that affect certain igneous, sedimentary or metamorphic rocks and intrusions. The value of the survey does not end with the first interpretation, but rather increases as more is discovered about the geology.

The maximum variation of the magnetic field seen on the total field magnetic maps over the survey area is 1 396 nT, ranging from 56 802 nT to 58 198 nT.

Based on the characteristic patterns of the magnetic total field, gradiometric and second derivative, an inspection of the maps suggests the following points:

- The dominant orientations of the magnetic trends are northwest to north-northwest
- Strong magnetic highs are observed in the southwestern and north-



western parts of the block

- The measured vertical gradient and the calculated second derivative provide superior resolution of numerous sources, many of which appear to be mafic dykes
- Many dykes are recognisable by their distinct elongated pattern in the central part of the survey area
- Many small round-shaped magnetic highs are sparsely distributed over the northern part of the block
- Numerous faults have been mapped in the southern part of the survey area.
- 8.2 VLF Results

The plotted VLF-EM Total field profiles show that a number of weak to moderate conductors can be recognised, principally correlating with lakes, rivers and topographic relief. Some of these conductors superimpose exactly with faults already mapped with the magnetic survey.

al.

Camille St-Hilaire, M.Sc.A. Geophysicist

APPENDIX A

CONTENTS OF CD-ROMS



	CD-ROM ARCHIVED DATA				
SIAL GEOS	CIENCES INC	2.	March 22nd, 200	0	
		E	Data Files		-
Formati	Geosoft XV	7 format			
-Sampling:	0.1 second (1 0.5 second (1	magnetic) EM-VLF)			
-Units:	positioning: Magnetic:	meters nT.			
-Lines:	LINE: trave TIE: contr	rse lines ol lines			
-dummies:	non-values a usually be f original sam	re represented by a found at the begin pling rates and to the	in asterisk (*), accor ining and end of e he effect of lag corre	rding to Geosoft format. The ach flight lines, due to dif ection on magnetic data.	y will ferent
-files:	tgn.xyz (nor tgc.xyz (cent tgs.xyz (sout	th zone) ter zone) th zone)	-		
interpretation	tg.xyz (with file: int.grd	out partition)			
Channel	Name	Definition		Comments	

	Channel	Name	Definition	Comments
**	1	X	UTM E	Corrected for GPS jumps
	2	Y	UTM N	(<25m)
	3	XROT	UTM E	Rotation of 45 degrees
	4	YROT	UTM N	Rotation of 45 degrees
	5	LAT	Degrees	Ellipsoide WGS 1984
	6	LON	Degrees	Projection UTM
-	7	FIDUCIAL	Fiducial	(seconds)
	8	VOL	flight #	
	9	TIMERMS	time	
	10	ZGPS	GPS altitude	Corrected for GPS jumps
	11	BARO	Barometer (volt)	Original barometer
	12	BAROMC	Barometer (m)	Corrected barometer
	13	RADAR	Radar (m)	Corrected for radar
	14	RADARL	Radar (m)	Lagged radar
	15	ТОРО	Topography (m)	Zgps-radar
çanlarış.	16	TOPOL	(m)	Zgps-radarl
	17	TOPOLVL	(m)	Levelled topo
	18	TOPOLVLD	(m)	Micro-levelled topo
				-



-

	19	BASE	mag station (nT)	Corrected for spike
	20	BASEF	Mag station (nT)	Filtered mag station
	21	Cordiur	Diurnal mag drift	Basemag - average
	22	MIGRF	Regional IGRF	0 0
	23	MAG1B	Diurnally corrected	CMAG1 channel
	24	MAG1BL	Diurnally corrected and lagged	CMAG1 channel
	25	MAG2O	Raw MAG2 data (higher mag.)	
	26	MAG2	Corrected MAG2O	Channel for de-spiking
-	27	MAG2B	Diurnally corrected	CMAG2 channel
	28	MAG2BL	Diurnally corrected and lagged	CMAG2 channel
	29	MAG1H	Heading corrected	MAG1BL channel
,rijhakka,	30	MAG2H	Heading corrected	MAG2BL channel
	31	MAGLVLO	Diurnally corrected, lagged, headed	ed, and tie-line levelled MAG2
		channel		
	32	MAGLVL	MAGLVLO with segments where	closely flown lines have one line
			segment of mag removed	
	33	COR?LVL0	Levelling correction factor (MAC	G2LVL=MAG2H+CORM2LVL0)
			(corm11v10, corm21v10, cort1v10, co	rglvl0 for levelling correction on
			mag1, mag2, topo and measured gra	dient respectively)
	34	COR?LVL1	Decorrugation factor for micro-lev	velling (MAGLVLD= MAGLVL
			+CORLVL1) (corm1lv11, corm2lv	11, cortlv11, corglv11 for micro-
			levelling correction on mag1, ma	g2, topo and measured gradient
ateria.		·	respectively)	
	35	MAG?LVLD	MAGLVL with micro-levelling app	lied (final magchannel)(mag1lvld,
	• -		mag2lvld) (mag2h+cordiur+corlvl0-	+corlvl1=mag2lvld)
-	36	RES	Residual of the total magnetic field	mag2lvld (mag2lvld-migrf=res)
	37	REDPOL	sampled channel from the reduction	to the pole grid
	38	GRAD_MES	measured gradient from the gradiom	eter ((mag1bl-mag2bl)/2.1)
	39	GRADLVL	levelled measured gradient	
	40	GRADLVLD	microlevelled measured gradient	
	41	VLFI	Raw vif data received from U.S.	Military Cutler, Maine 24000HZ
	40	X71 T11	transmitter station	
	42	VLFIL	Lagged VLF1 channel	
	43	VLFIF	Filtered VLFIL channel (25 fiduci	al Hi-Pass filter followed by a 5
	4.4	VIEDDE	Filtered VI FERD showed (7 Cd	
	44	VLFEKKF	Filtered VLFERR channel (/ fid	ucial Low-Pass filter) from the
	15		Decorrugation grid sampled in the da	
	43 46	VLFU VLEDCDD	Decorrugated VLF1F channel (VLF)	D=VLFIF-VLFEKKF)
	40		Connection abound (CODVL D1 JU	
_	4/	UUKVLFI	Correction channel (COKVLFI=VL	FIF-VLFDGKD)
	4ð	VLFFIN	Final corrected VLF1 channel (VL	FFIN=VLF1F+COKVLF1)

-

ationspectra

-



-	Magnetic		
		Flight 1,2,4,6,7,8,9:	1 second
	D 1	Flight 3,5,10:	1.4 second
	Radar	Elight 1 2 1 6 7 8 0.	2.1 second
		Flight $3, 5, 10^{\circ}$	2.1 second
		1 iight 5,5,10.	2.0 5000110
	EM-VLF		
		Flight 1:	data not used
comit come		Flight 2:	0.3 s
		Flight 3:	0 s
		Flight 4:	0 s
		Flight 6:	-5.0 s
		Flight 7:	-0.3 s
		Flight 8:	-7.8 s
		Flight 9:	-0.3 s
		Flight 10:	0 s
-		Flight 5:	data not used

ROTATION OF THE COORDINATES (from nad83 to nad27)

 Angle:	-45 degrees
Reference:	361820, 6575620



SIAL

LAG

GRID FILES AND CONTOUR FILES (without vlf files)

	- for 20 000 maps (grid) (.grd and .zon)
	g(n,c,s)_c, g(n,c,s)_cr : measured gradient without, with rotation m1(n,c,s) c, m1(n,c,s) cr : mag1 without, with rotation
	$m_2(n,c,s)$ c, $m_2(n,c,s)$ cr : mag2 without, with rotation
	$t(n \in s) \in t(n \in s) $ cr : topography without, with rotation
	rp(n.c.s) c. $rp(n.c.s)$ cr : reduction pole without, with rotation
***	·p(,•,•)_•, ·p(,•,•)_• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	- for 20 000 maps (contour)
	ngdt(n,c,s)20.plt and .att: measured gradient with rotation
alasira.	nm2t(n.c.s)20.plt and .att: mag2 with rotation
	ntpt(n.c.s) 20.plt and .att: topography with rotation
	nrpt(n,c,s)20.plt and .att: reduction pole with rotation
	- for 50 000 maps (grid) (.grd and .zon)
	grad_c, grad_cr : measured gradient without, with rotation
- Maria aliana	mag1_c, mag1_cr : mag1 without, with rotation
	mag2_c, mag2_cr : mag2 without, with rotation
official and	d2_c, d2_cr : calculated second derivative without, with rotation
	topo_c, topo_cr : topography without, with rotation
	rp_c, rp_cr : reduction pole without, with rotation
(parkés	
	- for 50 000 maps (contour)
	ngdt500.plt and .att: measured gradient without rotation
~	nm2t500.plt and .att: mag2 without rotation
	ntpt500.plt and .att: topography without rotation
	nrpt500.plt and .att: reduction pole without rotation
ge	
	- for 50 000 maps (snadow grid) (.grd and .zon)
	sg : measured gradient without rotation (1:45, D:320)
	sinz: mag1 without rotation (1:45, D:320)
	suz: calculated second derivative without rotation (1:45, D:300)
	sip. reduction pole without rotation (1:45, D:520)
stree.	

SIAL

.

2readme.txt Description File For Twingold SIAL GEOSCIENCES INC. March 24nd, 2000 _____ FINAL levelled/adjusted total field data files. _____ DELIVERED PRODUCTS Data Files _____ -format: Geosoft XYZ format -sampling: 0.1 second -units: positioning in meters, mag in nT. -lines: LINE: traverse lines TIE: control lines -dummies: non-values are represented by an asterisk (*), according to Geosoft format. They will usually be found at the beginning and end of each flight lines, due to different original sampling rates and to the effect of lag correctio n on mag data. -files: tgn(1,2)xyz (north zone) tgc(1,2).xyz (center zone) tgs(1,2).xyz (south zone) tg(1,2).xyz (without partition) tg_vlfa.xyz (only VLF data without partition) tg_vlfn.xyz (north zone) tg_vlfc.xyz (center zone) tg vlfs.xyz (south zone) N.B. The files 1 and 2 contain different channels: Channels 1 to 16 are in files 1 Channels 17 to 43 are in files 2 Channels 44 to 51 are in the vlf files Interpretation file: int.grd name definition comments _____ _ ____ -channels: 1 X UTM E corrected for GPS jum ps

Page 1

		2readme.txt	
2	Y	UTM N	(<25m)
3	XROT	UTM E	rotation of 45 degree
4	YROT	UTM N	
5	LAT	degrees	ellipsoide WGS 1984
6	LON	degrees	projection UTM
/	FIDUCIAL	fiducial (seconds)	
8	TIMERMS	time	
9	BASE	mag station (nT)	corrected for spike
10	BASEF	mag station (n'l')	filtered mag station
11	cordiur	diurnal mag drift	basemag - average
12	CORJEVEO	Levelling correction f	actor
		(MAGZLVL=MAGZH+CORMZLV	(LU)
		(cormitviu, cormziviu,	cortiviu, corgiviu for
		levelling correction o	n magi, mag2, topo and
12		Decomposition factor f	Securvery)
L J	COKITAT		1)
		(corm1]w11 corm2]w11	contlull conduil for
		micro-levelling correc	tion on magi mag2 topo
		and measured gradient	respectively)
14	GRAD MES	measured gradient from	the gradiometer
		((mag1b1-mag2b1)/2.1)	ene graarometer
15	GRADLVL	levelled measured grad	lient
16	GRADLVLD	microlevelled measured	gradient
17	MAG10	Raw MAG1 data (lower m	agnetometer)
18	MAG1	Corrected MAG10 channe	l for despiking
19	MAG1B	Diurnally corrected CM	IAG1 channel
20	MAG1BL	Diurnally corrected an	d lagged CMAG1 channel
21	MAG2O	Raw MAG2 data (higher	magnetometer)
22	MAG2	Corrected MAG20 channe	l for despiking
23	MAG2B	Diurnally corrected CM	IAG2 channel
24	MAG2BL	Diurnally corrected an	d lagged CMAG2 channel
25	MAG1H	Heading corrected MAG1	BL channel
26	MAG2H	Heading corrected MAG2	BL channel
27	MAGLVLO	Diurnally corrected, 1	agged, headed, and tie-
0.0	Ma of the	line levelled MAG2 cha	nnel
28	MAGLVL	MAGLVLO with segments	where closely flown line
		have the line second	
20	MACOTATO	NACINI with misua laws	of mag removed
29	MAG:LVLD	MAGLVL WILL MICTO-IEVe	iling applied (final mag
		(mag2b and int and int and	21V1d
30	MICDE	(mag2n+cordiur+corivio	+COFIVII=mag21V1d)
30	RES	Residual of the total	magnetic field magliald
JT	NH0	(mag2]vld=migrf=reg)	magnetic field mag21VId
32	REDPOT.	sampled channel from +	he reduction to the pole
22		arid	ne reduction to the pole
		9	

s

s

.

, ,

Page 2

		2readme.txt	
33 34 35 36	RADAR RADARL TOPO TOPOL	radar (m) radar (m) topography (m) (m)	corrected for radar lagged radar zgps-radar zgps-radarl
37	TOPOLVL	(m)	levelled topo
38	TOPOLVLD	(m)	micro-levelled topo
39	ZGPS	GPS altitude	corrected for GPS jum
4 0	i	magnetic inclination (dec	arees)
41	đ	magnetic declination (dec	grees)
42	BARO	barometer (volt)	original barometer
43	BAROMC	barometer (m)	corrected barometer
44	VLF1	Raw vlf data received fro Cutler, Maine 24000HZ tra	om U.S. Military ansmitter station
45	VLF1L	Lagged VLF1 channel	
46	VLFIF	Filtered VLF1L channel (2 filter followed by a 5 f: filter)	25 fiducial Hi-Pass iducial Low-Pass
47	VLFERRF	Filtered VLFERR channel filter) from the decorruga	(7 fiducial Low-Pass ation grid sampled in
48	VLFD	Decorrugated VLF1F channe	el (VLFD=VLF1F-VLFERRF
49 50 51	VLFDGRD CORVLF1 VLFFIN	Database sampled VLFD cha Correction channel (CORV) Final corrected VLF1 char	annel grid LF1=VLF1F-VLFDGRD) nnel (VLFFIN=VLF1F+C

ps

•

)

ORVLF1)

LAG

Mag				
2	Flight	1,2,4,6,7,8,9:	1	second
	Flight	3,5,10:	1.4	second
Radar				
	Flight	1,2,4,6,7,8,9:	2.1	second
	Flight	3,5,10:	2.5	second
VLF	Flight	1: data not used		
	Flight	2: 0.3 s		
	Flight	3:0 s		
	Flight	4:0 s		
	Flight	6: -5.0 s		
	Flight	7: -0.3 s		
	Flight	8: -7.8 s		
	Flight	9: -0.3 s		
	Flight	10: 0 s		
	Flight	5: data not used		

ROTATION OF THE COORDINATES

Page 3

•

2readme.txt (from nad83 to nad27) Angle: -45 degrees Reference: 361820, 6575620 GRID FILES AND CONTOUR FILES - for 20 000 maps (grid) (.grd and .zon) g(n,c,s) c, g(n,c,s) cr : measured gradient without, with rotation ml(n,c,s) c, ml(n,c,s) cr : mag1 without, with rotation m2(n,c,s) c, m2(n,c,s) cr : mag2 without, with rotation t(n,c,s) c, t(n,c,s) cr : topography without, with rotation rp(n,c,s)_c, rp(n,c,s) cr : reduction pole without, with rotation vlf(n,c,s) : VLF with rotation - for 20 000 maps (contour) ngdt(n,c,s)20.plt and .att: measured gradient with rotation nm2t(n,c,s)20.plt and .att: mag2 with rotation ntpt(n,c,s)20.plt and .att: topography with rotation nrpt(n,c,s)20.plt and .att: reduction pole with rotation - for 50 000 maps (grid) (.grd and .zon) grad c, grad cr : measured gradient without, with rotation mag1 c, mag1 cr : mag1 without, with rotation mag2 c, mag2 cr : mag2 without, with rotation d2 c, d2 cr : calculated second derivative without, with rotation topo c, topo cr : topography without, with rotation rp_c, rp_cr : reduction pole without, with rotation vlf fin : total field VLF - for 50 000 maps (contour) ngdt500.plt and .att: measured gradient without rotation nm2t500.plt and .att: mag2 without rotation ntpt500.plt and .att: topography without rotation nrpt500.plt and .att: reduction pole without rotation - for 50 000 maps (shadow grid) (.grd and .zon) sg : measured gradient without rotation (i:45, D:320) sm2: mag1 without rotation (i:45, D:320) sd2: calculated second derivative without rotation (i:45, D:300) srp: reduction pole without rotation (i:45, D:320) st: topography without rotation (i:45,D:320)

、、

2readme.txt We hope that the delivered final data products will be to your satisfaction.

Marie-Josee Bertrand Fadi Alfar ----

•

.....